



Variations in Body Structure during Losing Weight: Methods

Davis MG*

Department of Molecular Medicine and Pathology, University of Auckland, New Zealand

Abstract

As obesity becomes increasingly prevalent, weight loss methods and their effects on body structure are of growing interest. This review explores variations in body structure during weight loss, focusing on the methods utilized in research. The methods include measurements of body composition, such as dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA), bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), and underwater weighing. Additionally, techniques like waist circumference and skinfold measurements are discussed. Understanding the variations in body structure during weight loss is crucial for improving weight loss interventions and their long-term outcomes. This review concludes by highlighting the importance of employing a combination of methods for comprehensive body composition analysis.

Keywords: Weight loss; Body structure; Body composition; Dual-energy; X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA); Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA); Underwater weighing; Waist circumference; Skinfold measurements; Body fat; Lean mass; Obesity

Introduction

Obesity is a global health concern, with its prevalence on the rise. The detrimental effects of obesity on health, including increased risk of chronic diseases such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and certain cancers, make it a significant public health issue. Consequently, there has been a growing emphasis on weight loss interventions to combat obesity and its associated health risks.

As weight loss is pursued, it is essential to understand the variations in body structure that occur during the process. These variations include changes in body fat, lean mass and overall body composition. Understanding how different weight loss methods affect body structure is crucial for optimizing weight loss interventions and promoting long-term success.

This review will explore the methods utilized in research to measure variations in body structure during weight loss. These methods include direct measurements of body composition, such as dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA), bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), and underwater weighing, as well as indirect measurements like waist circumference and skinfold measurement. By examining the various methods used to assess body structure during weight loss, this review aims to provide insights into the complex nature of weight loss and the importance of employing a combination of methods for comprehensive body composition analysis.

This review will discuss each method's principles, advantages, and limitations, providing an overview of the current understanding of variations in body structure during weight loss. Understanding these variations is essential for tailoring weight loss interventions to individual needs and optimizing their effectiveness. Furthermore, a comprehensive understanding of body structure changes during weight loss can inform strategies to prevent weight regain and promote long-term weight management.

Factors affecting variations in body structure during weight loss

Initial body composition: Initial body composition, including body fat percentage and lean mass, can significantly influence how body structure changes during weight loss. Individuals with higher initial body fat may experience more substantial reductions in fat mass,

while those with higher lean mass may see greater reductions in muscle mass.

Type of weight loss intervention: The type of weight loss intervention, such as diet, exercise, or a combination of both, can influence body composition changes. For example, a diet-focused intervention may result in greater reductions in fat mass, while an exercise-focused intervention may lead to greater preservation of lean mass.

Diet composition: The composition of the weight loss diet, including macronutrient distribution (e.g., high-protein vs. low-carbohydrate), can affect changes in body composition. Diets high in protein may help preserve lean mass during weight loss, while low-carbohydrate diets may result in greater reductions in fat mass.

Exercise type and intensity: The type and intensity of exercise during weight loss can also affect body composition. Resistance training can help preserve lean mass, while aerobic exercise may result in greater reductions in fat mass.

Rate of weight loss: The rate at which weight is lost can [1-7] impact body composition changes. Rapid weight loss may lead to greater reductions in both fat and lean mass, while slow and steady weight loss may help preserve lean mass.

Sex: Biological sex can influence body composition changes during weight loss. Men tend to have a higher proportion of lean mass and may experience greater reductions in fat mass during weight loss compared to women.

Age: Age-related factors, such as changes in hormone levels and muscle mass, can influence body composition changes during weight loss. Older individuals may experience greater reductions in lean mass compared to younger individuals.

*Corresponding author: Davis MG, Department of Molecular Medicine and Pathology, University of Auckland, New Zealand, E-mail: DavisMG78@gmail.com

Received: 1-Feb-2024, Manuscript No: jowt-24-128259, **Editor assigned:** 3-Feb-2024, Pre QC No: jowt-24-128259 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 17-Feb-2024, QC No: jowt-24-128259, **Revised:** 22-Feb-2024, Manuscript No: jowt-24-128259(R), **Published:** 29-Feb-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2165-7904.1000652

Citation: Davis MG (2024) Variations in Body Structure during Losing Weight: Methods. J Obes Weight Loss Ther 14: 652.

