

A Short Note on Intraoperative Neurophysiological Monitoring on Neurological Outcomes

Georgios C Papadopoulos*

Head of the laboratory of Anatomy, Histology and Embryology and Veterinary Faculty at School of Health Sciences, Aristotle University, Greece

Abstract

Transcranial engine evoked possibilities, somatosensory evoked possibilities, and free run electromyography were utilized for IONM with caution models. Patient record were audits with preoperative and postoperative neurological result estimations; Frankel Grading, McCormick Score, Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) Scale, American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) Grading, and The Japanese Orthopedic Association (JOA) Score at 1, 6, 12, and 24 months after surgery 104 patients were operated on in total. 77.4% activities were utilized IONM. 70.2 and 16.7% of tumors were found in the intradural extramedullary (IDEM) space, respectively. All follow-up time in the IONM group showed a statistically significant improvement (p-value 0.050) between preoperative and postoperative neurological outcomes. Alarm IONM had a sensitivity of 66.7 percent and a specificity of 88.7 percent, respectively, for predicting early worsening of the neurological outcome following surgery. Surgery for IDEM spinal cord tumors is linked to a favorable neurological outcome (OR 0.187, 95% CI 0.05–0.71); p-value of 0.014 The use of IONM in intradural spinal tumor surgery resulted in a statistically significant improvement in neurological outcomes and a decrease in neurological deficits following the procedure. With fair sensitivity and high specificity, IONM can identify neurological deficits and poor outcomes following surgery [1]. In particular, using IONM in IDEM results in better neurological outcomes after surgery.

Introduction

Approximately 15% of neurogenic tumors are intraspinal. Primary intraspinal tumors are those that originate in intraspinal tissues; secondary intraspinal tumors are those that originate in tissues that extend beyond the intraspinal origin or that have metastasized long distances to spinal tissues [2]. Surgical decompression, with or without instrumentation, is the treatment of choice for patients who present with symptoms or notice that there is evidence of tumor growth. The "gold standard" for most intraspinal tumors is total resection. Going against the norm, at whatever point that growths are adjoining significant primary, all out resection isn't protected. The removal of a spinal cord lesion always carries the possibility of neurological damage after surgery. Nerve root deficits have a postoperative complication rate of 6–8%, and myelopathy has a rate of 3–12%. Today, technological advancements have significantly improved intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring (IONM) during spinal surgery. The benefit of IONM has been reported in deformity spinal surgery. IONM shows high sensitivity and specificity in deformity correction spinal surgery, preventing postoperative neurological complications. The goal of the IONM is to prevent irreversible neural injury by using the appropriate IONM modalities that alert, rather than predict, a neurological complication. In this, IONM is using electrophysiological recordings such as motor evoked potential (MEP), somatosensor

In this study, the neurological outcomes of intradural spinal tumor surgeries with and without IONM were compared. To demonstrate the ability of IONM to predict postoperative neurological outcome and neurological recovery in intradural spinal tumors, we conduct a retrospective study. The study's retrospective design only provides original information from a setting with limited resources, making it worthy of consideration.

IONM was alert in 20 cases, but only 9 cases were left after the rescue protocol was completed (four IMSCT and five IDEM). In cases of alarm IONM, the patient's postoperative score decreased. There were a total of nine alarm IONM patients, with two having true positive results for early worsening postoperative neurological outcome and being diagnosed with IMSCT [3]. Even though there were 56 non-

alarm IONM patients, only 1.79 percent had false negative results that indicated an early worsening of the neurological outcome following surgery. Alarm IONM had a sensitivity of 66.7 percent and a specificity of 88.7 percent, respectively, for predicting early worsening of the neurological outcome following surgery. The negative predictive value (NPV) was 98.2 percent, while the positive predictive value (PPV) was 22.2 percent. The term "true positive" refers to the alarm IONM correctly indicating a worsening neurological outcome following surgery. True negative refers to non-alarm IONM, which correctly indicates a favorable neurological outcome following surgery. The alarm IONM incorrectly indicates a particular condition is referred to as a false positive. Non-alarm IONM incorrectly indicates a particular condition as a false negative. When alarm IONM is used, the probability of a worsening neurological outcome postoperatively is referred to as PPV (5.90 times). In non-alarm IONM, the likelihood of a favorable neurological outcome after surgery is referred to as the NPV (0.38 times) [4–6].

