

**Open Access** 

# Positive Impact of a Brief Nutrition Education Intervention on Underserved Adolescents: A Pilot Study

#### Meyers MJ, Mount MK, and Ammerman S\*

**Research Article** 

Department of Pediatrics, Division of Adolescent Medicine, Stanford University, 770 Welch Road, Suite 434, California, USA

\*Corresponding author: Seth Ammerman, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Adolescent Medicine, Stanford University, 770 Welch Road, Suite 434, California, USA, Tel: 1-650-736-9557; E-mail: seth.ammerman@stanford.edu

#### Received date: August 27, 2014, Accepted date: September 15, 2014, Published date: September 18, 2014

**Copyright:** © Ammerman S. et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

#### Abstract

**Objective:** A pilot study to assess the impact of a small-group, behavior-based brief education intervention, on the nutrition and food buying habits of low income, at-risk adolescents' dietary and food purchasing habits.

**Methods:** Low-income at-risk adolescents (N=19) were recruited from three "mobile clinic" affiliated partner sites. Individual pre- and post- intervention dietary intake and food purchasing data were collected via 24-hour recall and shopping purchase logs respectively. The intervention focused on discussing food choices, nutrition principles, identifying food healthfulness, and creating alternative snack lists.

**Results:** Dietary recall data showed an increase in protein consumption (p=0.01); slight increase in dairy, fruit and grain; and decrease in vegetable consumption. Shopping trip data showed decreases in calories, protein, sugar, fat, and sodium, and increases in fiber.

**Conclusions and Implications:** This small-group, brief intervention highlights a positive impact of nutrition education on the dietary and food buying habits of a cohort of lowincome, at-risk adolescents, and demonstrates the utility and potential efficacy of similar interventions in improving the nutritional health of underserved adolescents.

Keywords: Behavior; Adolescents; Health; Nutrition; At-risk; Self-M efficacy

## Methods

## Introduction

Obesity currently affects approximately eighteen percent of American adolescents, and along with associated co-morbidities, is one of the most pressing concerns of contemporary adolescent health. [1] While weight is the result of a myriad of factors stemming from genetics to lifestyle, diet has repeatedly been shown to be intricately linked to the development of cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes, osteoporosis and depression. [2-6] A large body of epidemiologic data demonstrates diet quality following a socioeconomic gradient with energy-dense nutrient-poor diets preferentially consumed by persons of lower resources. [7-9] This is particularly salient with respect to adolescents who, as a group, consume the largest proportion of calories at quick service restaurants. [8] These facts highlight the need for comprehensive health education programs addressing self-efficacy in nutrition and eating behaviors with appropriate consideration given to local resources and financial means. This study aims to test the hypothesis that a personalized nutrition education geared towards at-risk underserved adolescents will have a positive impact on dietary intake and food shopping behaviors.

#### Study procedures

Three sites representing diverse groups of nineteen adolescents ages 15-23 in the San Francisco Bay Area were chosen for the intervention: a local Boys and Girls Club (BGC), LGBT Youth Center (YC), and Conservation Corps (CC), a charter alternative high school. All three sites were partners of a mobile clinic program (the "Teen Health Van") that provides free, comprehensive primary care services to uninsured at-risk youth. There were two inclusion criteria: a) being a Teen Health Van patient; and b) interest in participation. The study consisted of two brief small-group nutrition educational sessions for the adolescents from each site, led by a Registered Dietician. The impact on dietary habits and food purchasing were assessed through pre and postintervention 24-hour food recall and pre and post-shopping trip purchase logs. Prior to the brief educational sessions, a 24-hour food recall outlined typical food intake utilizing one-on-one RD-patient interviews, following probes based on the USDA Automated Multiple-Pass Method. [10,11] Once 24-food recall data was gathered preintervention, participants were given ten dollars each to spend at a local market. No guidance was given and all food purchases were logged. After these baseline data were collected, the educational intervention occurred, which consisted of two group nutrition education sessions for the adolescents from each site. The first session was designed to stimulate discussion about food choices, basic nutrition principles and identifying the healthfulness of foods, and lasted one hour. The second session took place in a local market where participants were again given ten dollars each to spend. At this second

#### Page 2 of 4

session the RD was available to answer any questions the participants had while shopping, but did not direct any shopping choices. This session lasted ½ hour. Participants applied a "Traffic-Light Snack" tool developed for the study to classify their snack choices as: red-light (stop, use sparingly), yellow-light (yield, caution), or green-light (go). uniformity across participants, values were taken from the entire package, and individual changes from pre-intervention baseline shopping trip were calculated for analysis. The 24-hour food recall data was analyzed through the MyPlate "Analyze My Diet" online tool (Table 2). [12]

### Data analyses

Nutritional values from each package were calculated and total values for each shopping trip were calculated (Table 1). To maintain