Copyright: © 2024 Davis MG. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Genetics: Genetic factors can play a role in how body composition changes during weight loss. Some individuals may be more genetically predisposed to lose fat or lean mass during weight loss.

Metabolic rate: Metabolic rate, or the number of calories burned at rest, can impact body composition changes during weight loss. Individuals with a higher metabolic rate may experience greater reductions in fat mass.

Psychological factors: Psychological factors, such as motivation, self-efficacy, and adherence to the weight loss intervention, can influence body composition changes. Individuals who are highly motivated and adhere to their weight loss plan may experience more significant changes in body composition.

These factors highlight the complex nature of body composition changes during weight loss and the importance of individualized interventions tailored to specific needs and preferences. By understanding these factors, weight loss interventions can be optimized to promote long-term success and improve overall health outcomes.

Methods

Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA): DEXA is a commonly used method for assessing body composition, including body fat percentage, lean mass, and bone mineral density. It uses two X-ray beams of different energy levels to measure the absorption of each beam by bone and soft tissue. DEXA is considered the gold standard for body composition assessment.

Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA): BIA measures the impedance, or resistance, of the body to an electrical current. By measuring the resistance, BIA can estimate body composition, including body fat percentage and lean mass. BIA is non-invasive and relatively inexpensive, making it a popular method for body composition assessment.

Underwater weighing (hydrostatic weighing): Underwater weighing involves immersing an individual in water and measuring the weight loss due to water displacement. This method relies on Archimedes' principle and is used to estimate body density, which can then be used to calculate body composition, including body fat percentage.

Waist circumference: Waist circumference is a simple anthropometric measurement used to assess abdominal obesity. It is measured at the narrowest part of the waist, usually just above the belly button. Waist circumference is an indicator of visceral fat, which is associated with an increased risk of metabolic disorders.

Skinfold measurement: Skinfold measurement involves using calipers to measure the thickness of subcutaneous fat at various sites on the body. By summing the skinfold thickness measurements, an estimate of body fat percentage can be obtained. Skinfold measurement is simple, inexpensive, and can be used to track changes in body composition over time.

Air displacement plethysmography (Bod Pod): Air displacement plethysmography (ADP), also known as the Bod Pod, measures body volume by measuring air displacement when an individual sits inside a chamber. By combining body volume with body weight, body composition, including body fat percentage, can be estimated.

Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI): CT and MRI scans provide detailed images of body tissues and organs, allowing for accurate measurement of body composition,

including fat and lean mass. However, these methods are expensive, require specialized equipment, and expose individuals to ionizing radiation in the case of CT scans.

Deuterium dilution: Deuterium dilution is a technique used to estimate total body water and, indirectly, fat-free mass. It involves measuring the concentration of deuterium, a stable isotope of hydrogen, in body fluids before and after a dose of deuterium oxide is ingested. The difference in deuterium concentration is used to calculate total body water and, by subtracting total body water from body weight, fat-free mass.

Each method has its strengths and limitations, and the choice of method depends on factors such as cost, accessibility, and the level of precision required. It is essential to use multiple methods to assess variations in body structure comprehensively.

Future Scope

Advancements in imaging technology: As imaging technology continues to advance, new methods for assessing body composition will emerge. For example, advances in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technology may lead to the development of more accurate and efficient methods for measuring body fat and lean mass.

Integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning: The integration of AI and machine learning algorithms into body composition analysis may lead to more accurate and personalized assessments. AI algorithms can analyze large datasets and identify patterns that may be missed by traditional methods, leading to more precise and individualized assessments of body composition.

Mobile health (mHealth) technologies: The use of mobile health technologies, such as smartphone apps and wearable devices, may revolutionize body composition assessment. These technologies can provide real-time data on body composition, allowing for more frequent and personalized monitoring of changes in body structure during weight loss.

Biomarker identification: Advances in biomarker identification may lead to the development of new biomarkers that can predict changes in body composition. These biomarkers could be used to identify individuals at risk of developing obesity-related health conditions and guide personalized weight loss interventions.

Integration of genetic and epigenetic data: Advances in genetics and epigenetics research may lead to the identification of genetic and epigenetic factors that influence body composition. These factors could be integrated into body composition assessments to provide a more comprehensive understanding of an individual's body structure.