MEP were developed to better characterize the integrity of the corticospinal tracts. In the 1970s, SSEP were developed as an indirect method of monitoring the ventral corticospinal tracts through dorsal column integrity. However, several studies reported its limitation regarding postoperative neurological deficit in normal SSEP. EMG

***Corresponding author:** Georgios C Papadopoulos, Head of the laboratory of Anatomy, Histology and Embryology and Veterinary Faculty at School of Health Sciences, Aristotle University, Greece, Tel: 8794528700; E-mail: PapadopoulosG@yahoo.com

Received: 02-Mar-2023, Manuscript No. jcen-23-94785; **Editor assigned:** 04-Mar-2023, Pre QC-No. jcen-23-94785 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 18-Mar-2023, QC No: jcen-23-94785; **Revised:** 25-Mar-2023, Manuscript No. jcen-23-94785 (R); **Published:** 30-Mar-2023, DOI: 10.4172/jcen.1000177

Citation: Papadopoulos GC (2023) A Short Note on Intraoperative Neurophysiological Monitoring on Neurological Outcomes. J Clin Exp Neuroimmunol, 8: 177.

Copyright: © 2023 Papadopoulos GC. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

is a real-time monitoring of nerve root function, particularly during instrumentation and manipulation during surgery. Due to the effectiveness of replacing the limitations of individual monitoring, multimodality neurophysiological monitoring has become a standard procedure for a variety of spinal procedures. In addition, it was useful for predicting postoperative neurological deficit and recovery. Spinal deformity surgery has utilized a combination of MEP and SSEP monitoring. In particular, the addition of free running EMG and triggered EMG can improve the efficiency with which nerve root injuries can be detected. Correlations between IONM changes and postoperative neurological outcomes indicate that alarm IONM contributed to postoperative neurological poor outcome or neurological deficit [7-9]. It may assist in detecting early neural injury at a reversible stage, preventing poor postoperative outcomes. On the other hand, intraoperative recovery of the IONM modality can indicate a favorable postoperative outcome. In addition, it aids in improving the assessment of neural function, thereby guiding intraoperative decision-making regarding what should be done at that time for the management of alarm IONM in that position.

In our review we utilized a few estimations (Frankel Grade, JOA Score, ASIA Score, McCormick Score, KPS Scale) to track down the relationship between's utilized of IONM and postoperative neurological results. All measurements improved over the 24 months following surgery in both groups, but only the IONM group saw a statistically significant improvement. Additionally, there was a statistically significant distinction in the improvement of the neurological postoperative outcome between the IONM and non-IONM groups. In addition, all measurements decreased in the non-IONM group one month after surgery; however, neurological outcomes improved following treatment, but not by a statistically significant amount. Minor surgical injuries to the neural integrity, such as intraoperative manipulation or neural tissue contusion during tumor dissection, are probably to blame for this. In intradural spinal tumor surgery, we presume that the routine use of multimodality IONM resulted in a decrease in neurological deficit and improved postoperative neurological outcome.

We found that using IONM prevented neuronal injury and improved postoperative neurological outcomes, particularly in IDEM spinal tumors (OR 0.187, 95 % CI 0.05–0.71; $p = 0.014$). On the other hand, IMSCT was a significant risk factor for poor postoperative neurological outcomes and postoperative neurological deficits (OR 16.5; 95 percent confidence interval [CI] 3.85–70.67); (p -value 0.001) We believed that tumors in the spinal cord were exacerbated by IMSCT, and that spinal cord myelotomies were more likely to result in neurological deficits. The intraoperative lesion was an infiltrative mass, especially in rare intramedullary schwannoma tumors. Gross total resection is not possible[20] because removing the tumor could damage the spinal cord's important structures and the small vessels that supplied it. These may increase the likelihood of adverse neurological outcomes following surgery. Although the first month after surgery saw a decrease in neurological outcomes, treatment resulted in an improvement, but not a statistically significant one, as shown in table 2. In IMSCT, the rate of prolonged neurological deficit in the IONM group was lower than in the non-IONM group. Yoshida and team has announced higher conceivable neurological shortfall rate in IMSCT activity with IONM than other sort of spinal surgery, which is reliable in this ongoing report. Besides, we found that sex, age, BMI, growth size, term of side effects, fundamental sickness were not correspond with postoperative neurological results.

