

Pharmacotherapies: Focusing On both the Substance and the Behavior to Reduce Maladaptive Choice in Drug Addiction

Janelle Burrows* and Mihyoung Park

Nutrition and Dietetics, School of Health Sciences, College of Health, Medicine, and Wellbeing, University of Newcastle, Australia

Abstract

Drug addiction remains a significant global health issue, characterized by compulsive drug-seeking behavior and use despite adverse consequences. Traditional pharmacotherapies have primarily targeted the substance of abuse, aiming to reduce withdrawal symptoms and prevent relapse. However, emerging evidence suggests that addressing the behavioral aspects of addiction is equally crucial in promoting long-term recovery. This article explores the dual approach of targeting both the substance and the behavior in pharmacotherapies to reduce maladaptive choices in drug addiction. We review current pharmacological treatments, behavioral interventions, and the potential for integrated therapeutic strategies.

Keywords: Drug addiction; Pharmacotherapies; Substance use disorders; Opioid use disorder (OUD); Alcohol use disorder

Introduction

Drug addiction is a chronic, relapsing disorder that significantly impacts individuals and society. It is characterized by the compulsive seeking and use of drugs despite harmful consequences. The etiology of addiction is multifactorial, involving genetic, environmental, and neurobiological factors. Traditional pharmacotherapies have focused on alleviating withdrawal symptoms and reducing cravings through substances like methadone, buprenorphine, and naltrexone [1-4]. However, addiction is not solely a pharmacological issue; it also involves maladaptive behaviors and cognitive processes that perpetuate substance use. This article aims to highlight the importance of addressing both the pharmacological and behavioral components of addiction to reduce maladaptive choices and improve treatment outcomes. Historically, pharmacotherapies for addiction have primarily focused on the substance of abuse, aiming to mitigate withdrawal symptoms and reduce cravings. Medications such as methadone, buprenorphine, and naltrexone have demonstrated efficacy in treating opioid use disorder (OUD), while disulfiram, acamprosate, and naltrexone have been utilized in managing alcohol use disorder (AUD). Despite their success in alleviating some of the physiological aspects of addiction, these treatments often fall short in addressing the underlying behavioral and cognitive components that drive maladaptive choices and relapse. Behavioral interventions, including cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), contingency management (CM), and motivational interviewing (MI), play a crucial role in addiction treatment by targeting the psychological and social dimensions of the disorder. These interventions help individuals develop coping strategies, enhance motivation for change, and establish new patterns of behavior that support recovery. However, the effectiveness of behavioral therapies can be limited if the physiological dependence and cravings are not concurrently addressed [5]. Recent advancements in addiction treatment underscore the importance of integrating pharmacological and behavioral interventions. This dual approach aims to provide a more holistic treatment that not only addresses the immediate physiological needs of the individual but also tackles the long-term behavioral and cognitive challenges. By combining these strategies, healthcare providers can offer a more robust framework for reducing maladaptive choices and promoting sustained recovery. This article explores the synergistic potential of combining pharmacological and behavioral therapies in the treatment of drug addiction. We examine current pharmacological treatments for various substance use disorders, review effective behavioral interventions, and

discuss the benefits and challenges of integrated therapeutic strategies.

Behavioral Interventions

Behavioral interventions are essential components of addiction treatment, addressing the psychological and social aspects of the disorder. Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), contingency management (CM), and motivational interviewing (MI) are commonly used techniques.

Cognitive-behavioral therapy: CBT helps individuals recognize and change maladaptive thought patterns and behaviors associated with substance use. It focuses on developing coping strategies, problem-solving skills, and relapse prevention techniques [6].

Contingency management: CM involves providing tangible rewards for desired behaviors, such as negative drug tests. This approach leverages the brain's reward system to reinforce abstinence and treatment adherence.

Motivational interviewing: MI is a client-centered counseling approach that enhances motivation to change by exploring and resolving ambivalence. It is particularly effective in engaging individuals in treatment and promoting behavioral change.

