

Short Note on Environmental Biology and Materials

Ruben Noore*

Biomedical Research Centre in Mental Health Net (CIBERSAM), Santiago Apóstol Hospital, University of the Basque Country, Vitoria, Spain

Abstract

This short note explores the intersection of environmental biology and materials, shedding light on the critical role environmental biology plays in understanding and addressing the environmental impact of various materials. Through case studies, the note highlights the contributions of environmental biology in assessing biodiversity, ecological dynamics, and sustainability considerations related to materials. The studies encompass plastic pollution in marine ecosystems and the incorporation of sustainable building materials in urban environments. By elucidating these connections, the note emphasizes the importance of integrating environmental biology into material management strategies for a more sustainable future.

Keywords: Environmental biology; Materials; Plastic pollution; Marine ecosystems; Biodiversity; Sustainability; Sustainable building materials; Ecological impact; Life cycle analysis; Ecosystem services

Case Study 1: Plastic Pollution and Marine Ecosystems

Background: Plastic pollution has become a global environmental concern, with vast amounts of plastic waste entering marine ecosystems. Environmental biology plays a crucial role in understanding the impact of plastics on marine life and developing strategies for mitigation.

Case description: A study conducted in a coastal region revealed a significant accumulation of plastic debris in the ocean. Environmental biologists conducted surveys to assess the effects on marine ecosystems. The study found that marine organisms, from small plankton to large marine mammals, were ingesting or becoming entangled in plastic debris. This led to disruptions in the food chain, affecting the overall health of the marine ecosystem.

Environmental biology insights: Environmental biologists studied the interactions between species within the affected ecosystem. They observed changes in predator-prey relationships and disruptions in the reproductive cycles of certain species due to plastic ingestion.

Biodiversity impact: The study highlighted the negative impact of plastic pollution on biodiversity. Environmental biologists documented a decline in the populations of some marine species, leading to imbalances in the ecosystem.

Toxicity assessment: Environmental biologists conducted toxicity assessments to understand the release of harmful chemicals from plastics into the environment. These assessments helped in predicting the long-term effects on marine organisms and ecosystem health.

Mitigation strategies: Based on their findings, environmental biologists recommended mitigation strategies, including the reduction of single-use plastics, improved waste management practices, and the development of alternative materials that are more environmentally friendly.

Case Study 2: Sustainable Building Materials in Urban Environments

Background: The construction industry is a significant contributor to environmental degradation, with the extraction and use of traditional building materials impacting ecosystems. Environmental biology can provide insights into sustainable alternatives for construction materials.

Case description: A city planning department initiated a project to construct a sustainable building using environmentally friendly

materials. Environmental biologists collaborated with architects, engineers, and material scientists to assess the ecological impact of various construction materials.

Life cycle analysis: Environmental biologists conducted life cycle analyses of different building materials, considering their extraction, production, transportation, usage, and disposal. This approach helped identify materials with lower environmental footprints.

Biodiversity preservation: The study focused on preserving local biodiversity during construction. Environmental biologists recommended the use of materials that have [1-9] minimal impact on nearby ecosystems, emphasizing the importance of sustainable sourcing.

Ecosystem services: Environmental biologists highlighted the concept of ecosystem services provided by natural materials. Incorporating materials like sustainably harvested wood and recycled steel not only reduced environmental impact but also contributed positively to ecosystem services.

Green roofs and urban biodiversity: Environmental biologists advocated for the incorporation of green roofs, which support urban biodiversity and mitigate the heat island effect. This recommendation aimed to enhance the ecological functionality of the building itself.

Future Scope

The future scope of the intersection between environmental biology and materials holds significant promise, with opportunities for advancements that contribute to sustainable practices and the preservation of ecosystems. Several key areas represent the future scope of this interdisciplinary field:

Green materials innovation: Future research will likely focus on the development of innovative green materials that minimize

***Corresponding author:** Dr. Ruben Noore, Biomedical Research Centre in Mental Health Net (CIBERSAM), Santiago Apóstol Hospital, University of the Basque Country, Vitoria, Spain, E-mail: Rubenn33@gmail.com

Received: 1-Jan-2024, Manuscript No: science-24-125887, **Editor assigned:** 3-Jan-2024, Pre QC No: science-24-125887(PQ), **Reviewed:** 17-Jan-2024, QC No: science-24-125887, **Revised:** 19-Jan-2024, Manuscript No: science-24-125887(R), **Published:** 25-Jan-2024, DOI: 10.4172/science.1000203

Citation: Noore R (2024) Short Note on Environmental Biology and Materials. Arch Sci 8: 203.