	Mean Change	in Macronutrient Le	evels		
Macronutrient (Pre- and Post- Intervention Rounded to Nearest Whole Number)	BGC N=5	YC N=2	CC N=12	ALL SITES <sup>¥</sup> N=19	P value <sup>†</sup>
Calories (kcal) Pre- 3,062;	-591.0	-520.0	-274.9	-383.9 (-939.7 , 171.9)	0.18
Post 2,678.					
Protein (gm)	14.9	-11.0	-7.8	-2.2	0.84
Pre- 18.0; Post- 16.0				(-23.5 , 19.2)	
Sugars (gm)	-54.7	-52.5	-74.4	-66.9	0.07
Pre- 155 Post- 98				(-135.6 , 1.8)	
Fiber (gm)	1.8 -1.5	-1.5	6.3	4.3	0.30
Pre- 18 Post- 22				(-3.8 , 12.5)	
Total Fat (gm)	-34.8 -11.0	-21.4	-23.8	0.18	
Pre- 118 Post- 94				(-58.0 , 10.3)	
Saturated Fat (gm)	-15.8	-16.5	-9.6	-11.9	0.13
Pre- 40 Post 28				(-26.9 , 3.0)	
Trans Fat (gm)	0.4	0.0	-1.7	-1.0	0.34
Pre- 2.0 Post 1.0				(-3.0 , 1.0)	
Sodium (mg)	345.2	-177.5	449.9	356.3	0.68
Pre- 4,165 Post- 3,810				(-1348.7 , 2061.2)	

 Table 1: Food Purchase Data - Average Change From Baseline by Macronutrient Level

ANOVA tests were performed to test variance between site groups for both 24 hour recall and shopping trip data. No statistically significant differences were noted 59 between groups, and the three groups were merged for analysis. Standard Error, 95% Confidence Intervals and p-values (p<0.05 was determined to be statistically significant) were calculated for the observed values (Tables 1 and 2).

## Results

#### **Shopping behavior**

Shopping trip data showed a trend of decreases in average total calories (384 calories), protein (2.2 gm), sugar (66.9 gm), total fat (23.8 gm), saturated fat (11.9 gm), trans fat (1.0 gm), and sodium (356.3 mg) consumed; with an increase in the amount of fiber (4.3 gm). Two-

tailed t-tests showed that none of these values were statistically significant (a=.05) (Table 1).

trend towards increased consumption of dairy (0.17 servings), fruit (0.76 servings) and grains (0.83 servings), with a slight decrease (0.06 servings) in vegetable consumption. (Table 2).

## 24-Hour food recall

Data showed a statistically significant increase in average consumption of protein group foods (2.45 servings; p=0.01), and a

	Mean Change				
MyPlate Food Group#	BGC	YC	СС	ALL SITES <sup>¥</sup>	P value <sup>†</sup>
	N=5	N=2	N=12	N=19	
Dairy	-0.1	0.35	0.3	0.17	0.57
				(-0.42 , 0.75)	
Protein	3.2	2.35	2.2	2.45	0.01
				(0.74 , 4.15)	
Vegetables	0.3	-1.65	0.1	-0.06	0.84
				(-0.62 , 0.51)	
Fruits	-1.1	1.05	1.5	0.76	0.27
				(-0.59 , 2.10)	
Grains	3.0	4.9	-0.8	0.83	0.48
				(-1.45 , 3.10)	

<sup>¥</sup> Data presented with associated 95% Confidence Interval

<sup>†</sup> Calculated by two-tailed T-test

 Table 2: 24-Hour Recall Data by MyPlate Food Group Servings

## Discussion

This pilot study demonstrates an initial overall positive impact of a small-group, behavior-based, brief education intervention on the nutrition and food buying habits of low income, at-risk adolescents, and provides a framework for future projects targeting nutrition and food buying habits in this vulnerable demographic. Over the last few decades, researchers in the field of nutrition have amassed a large body of research to quantitatively support a link between anthropometric measures and dietary intake. In an attempt to better understand the driving forces behind dietary intake, more recent endeavors in health promotion have focused on elucidating the dietary behaviors thought to be a large contributor to the obesity epidemic. While a majority of the evidence is focused on adults, a few of these studies have placed a particular emphasis on diverse adolescent populations. One crosssectional study examined racial/ethnic differences in the dietary behaviors of a large cohort of overweight or obese adolescents in California. [13] Respondents were compared with regard to consumption of five categories of food: fruits, vegetables, French fries, soft drinks, and fast-food. Findings indicated significant racial/ethnic differences in food preferences, and highlighted the need for culturally and linguistically tailored interventions that consider how individuals' dietary behaviors are influenced by their racial/ethnic backgrounds. One longitudinal study of Spanish adolescent students showed that an ongoing classroom-based nutrition education intervention was successful in reducing obesity and improving various measures of metabolic function. [14]

Other cross-sectional studies have confirmed that there are significant racial, ethnic, and gender differences among underserved adolescents concerning food preferences, food-buying behaviors, and perceived barriers to healthy eating. [15,16] Thus, our study is novel in building on the need to address these issues with the implementation of a direct personalized nutrition intervention.