Integrated therapeutic strategies: Emerging evidence supports the integration of pharmacological and behavioral interventions to address both the substance and the behavior in addiction treatment. Integrated approaches may include medication-assisted therapy (MAT) combined with CBT or CM, enhancing treatment efficacy and promoting long-term recovery.

Medication-assisted therapy with behavioral interventions:

***Corresponding author:** Janelle Burrows, Nutrition and Dietetics, School of Health Sciences, College of Health, Medicine, and Wellbeing, University of Newcastle, Australia, E-mail: j.burrows@gmail.com

Received: 1-July-2024, Manuscript No: jart-24-143970, **Editor assigned:** 3-July-2024, Pre QC No: jart-24-143970 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 17-July-2024, QC No: jart-24-143970, **Revised:** 22-July-2024, Manuscript No jart-24-143970 (R), **Published:** 27-July-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2155-6105.100672

Citation: Janelle B (2024) Pharmacotherapies: Focusing On both the Substance and the Behavior to Reduce Maladaptive Choice in Drug Addiction. J Addict Res Ther 15: 672.

Copyright: © 2024 Janelle B. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Combining MAT with behavioral interventions leverages the strengths of both approaches. For instance, MAT can alleviate withdrawal symptoms and reduce cravings, while CBT or CM can address the underlying behavioral and cognitive aspects of addiction.

Personalized treatment plans: Personalized treatment plans tailored to the individual's specific needs, including their substance use history, co-occurring mental health disorders, and social circumstances, are crucial for effective treatment. This approach ensures that both the pharmacological and behavioral components of addiction are adequately addressed [7].

Discussion

The treatment of drug addiction requires a multifaceted approach that addresses both the pharmacological and behavioral components of the disorder. Traditional pharmacotherapies have been instrumental in managing the physiological aspects of addiction, such as withdrawal symptoms and cravings. However, the complex nature of addiction, which involves both substance dependence and maladaptive behaviors, necessitates a more comprehensive treatment strategy. Pharmacological treatments have shown significant efficacy in managing withdrawal symptoms and reducing cravings for various substances. For instance, methadone and buprenorphine have been effective in treating OUD by stabilizing opioid receptors and preventing withdrawal symptoms. Naltrexone, on the other hand, works by blocking the euphoric effects of opioids, thus reducing the incentive for opioid use. Similarly, medications like disulfiram, acamprostate, and naltrexone have been utilized in AUD treatment with varying degrees of success.

However, the reliance on pharmacotherapies alone often falls short in addressing the behavioral and cognitive aspects of addiction. Patients may continue to engage in drug-seeking behaviors due to ingrained habits, environmental triggers, and psychological stressors. This highlights the necessity of incorporating behavioral interventions that can modify these maladaptive patterns. Behavioral therapies are essential in addressing the psychological and social dimensions of addiction. CBT, for example, helps patients identify and change distorted thought patterns and behaviors related to substance use. It equips them with coping strategies to handle stress and prevent relapse. CM, which provides rewards for positive behaviors like abstinence, leverages the brain's reward system to encourage drug-free behavior. MI focuses on enhancing the individual's motivation to change, making it particularly effective in engaging patients in the treatment process. Despite their effectiveness, behavioral interventions alone may not be sufficient for individuals with severe physiological dependence on substances. This is where the integration of pharmacological and behavioral treatments becomes crucial. Integrated therapeutic strategies that combine pharmacological and behavioral treatments offer a more holistic approach to addiction treatment. For example, MAT, which combines medications like methadone or buprenorphine with behavioral therapies, has been shown to improve treatment retention and outcomes in OUD. These integrated approaches address both the physiological and psychological components of addiction, providing a more comprehensive treatment framework. Personalized treatment plans that consider the individual's specific needs, including their substance use history, co-occurring mental health disorders, and social circumstances, are crucial for effective treatment. This personalized approach ensures that both the pharmacological and behavioral components of addiction are adequately addressed, leading to better treatment outcomes.