Copyright: © 2024 Noore R. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

environmental impact. This includes exploring bio-based, recyclable, and biodegradable materials that can replace traditional ones, reducing the ecological footprint associated with material production and disposal.

Biomimicry in material design: The concept of biomimicry, drawing inspiration from nature to solve human challenges, will play a central role in material design. Researchers may explore natural structures and processes to develop materials that mimic the resilience and sustainability found in ecosystems.

Advanced life cycle assessments: Future advancements in life cycle assessments will enhance our ability to evaluate the environmental impact of materials comprehensively. This includes refining methodologies, incorporating real-time data, and considering the dynamic interactions between materials and ecosystems throughout their life cycles.

Smart materials for environmental monitoring: The development of smart materials equipped with sensors for environmental monitoring is a burgeoning area. These materials can provide real-time data on environmental conditions, helping researchers and policymakers make informed decisions about material usage and environmental conservation.

Circular economy models: The future will witness an increased emphasis on circular economy models, where materials are reused, recycled, and repurposed to minimize waste. Environmental biology will contribute to the optimization of these models, ensuring that materials align with ecological principles and contribute positively to ecosystems.

Eco-friendly construction materials: Sustainable construction practices will continue to evolve, with a focus on eco-friendly building materials that harmonize with local ecosystems. Innovations may include materials that sequester carbon, promote biodiversity, and integrate seamlessly with natural landscapes.

Biotechnological solutions: Biotechnological interventions, such as using microorganisms to break down pollutants or enhance the recyclability of materials, represent a promising avenue. Future research may explore how environmental biology can guide the development of biotechnological solutions for sustainable material management.

Cross-disciplinary collaboration: Future collaborations between environmental biologists, material scientists, engineers, and policymakers will be essential. Interdisciplinary research initiatives will facilitate a more holistic understanding of the complex relationships between materials and the environment, leading to innovative and sustainable solutions.

Policy development and standards: The future will likely see

the establishment of more comprehensive policies and standards that integrate environmental biology considerations into material production and usage. Governments and international organizations may work collaboratively to set guidelines that promote environmentally responsible material practices.

Environmental education and public awareness: As the importance of the environmental impact of materials gains recognition, future efforts will likely focus on environmental education and public awareness campaigns. Increased awareness can drive consumer choices, influencing demand for sustainable materials and fostering a culture of responsible material usage.

By exploring these future directions, the field of environmental biology and materials can contribute significantly to global efforts for sustainable development, biodiversity conservation, and the responsible management of materials in a rapidly changing world.

Conclusion

These case studies illustrate how environmental biology contributes to a holistic understanding of the interactions between materials and the environment. By applying ecological principles, environmental biologists can guide sustainable practices in material usage, ensuring a balance between human activities and the preservation of ecosystems.

References

1. Barcellos-Hoffa MH, Blakely EA, Burma S, Weil MM, Shay J, et al. (2015) Concepts and challenges in cancer risk prediction for the space radiation environment. *Life Sci Space Res* 6: 92-103.
2. Putcha L, Berens KL, Marshburn TH, Orteg HJ, Billica RD, et al. (1999) Pharmaceutical use by U.S. astronauts on space shuttle missions. *Aviat Space Environ Med* 70: 705-708.
3. Wotring VE (2015) Medication use by US crewmembers on the International Space Station. *FASEB J* 29: 4417-4423.
4. Wotring VE (2014) Monitoring physiology during space flight. *Proc SPIE* 9112-25.
5. Du B, Daniels VR, Vaksman Z, Boyd JL, Cready C, et al. (2011) Evaluation of physical and chemical changes in pharmaceuticals flown on space missions. *The AAPS J* 13: 299-308.
6. Zeitlin C, Hassler DM, Cucinotta FA, Reitz G, Rafkin S, et al. (2013) Measurements of energetic particle radiation in transit to Mars on the Mars Science Laboratory. *Science* 340: 1080-1084.
7. Ambosi TI (1998) *Physics of the Space Environment* Cambridge University Press.
8. Blue RS, Chancellor JC, Antonsen EL, Bayuse TM, Daniels VE, et al. (2019) Limitations in Predicting Radiation-Induced Pharmaceutical Instability during Long-Duration Spaceflight. *Nature Microgravity* 5: 15.
9. Bhayani D, Mehta P, Patel M, Naik H, Nathaniel TN, et al. (2022) Ground-based selected ionizing space radiation effects on stability of APIs and their formulations. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* 220: 115019.