Previously, many examinations have revealed awareness and explicitness around 100 % for joined MEP SSEP with/without EMG

IONM. In the alternate way, Hamilton et al. reported that only 43%, 13%, and 29% of the 108,419 patients who underwent spinal deformity surgery with IONM (SSEP + MEP and/or EMG) showed sensitivity in detecting spinal cord deficits, nerve root deficits, and cauda equina deficits in spinal surgery, respectively, while 98–99% of the patients had poor outcomes [10]. From our study, we reported that sensitivity and specificity for detecting postoperative neurological deficit Similar to Hamilton et al., we met that. has stated. We anticipated the variation in numerical data, particularly sensitivity, which could be caused by factors that are out of one's control during surgery, such as intraoperative hypothermia, hypotension, and a history of chronic neuropathy. From our information, PPV alludes to the probability of deteriorating postoperative neurological result when alert IONM (5.90 times). NPV alludes to the probability of good postoperative neurological result when non-alert IONM (0.38 times). We assumed that alarm IONM could be a useful indicator for predicting neurological deficits or poor outcomes following surgery. Furthermore, it was presumptuous that the absence of an alarm could serve as a reliable predictor of a favorable neurological outcome following surgery.

Conclusion

There were several limitations to the current study. To finish benefit investigation of utilized IONM required all the more elevated degree of proof. To accurately represent the data, prospective randomized controlled trial studies must be conducted. For instance, sensitivity, specificity, and the rate of neurological deficits following surgery. A second group consisted of a select few patients who met the alarm criteria for neurological impairment. More quantities of patient should be assessed in the future to report genuinely significant end. Additionally, there were a number of uncontrollable factors in this cohort, including anesthesia-related complications and chronic neuropathy-related underlying disease. Controllable factors perhaps address significant or significant data.

Acknowledgment

None

Conflict of Interest

None

References

1. Khalil M, Teunissen CE, Otto M, Piehl F, Sormani MP, et al. (2018) Neurofilaments as biomarkers in neurological disorders. *Nat Rev Neurol* 14: 577-589.
2. Schlaepfer W, Lynch R (1977) Immunofluorescence studies of neurofilaments in the rat and human peripheral and central nervous system. *J Cell Biol* 74: 241-250.
3. Kuhle J, Barro C, Andreasson U, Derfuss T, Lindberg R, et al. (2016) Comparison of three analytical platforms for quantification of the neurofilament light chain in blood samples: ELISA, electrochemiluminescence immunoassay and Simoa. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 54: 1655-1661.
4. Gaiottino J, Norgren N, Dobson R, Topping J, Nissim A, et al. (2013) Increased neurofilament light chain blood levels in neurodegenerative neurological diseases. *PLoS One* 8: e75091.
5. Disanto G, Barro C, Benkert P, Naegelin Y, Schädelin S, et al. (2017) Serum Neurofilament light: A biomarker of neuronal damage in multiple sclerosis: Serum NfL as a Biomarker in *MS Ann Neurol* 81: 857-870.
6. Barro C, Benkert P, Disanto G, Tzagkas C, Amann M, et al. (2018) Serum neurofilament as a predictor of disease worsening and brain and spinal cord atrophy in multiple sclerosis. *Brain J Neurol* 141: 2382-2391.
7. Cantó E, Barro C, Zhao C, Caillier SJ, Michalak Z, et al. (2019) Association Between Serum Neurofilament Light Chain Levels and Long-term Disease Course Among Patients with Multiple Sclerosis Followed up for 12 Years. *JAMA Neurol* 76: 1359-1366.

-
8. Novakova L, Zetterberg H, Sundström P, Axelsson M, Khademi M, et al. (2017) Monitoring disease activity in multiple sclerosis using serum neurofilament light protein. *Neurol* 89: 2230-2237.
 9. Jakimovski D, Kuhle J, Ramanathan M, Barro C, Tomic D, et al. (2019) Serum neurofilament light chain levels associations with gray matter pathology: a 5-year longitudinal study. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* 6: 1757-1770.
 10. Siller N, Kuhle J, Muthuraman M, Barro C, Uphaus T, et al. (2019) Serum neurofilament light chain is a biomarker of acute and chronic neuronal damage in early multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Houndmills Basingstoke Engl* 25: 678-686.