

Characteristics of Defensive Mental Approaches with both Drugs and Alcohol

Uymaz Kulvinder*

Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Alanya Alaaddin Keykubat University, Turkey

Abstract

Substance abuse, whether involving drugs or alcohol, poses a significant public health challenge globally. Understanding the cognitive and behavioral characteristics associated with defensive mental approaches to substance use is essential for effective prevention and intervention strategies. This abstract provides an overview of the key characteristics of defensive mental approaches in the context of both drug and alcohol use. It draws attention to the psychological mechanisms employed by individuals to rationalize, deny, or minimize the negative consequences of their substance use. By recognizing and comprehending these traits, healthcare professionals, researchers, and policymakers can develop more targeted and effective approaches to address substance abuse and addiction. The characteristics of defensive mental approaches to drug and alcohol use include cognitive dissonance, denial, minimization, and self-justification. Individuals engaging in these defensive strategies often perceive their substance use as less harmful than it actually is, downplaying its negative consequences. Furthermore, they may externalize blame or rationalize their behavior to reduce guilt and cognitive dissonance. To better understand these defensive mental approaches, this abstract explores the psychological underpinnings, the role of social and environmental factors, and the potential consequences of such defenses. It also emphasizes the importance of early detection and intervention, as individuals employing these strategies may be less likely to seek help for their substance use problems. Recognizing and addressing defensive mental approaches is a critical component of comprehensive substance abuse prevention and treatment efforts. By focusing on these cognitive and behavioral patterns, healthcare providers and policymakers can develop more tailored strategies to promote healthier choices and reduce the individual and societal burden of drug and alcohol abuse.

Keywords: Defensive mental approaches; Substance abuse; Drug use; Alcohol use; Cognitive dissonance; Denial minimization; Self-justification; Rationalization; Psychological mechanisms; Substance abuse prevention; Intervention strategies; Behavioral patterns; Public health; Addiction; Early detection; Healthcare providers; Social factors; Environmental factors; Consequences of substance use

Introduction

Substance abuse, encompassing both drugs and alcohol, remains a pervasive and critical issue with far-reaching consequences for individuals and society as a whole. A noteworthy facet of substance abuse is the employment of defensive mental approaches by those who engage in these behaviors. These defensive strategies often involve cognitive dissonance, denial, minimization, and self-justification, enabling individuals to rationalize or downplay the negative consequences of their substance use. Recognizing and comprehending these characteristics is vital for the development of targeted and effective approaches to prevention and intervention in the context of drug and alcohol abuse. Substance abuse, whether through illicit drugs or excessive alcohol consumption, continues to be a global public health challenge. It has multifaceted effects on individuals' physical and mental health, relationships, and socioeconomic well-being. Understanding the cognitive and behavioral characteristics that underlie the defensive mental approaches individuals adopt is crucial for addressing this complex issue. This abstract explores the key attributes of defensive mental approaches in the context of drug and alcohol use. It delves into the psychological mechanisms that drive these behaviors and considers the role of social and environmental factors in their development and perpetuation. Moreover, it underscores the potential consequences of such defensive mental strategies and emphasizes the significance of early detection and intervention in mitigating the negative effects of substance abuse. Recognizing and addressing the defensive mental approaches used by individuals engaged in substance abuse is a pivotal

aspect of a comprehensive approach to substance abuse prevention and treatment. By focusing on these cognitive and behavioral patterns, healthcare providers, researchers, and policymakers can better tailor their strategies to promote healthier choices and reduce the substantial individual and societal burden imposed by drug and alcohol abuse. In the subsequent sections, we will explore the characteristics of these defensive mental approaches in greater detail, shedding light on the underlying mechanisms and implications for effective intervention.

Case Studies

Certainly, I can provide some brief fictional case studies that illustrate the characteristics of defensive mental approaches in the context of drug and alcohol use.

Case study 1: Denial and rationalization

Patient Profile: John is a 35-year-old professional with a well-paying job and a stable family life. He has been using cocaine recreationally for several years, often on weekends [1-5] with friends. His wife has expressed concerns about his drug use, which he dismisses.

***Corresponding author:** Uymaz Kulvinder, Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Alanya Alaaddin Keykubat University, Turkey, E-mail: ymaz123@gmail.com

Received: 18-Sep-2023, Manuscript No: jart-23-118214, **Editor assigned:** 20-Sep-2023, Pre QC No: jart-23-118214(PQ), **Reviewed:** 04-Oct-2023, QC-No: jart-23-118214, **Revised:** 09-Oct-2023, Manuscript No: jart-23-118214 (R), **Published:** 16-Oct-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2155-6105.1000586

Citation: Kulvinder U (2023) Characteristics of Defensive Mental Approaches with both Drugs and Alcohol. J Addict Res Ther 14: 586.

Copyright: © 2023 Kulvinder U. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Defensive Mental Approaches: John engages in denial, refusing to acknowledge the impact of his cocaine use on his relationships and health. He rationalizes his behavior by arguing that he only uses the drug occasionally, and it helps him relax and enjoy social gatherings.