Although the cohort of youth participating was small, these preliminary results are promising, as this is a unique study addressing dietary intake and shopping behaviors in the context of improving selfefficacy in adolescents. In identifying potential means to establish meaningful and sustainable behavioral change surrounding nutrition amongst adolescents, this pilot study outlines a simple approach to empower youth to be more active participants in their dietary intake.

Limitations of the study include: 1) short timeframe of the intervention; 2) small sample size; and 3) adolescents volunteered to participate in the intervention, so were likely more interested in learning new information and changing their behavior, than adolescents in general. Further studies are necessary to fully determine the effect that this approach may have over time on dietary intake and food purchasing.

Similar to how the quantitative data indicates a positive impact of the educational intervention, additional qualitative data collected by the researchers in the form of comments further supported the perceived impact. In the formative phase, it was noted that the participants had a low baseline level of nutrition knowledge. Throughout the study, it became clear that the knowledge deficit was not due to lack of concern. Particular comments such as "Wow, no one ever took the time to explain that to me" with respect to how to calculate calories in a bag of potato chips and "My boyfriend gets mad at me now because I take too long in the market reading food labels." suggest that this project has impacted the behaviors and knowledge of these adolescents beyond what the quantitative data shows.

#### Implications for research and practice

This and other public health interventions aimed to improve selfefficacy by addressing the more complicated structural factors surrounding diet can be an important addition to improving the health of underserved adolescents. The benefits for this research model are three-fold: first, it is a simple model which requires little start up resources; second, it applies the appropriate level of health literacy in utilizing tools that are easily understood by adolescents; and third, it focuses research efforts on food purchasing from local markets identified by the research participants. Therefore, this approach provides a personalized, attainable brief-intervention model that could be useful for organizations of any level. Future endeavors to expand upon these findings should focus on continuity and relationship building through implementing personalized educational intervention sessions.

### References

- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, Lamb MM, Flegal KM (2010) Prevalence of high body mass index in US children and adolescents, 2007-2008. JAMA 303: 242-249.
- McGuire S (2011) U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2010. 7th Edition, Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, January 2011. Adv Nutr 2: 293-294.
- 3. Fabricatore AN, Wadden TA, Higginbotham AJ, Faulconbridge LF, Nguyen AM, et al. (2011) Intentional weight loss and changes in

symptoms of depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Obes (Lond) 35: 1363-1376.

- 4. Iso H (2011) Lifestyle and cardiovascular disease in Japan. J Atheroscler Thromb 18: 83-88.
- World Health Organization (2003). Food and Agriculture Organization. Joint WHO/FAO expert consultation. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. Geneva: WHO/FAO.
- 6. Lucille Packard Children's Hospital of Stanford University. (2011) Adolescent Health.
- Darmon N, Drewnowski A (2008) Does social class predict diet quality? Am J Clin Nutr 87: 1107-1117.
- 8. Powell LM (2009) Fast food costs and adolescent body mass index: evidence from panel data. J Health Econ 28: 963-970.
- Nord M, Coleman-Jensen A, Andrews M, Carlson S (2010) Household food security in the United States, 2009. Washington (DC): U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. Economic Research Report No. ERR156.
- United States Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service. (2011) Automated Multi-Pass Method.
- 11. Moshfegh AJ, Rhodes DG, Baer DJ, Murayi T, Clemens JC, et al. (2008) The US Department of Agriculture Automated Multiple-Pass Method reduces bias in the collection of energy intakes. Am J Clin Nutr 88: 324-332.
- 12. (2011)Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion, United States Department of Agriculture. My Pyramid Tracker.
- 13. Sorkin DH, Billimek J (2012) Dietary behaviors of a racially and ethnically diverse sample of overweight and obese Californians. Health Educ Behav 39: 737-744.
- 14. Campos Pastor MM, Serrano Pardo MD, Fernández Soto ML, Luna Del Castillo JD, Escobar-Jiménez F (2012) Impact of a 'school-based' nutrition intervention on anthropometric parameters and the metabolic syndrome in Spanish adolescents. Ann Nutr Metab 61: 281-288.
- Arcan C, Kubik MY, Fulkerson JA (2009) Sociodemographic differences in selected eating practices among alternative high school students. J Am Diet Assoc. 109:823-829.
- 16. Bruening M, Kubik MY, Kenyon D, Davey C, Story M (2010) Perceived barriers mediate the association between self-efficacy and fruit and vegetable consumption among students attending alternative high schools. J Am Diet Assoc 110: 1542-1546.

J Child Adolesc Behav, an open access journal ISSN: 2375-4494 Page 4 of 4