Editorial   **Open Access**

Psychiatric Impacts of Virtual Social Media Influencers

Chen Mei Li*

Department of Psychiatry, University of Bristol, United Kingdom

Abstract

The rise of virtual "social media influencers," created through artificial intelligence (AI) and digital avatars, has reshaped the landscape of social media marketing and online interactions. These influencers, which are not human but are designed to simulate human behaviors and appearances, have garnered massive followings across platforms such as Instagram, TikTok, and YouTube. While traditional human influencers have been the subject of significant research regarding their psychological impact on followers, the psychiatric effects of virtual influencers remain relatively underexplored. This article examines the psychiatric impacts of virtual influencers on their audiences, focusing on issues such as body image, identity formation, social comparison, and mental health disorders. It discusses how the artificial, often idealized portrayal of life by virtual influencers may exacerbate feelings of inadequacy, anxiety, and depression among followers. Additionally, the article explores the implications for the mental health of the virtual influencers themselves digital avatars created for engagement rather than authentic human experience. The article concludes by proposing recommendations for mitigating the negative psychiatric effects of virtual influencers and promoting a more balanced and ethical approach to their use in digital spaces.

Introduction

Social media platforms have long been known for their ability to shape public perception, influence behaviors, and create communities of followers. In recent years, a new form of influencer has emerged virtual social media influencers (VIs) which are digitally created personas powered by AI and other advanced technologies. Unlike traditional influencers, who are real individuals posting about their personal lives, virtual influencers are entirely fabricated entities that are programmed to project a certain personality, lifestyle, or appearance. They are typically used by brands to market products, engage with audiences, and shape consumer culture [1]. While the popularity of virtual influencers, such as Lil Miquela, Shudu, and Imma, has grown rapidly, the psychiatric implications of interacting with these digital beings are less understood. Virtual influencers are often crafted to embody idealized, often unattainable versions of beauty, success, and happiness. As a result, their digital content can have profound effects on the mental health of their followers, many of whom may not fully recognize the artificial nature of these personas. This article delves into the psychiatric effects that virtual influencers may have on their audiences, particularly regarding issues of self-esteem, identity, and mental health disorders. It also addresses the ethical concerns surrounding the creation and consumption of these virtual personas [2].

Body Image and Self-Esteem Concerns

Virtual influencers, like their human counterparts, often project an image of perfection, which can exacerbate body image concerns among followers. These digital avatars are usually designed to fit societal ideals of beauty—flawless skin, slim bodies, and carefully curated lifestyles that are impossible for most individuals to replicate in real life. For many followers, especially younger audiences, the constant exposure to these idealized images can lead to negative self-comparisons, fueling body dissatisfaction and low self-esteem [3]. The rise of virtual influencers exacerbates the pressures faced by individuals, particularly teenagers and young adults, who are highly susceptible to media portrayals of beauty. Research has shown that exposure to idealized images in the media can contribute to body dysmorphia, eating disorders, and other mental health issues. With virtual influencers, the pressure may be even more intense because these avatars do not suffer from the same biological limitations as humans. Their flawless appearance is not constrained by genetics, aging, or the realities of human experience.

Instead, they are curated and controlled by their creators to reflect an ideal that becomes more difficult to distinguish from reality. Moreover, many virtual influencers promote a lifestyle that appears effortlessly luxurious, further contributing to unrealistic expectations about wealth, success, and happiness. This creates an environment where followers may feel pressured to achieve standards that are not only unrealistic but also unattainable, exacerbating feelings of inadequacy [4].

Identity Formation and Social Comparison

Virtual influencers also play a significant role in shaping identity formation among their followers. Adolescence and young adulthood are key stages for the development of self-concept and personal identity. Virtual influencers can complicate this process by offering highly stylized, curated, and often superficial models for identity development. Followers may look to these digital personas for guidance on how to dress, behave, or even think. This can lead to unhealthy social comparison, where followers measure their self-worth based on how closely they align with the virtual influencer's image or lifestyle. Social comparison theory, which posits that individuals evaluate their own worth by comparing themselves to others, is particularly relevant in the context of virtual influencers. Given that virtual influencers are essentially "perfect" versions of idealized selves, they become highly attractive comparison targets. As followers engage with these influencers on a regular basis, they may develop an internalized standard of what is "right" or "desirable." This can result in a fragmented sense of self, where individuals struggle to reconcile their real selves with the idealized personas they encounter online [5]. In extreme cases, this may lead to a phenomenon known as "digital dissociation," where individuals begin

*Corresponding author: Chen Mei Li, Department of Psychiatry, University of Bristol, United Kingdom, E-Mail Id: mei_che45@yahoo.com

Citation: Li CM (2024) Psychiatric Impacts of Virtual Social Media Influencers. Psychol Psychiatry 8: 245.

to feel disconnected from their real-life identities, instead adopting the personalities, interests, and even physical traits of the virtual influencers they follow. For some, this could escalate to issues of identity confusion or identity disturbance, particularly for young people still navigating their sense of self [6].