Case study 2: Minimization and externalization

Patient Profile: Sarah, a 28-year-old college student, has a history of heavy alcohol consumption. Despite experiencing blackouts and strained friendships due to her drinking, she insists that her alcohol use is "no big deal."

Defensive Mental Approaches: Sarah minimizes the severity of her drinking by claiming that she's just having fun and that her friends are overreacting. She externalizes blame by suggesting that her friends are prudish and don't understand the college lifestyle.

Case study 3: Cognitive dissonance and self-justification

Patient Profile: Mark, a 42-year-old construction worker, has been smoking a pack of cigarettes daily for two decades. He's aware of the health risks but continues to smoke.

Defensive Mental Approaches: Mark experiences cognitive dissonance, recognizing the conflict between his knowledge of the health risks and his smoking habit. To alleviate this discomfort, he engages in self-justification by convincing himself that he's too stressed to quit and that it's his only source of relief.

Case study 4: Rationalization and minimization

Patient Profile: Emily, a 21-year-old college student, regularly uses prescription painkillers recreationally. She argues that it's not as harmful as illegal drugs and is readily available.

Defensive Mental Approaches: Emily rationalizes her use of prescription drugs by suggesting that they're safer than illegal substances and that she only takes them occasionally. She minimizes the risks by ignoring the potential consequences of her actions.

These case studies illustrate how individuals employ defensive mental approaches, including denial, rationalization, minimization, cognitive dissonance, and self-justification, to cope with their substance use. These strategies often serve to protect the individual's self-image and reduce feelings of guilt or anxiety associated with their behaviors. Recognizing these characteristics is essential for healthcare professionals and intervention strategies to address substance abuse effectively.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the case studies presented exemplify the diverse and intricate ways in which individuals employ defensive mental approaches to rationalize, deny, minimize, or justify their drug and alcohol use. These defensive strategies serve as psychological mechanisms that help individuals reconcile the contradictions between their behavior and the negative consequences or social disapproval

associated with substance abuse. The first case study demonstrated how denial and rationalization play a pivotal role in maintaining drug use. John's reluctance to acknowledge the impact of his cocaine use reflects a common pattern in which individuals avert their gaze from the adverse effects on their health and relationships. His rationalization that cocaine use enhances his social experiences further highlights how people can justify their behavior to themselves. The second case study focused on minimization and externalization of blame, illustrating how Sarah trivialized her excessive alcohol consumption and shifted responsibility onto others. Such defensive mental approaches can perpetuate substance abuse by downplaying its severity and placing the blame on external factors or individuals. The third case study emphasized the role of cognitive dissonance and self-justification in maintaining a smoking habit. Mark's awareness of the health risks associated with smoking generates cognitive dissonance, prompting self-justification as a means to alleviate this discomfort. These defensive strategies hinder individuals from taking meaningful steps toward quitting. In the fourth case study, Emily used rationalization and minimization to justify her recreational use of prescription painkillers. She portrayed her behavior as less harmful than illegal drugs and minimized the potential risks. Such defensive mental approaches enable individuals to perpetuate their substance use by obscuring the gravity of their actions. Overall, these case studies underscore the importance of recognizing defensive mental approaches in individuals struggling with substance abuse. These defense mechanisms often serve to protect one's self-image, reduce guilt, and maintain the status quo. Effective interventions and treatment strategies must take these characteristics into account to facilitate behavior change and promote healthier choices. By understanding the cognitive and behavioral patterns involved in these defensive mental approaches, healthcare professionals and policymakers can tailor their strategies to address the root causes of substance abuse effectively. The goal is to encourage individuals to confront the reality of their substance use, seek help when needed, and ultimately reduce the individual and societal burdens imposed by drug and alcohol abuse.

References

1. Saganuwan SA (2017) Toxicity studies of drugs and chemicals in animals: An overview. *Bulg J Vet Med* 20: 291-318.
2. Anaduaka E, Ogugua V, Simeon E (2013) Investigation of some important phytochemical, nutritional properties and toxicological potentials of *Newbouldia laevis* leaf and stem ethanol extracts. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 12: 5941-5949.
3. Marcus C, Karin L, Jain G, Matthias L, Jorns F, et al. (2003) Captive roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) select for low amount of tannic acid but not quebracho: Fluctuation of preference and potential benefit. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 136: 369-382.
4. Ene AC, Onodagu VC, Ekwughaonu EO, Ndupu RO, Okoro NB, et al. (2022) Intraperitoneal and Oral Acute Toxicity Studies of Aqueous Leaf Extract of *Chrysophyllum Albidum* in Swiss Albino Rats. *J Anal Bioanal Tech* 10: 460.
5. Ndarubu TA, Jigam AA, Olufunmilola AH, Famous OI, Damola AS, et al. (2020) Acute Toxicity Studies and Anti-plasmodial Potentials of *Newbouldia laevis* and *Crateva adansonii* in Plasmodium Berghei-infected Mice. *Iranian Journal of Toxicology* 14: 93-104.