Challenges and future directions

While integrated approaches hold promise, they also present

challenges. Coordinating pharmacological and behavioral treatments requires a multidisciplinary team and can be resource-intensive. Additionally, stigma associated with both medication and behavioral treatments can hinder access and adherence to comprehensive care. Future research should focus on optimizing integrated treatment models, exploring the efficacy of new pharmacological agents, and developing innovative behavioral interventions. Advances in neurobiology and personalized medicine may offer new insights into tailoring treatments to individual needs, enhancing the effectiveness of integrated approaches [8-10].

Conclusion

Addressing both the substance and the behavior in addiction treatment is essential for reducing maladaptive choices and promoting long-term recovery. Pharmacotherapies can alleviate withdrawal symptoms and reduce cravings, while behavioral interventions address the psychological and social aspects of addiction. Integrated therapeutic strategies that combine these approaches hold promise for more effective treatment outcomes. Future research should continue to explore and refine these integrated approaches, ensuring that individuals with addiction receive comprehensive and personalized care.

Acknowledgement

None

Conflict of Interest

None

References

1. Bower H, Johnson S, Bangura MS, Kamara AJ, Kamara O, et al. (2016) Exposure-Specific and Age-Specific Attack Rates for Ebola Virus Disease in Ebola-Affected Households Sierra Leone. *Emerg Infect Dis* 22: 1403-1411.
2. Brannan JM, He S, Howell KA, Prugar LI, Zhu W, et al. (2019) Post-exposure immunotherapy for two ebolaviruses and Marburg virus in nonhuman primates. *Nat Commun* 10: 105.
3. Cross RW, Bornholdt ZA, Prasad AN, Geisbert JB, Borisevich V, et al. (2020) Prior vaccination with rVSV-ZEBOV does not interfere with but improves efficacy of postexposure antibody treatment. *Nat Commun* 11: 3736.
4. Henao-Restrepo AM, Camacho A, Longini IM, Watson CH, Edmunds WJ, et al. (2017) Efficacy and effectiveness of an rVSV-vectored vaccine in preventing Ebola virus disease: final results from the Guinea ring vaccination, open-label, cluster-randomised trial (Ebola Ça Suffit!). *Lancet Lond Engl* 389: 505-518.
5. Jacobs M, Aarons E, Bhagani S, Buchanan R, Cropley I, et al. (2015) Post-exposure prophylaxis against Ebola virus disease with experimental antiviral agents: a case-series of health-care workers. *Lancet Infect Dis* 15: 1300-1304.
6. Ponsich A, Goutard F, Som S, Tarantola A (2016) A prospective study on the incidence of dog bites and management in a rural Cambodian, rabies-endemic setting. *Acta Trop* 160: 62-67.
7. Cantaert T, Borand L, Kergoat L, Leng C, Ung S, et al. (2019) A 1-week intradermal dose-sparing regimen for rabies post-exposure prophylaxis (RESIST-2): an observational cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 19: 1355-1362.
8. D'Souza AJ, Mar KD, Huang J, Majumdar S, Ford BM, et al. (2013) Rapid deamidation of recombinant protective antigen when adsorbed on aluminum hydroxide gel correlates with reduced potency of vaccine. *J Pharm Sci* 102: 454-461.
9. Hopkins RJ, Howard C, Hunter-Stitt E, Kaptur PE, Pleune B, et al. (2014) Phase 3 trial evaluating the immunogenicity and safety of a three-dose BioThrax® regimen for post-exposure prophylaxis in healthy adults. *Vaccine* 32: 2217-2224.
10. Longstreth J, Skiadopoulos MH, Hopkins RJ (2016) Licensure strategy for pre- and post-exposure prophylaxis of biothrax vaccine: the first vaccine licensed using the FDA animal rule. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 15: 1467-1479.