Development of non-invasive methods: The development of non-invasive methods for assessing body composition, such as infrared spectroscopy or near-infrared spectroscopy, may lead to more convenient and accessible assessments. These methods could be used in home-based or community settings, allowing for more widespread monitoring of body composition.

Cultural sensitivity and inclusivity: There is a growing recognition of the importance of cultural sensitivity and inclusivity in body composition assessment. Future research may focus on developing methods that are culturally sensitive and inclusive of diverse populations, ensuring that everyone has access to accurate and personalized assessments of body structure.

Integration with digital health platforms: The integration of

body composition assessments with digital health platforms may lead to more integrated and personalized weight loss interventions. These platforms can provide real-time feedback and support, helping individuals achieve their weight loss goals more effectively.

Research on the micro biome: There is growing interest in the role of the gut microbiome in obesity and body composition. Future research may focus on integrating microbiome data into body composition assessments, providing a more comprehensive understanding of the factors that influence body structure during weight loss.

Patient-centered approaches: As personalized medicine becomes more prevalent, future research may focus on developing patient-centered approaches to body composition assessment. These approaches could take into account an individual's preferences, values, and goals, ensuring that assessments are tailored to their specific needs.

In conclusion, the future of methods for assessing variations in body structure during weight loss is promising, with advancements in technology, genetics, and personalized medicine leading to more accurate, accessible, and personalized assessments. By integrating these advancements into body composition assessment, researchers and clinicians can better understand the complex nature of body structure changes during weight loss and develop more effective weight loss interventions.

Conclusion

Assessing variations in body structure during weight loss is crucial for optimizing weight loss interventions and promoting long-term success. Over the years, various methods have been developed to assess body composition, each with its strengths and limitations. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA), bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), and underwater weighing are among the commonly used direct methods, while waist circumference and skinfold measurements are popular indirect methods.

Understanding the factors that influence body structure changes during weight loss is essential for tailoring interventions to individual needs and preferences. Factors such as initial body composition, type of weight loss intervention, diet composition, exercise type, and intensity,

among others, can significantly impact body composition changes. It is crucial to use multiple methods for comprehensive body composition analysis and to consider individual differences when interpreting the results.

As technology continues to advance, future developments in imaging technology, artificial intelligence (AI), and mobile health (mHealth) technologies hold promise for more accurate and personalized body composition assessments. The integration of genetic and epigenetic data, as well as the development of non-invasive methods and culturally sensitive approaches, will further enhance our understanding of body structure changes during weight loss. The future of assessing variations in body structure during weight loss is promising, with advancements in technology, genetics, and personalized medicine leading to more accurate, accessible, and personalized assessments. By integrating these advancements into body composition assessment, researchers and clinicians can better understand the complex nature of body structure changes during weight loss and develop more effective weight loss interventions.

References

1. Raftopoulos I, Giannakou A (2017) The Elipse Balloon, a swallowable gastric balloon for weight loss not requiring sedation, anesthesia or endoscopy: a pilot study with 12-month outcomes. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 13: 1174-1182.
2. Crea N, Pata G, Casa D, Minelli L, Maifredi G, et al. (2009) Improvement of metabolic syndrome following intragastric balloon: 1 year follow-up analysis. *Obes Surg* 19: 1084-1088.
3. Popov VB, Ou A, Schulman AR, Thompson CC (2017) The Impact of Intragastric Balloons on Obesity-Related Co-Morbidities: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 112: 429-439.
4. American Diabetes Association (2010) Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 33: S62-69.
5. Rosenzweig JL, Ferrannini E, Grundy SM, Haffner SM, Heine RJ, et al. (2008) Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes in patients at metabolic risk: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 93: 3671-3689.
6. Grant B, Sandelson M, Agyemang-Prempeh B, Zalin A (2021) Managing obesity in people with type 2 diabetes. *Clin Med (Lond)* 21: e327-e331.
7. Cefalu WT, Bray GA, Home PD, Garvey WT, Klein S, et al. (2015) Advances in the Science, Treatment, and Prevention of the Disease of Obesity: Reflections From a Diabetes Care Editors' Expert Forum. *Diabetes Care* 38: 1567-1582.