Anxiety and Depression

The constant exposure to virtual influencers can also contribute to the development of anxiety and depression, particularly in relation to the pressure of maintaining a perfect image or lifestyle. For followers who already experience mental health challenges, virtual influencers can exacerbate feelings of loneliness, social anxiety, and depression. The digitally manipulated, often highly edited content posted by virtual influencers presents an unachievable standard of beauty and success. As followers internalize these images, they may begin to feel inadequate, isolated, or disconnected from others. Virtual influencers can also contribute to the phenomenon of "social media fatigue," where users experience burnout due to constant online comparison, the pressure to maintain a certain online presence, and the overwhelming nature of curated content. This can contribute to heightened levels of anxiety, depression, and even feelings of FOMO (fear of missing out), particularly when individuals compare their real lives to the idyllic worlds portrayed by digital avatars. Furthermore, the "always-on" nature of social media means that virtual influencers are available for 24/7 engagement, often posting content multiple times a day. This constant interaction with digital influencers, coupled with the pressure to stay connected and engaged, can create an unhealthy cycle of obsessive consumption. Over time, this can lead to depressive symptoms, sleep disturbances, and difficulty disengaging from the digital world [7].

The Ethical Implications of Virtual Influencers

The ethical concerns surrounding virtual influencers are multifaceted. One of the central issues is the lack of transparency regarding the creation of these avatars and the extent to which their content is digitally manipulated. Many followers may not be aware that the influencer they are following is a virtual persona and that their content is crafted by a team of designers and marketers rather than representing a real, authentic person. This lack of transparency raises important ethical questions about consumer manipulation and the responsibility of creators to disclose the virtual nature of these influencers. Moreover, there are concerns about the impact of virtual influencers on societal values. By promoting unrealistic beauty standards and lifestyles, virtual influencers may perpetuate harmful stereotypes and reinforce societal pressures around appearance, wealth, and success. There is a growing need for greater responsibility among creators, marketers, and platforms to ensure that virtual influencers are used in ways that promote positive mental health and inclusivity, rather than exacerbating existing societal pressures [8].

Recommendations for Mitigating the Psychiatric Impact

To mitigate the psychiatric impacts of virtual influencers on mental health, several strategies can be adopted. First, digital literacy programs should be implemented to educate followers, especially younger audiences, about the artificial nature of virtual influencers. Understanding that these avatars are digitally manipulated and do not represent reality can help reduce the negative psychological effects of social comparison [9]. Second, virtual influencers should be held to ethical standards that prioritize mental health and well-being. This could include promoting diverse body types, challenging traditional beauty standards, and encouraging positive, realistic portrayals of mental health. Creators of virtual influencers should consider the potential harm their content can cause and strive to present more inclusive, balanced, and responsible portrayals. Lastly, social media platforms can implement features that allow users to filter or limit exposure to idealized content, providing a more balanced view of life and human experience. Providing mental health resources and support directly within social media platforms can also help users who are struggling with the psychological effects of digital media consumption [10].

Conclusion

Virtual social media influencers are reshaping the digital landscape, offering an innovative way to engage audiences and promote products. However, their influence on the mental health of followers is a growing concern. The unrealistic portrayal of beauty, success, and happiness by these digital personas can exacerbate body image issues, anxiety, depression, and social comparison among followers. It is essential for creators, marketers, and social media platforms to acknowledge the psychiatric impacts of virtual influencers and adopt responsible practices to ensure that digital content fosters a healthier and more inclusive online environment. By promoting transparency, diversity, and ethical content creation, the negative psychiatric effects of virtual influencers can be mitigated, allowing for a more positive and supportive digital space.

References

1. Pagel T, Baldessarini RJ, Franklin J, Baethge C (2013) Heterogeneity of schizoaffective disorder compared with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Acta Psychiatr Scand 128: 238-250.

2. Anaamika C, Robert HM, Toer S, Leigh AR, Michael M, et al. (2022) Multi-omics of human plasma reveals molecular features of dysregulated inflammation and accelerated aging in schizophrenia. Mol Psychiatry 27: 1217-1225.

3. Stachowiak MK, Kucinski A, Curl R, Syposs C, Yang Y, et al. (2013) Schizophrenia: a neurodevelopmental disorder--integrative genomic hypothesis and therapeutic implications from a transgenic mouse model. Schizophr Res 143: 367-376.

4. Paul L, Benjamin HY, Camilla B, Yudi P, Tyrone DC, et al. (2009) Common genetic determinants of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder in Swedish families: a population-based study. Lancet 373: 234-239.

5. Jakub T, Hassan R, Paul CG, Sabine B (2016) Neuroimmune biomarkers in schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 176: 3-13.

6. Bjorn RR (2018) The research evidence for schizophrenia as a neurodevelopmental disorder. Scand J Psychol 59: 49-58.

7. Rachel U, Steven M, Max B (2017) Depression and Schizophrenia: Cause, Consequence, or Trans-diagnostic Issue?. Schizophr Bull 43: 240-244.

8. Christopher AR, Russell LM, Sarah AJR, Mikhail P, Joseph TC, et al. (2006) Neurobiology of schizophrenia. Neuron 52: 139-153.

9. Yujuan C, Abby JF, Josh DL (2007) Treatment of specific phobia in adults. Clin Psychol Rev 27: 266-286.

10. Bettina S (2018) [CME: Fear of Flying and Flying Phobia]. Praxis (Bern 1994) 107: 623-628.