

Motor Function Neurological Assessment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Neuromuscular Regulation Issues

Gorgio Rose*

Department of Physiotherapy, Manipal College of Health Professions, Manipal, India

Abstract

This article provides an overview of the experiences and research regarding the Motor Function Neurological Assessment (MFNU), which is used as an assessment tool in connection with ADHD in both children and adults. The problem of neuromuscular regulation in ADHD is assessed by the Motor Function Neurological Assessment. 16 subtests have been developed over three decades to make up the instrument. The MFNU focuses on specific ADHD-related issues with neuromuscular regulation, particularly issues with motor inhibition and excessive muscle tone. Throughout the past 15 years, our research projects have used the MFNU as a research instrument to investigate possible connections between the symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and issues with neuromuscular regulation. Additionally, we have investigated adult ADHD patients' reported pain. Between 2009 and 2013, each study was previously presented in separate articles and a doctoral thesis. According to the studies, the MFNU measures a consistent pattern of motor regulation issues in ADHD patients. The issues appear to be age-independent, can affect people with little or no dyscoordination or motor skills issues, and rarely affect people with ADHD. According to our findings, a single dose of 10 mg methylphenidate (MPH) typically results in significant improvements in muscular regulation in children with ADHD within one to two hours. When the MPH is metabolized, the issues return. With increasing problem scores on the MFNU, it appears that central stimulants have a greater chance of having a positive effect on the core behavioral symptoms of ADHD. According to our findings, there is a strong functional connection between the core symptoms of ADHD and the MFNU-specified muscular regulation issues. In addition, our research demonstrates that adults with ADHD experience significantly more severe and widespread pain than controls without ADHD. This could imply that pain is a long-term side effect of the ADHD condition's restricted movement and increased muscle tone.

Introduction

The term "ADHD"; Inhibition ; MFNU ; ability to move ; Control of the muscles' nerves; Pain; Tonus Introduction The first author developed the Motor Function Neurological Assessment (MFNU) over the course of three decades of working with children with learning and attention difficulties. A summary of our experiences and research on the instrument in relation to children and adults with the diagnosis of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) will be presented in this article [1].

Motor skills issues are common in children with ADHD, according to previous research [2]. Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD) and ADHD have been shown to overlap by 30 to 50 percent. On the Movement Assessment Battery for Children (MABC), 58% of boys with ADHD-Inattentive (ADHD-I), 49.1% with ADHD-Hyperactive and Impulsive (ADHD-H), and 47.3% with ADHD-Combined (ADHD-C) scored in the upper 15th percentile. Handwriting and balance problems in children with ADHD have been identified [3] and .Overflow synkinesis has also been documented [4]. Utilizing the Maastricht Engine Test, observed that subjective spaces of Dynamic Equilibrium, Diadochokinesis and Manual Mastery and a Complete Subjective Score were fundamentally connected with ADHD. Static balance issues were also found to have a strong correlation. However, the test's quantitative measures did not provide any indication of predictive value.

The following is stated in the ICD-10 diagnostic manual's definition of Hyperkinetic Disorder (HKD) :Delays in motor and language development are disproportionately common, and cognitive impairment is common. In the past, it was thought that inattention and impulsivity were the primary causes of the motor clumsiness that was seen in people with ADHD and the improvement in motor performance that was seen with central stimulants [5]. "Individuals with Attention-Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder may fall, bump into

things, or knock things over, but this is usually due to distractibility and impulsiveness, rather than to a motor impairment," reads the DSM-IV manual's differential diagnoses section for DCD [6]. However, it has been demonstrated time and time again that, although "ADHD clumsiness" may be caused by impulsivity and inattention, a real motor impairment is very often involved, particularly in fine motor skills .Children with ADHD have jerkier movements and require more time to change direction than controls . According to the findings of Kalf and colleagues , children who were at risk for ADHD were typically less precise and had more erratic movements than children who had psychopathology or normal controls. Using standardized motor tests like the MABC and neuropsychological test batteries may not always reveal these impairments [7]. On such tests, motor impairment was only occasionally observed in our clinical practice. Another clinical experience was that most of the children tested were typically described as normal or even functioning well in sports and other physically demanding activities by their parents and teachers. However, the first author of this article, Liv Larsen Stray, observed that the same children who participated in everyday activities like eating, playing, and schoolwork also displayed obvious motor deficiencies in tasks like

*Corresponding author: Gorgio Rose, Department of Physiotherapy, Manipal College of Health Professions, Manipal, India, E-mail: gorgior85@gmail.com

Received: 10-Nov-2022, Manuscript No. jnp-22-81827; Editor assigned: 14-Nov-2022, Pre QC No. jnp-22-81827(PQ); Reviewed: 28-Nov-2022, QC No. jnp-22-81827; Revised: 05-Dec-2022, Manuscript No. jnp-22-81827(R); Published: 12-Dec-2022, DOI: 10.4172/2165-7025.1000554

Citation: Rose G (2022) Motor Function Neurological Assessment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Neuromuscular Regulation Issues. J Nov Physiother 12: 554.

Copyright: © 2022 Rose G. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

handwriting, sitting at a desk, participating in gymnastics, playing with toys and other children, eating, and dressing. Stray found that, in a typical motor test situation, children typically performed well in the initial stages of a subtest (and thus met the test's success criteria).

However, when asked to perform the same subtests' movements repeatedly over time, they would typically become more restricted, quirky, and staccato, necessitating more effort to maintain. These issues were rare in children without ADHD. Problems with muscular inhibition—the capacity to release the activated agonist when using the antagonist—as well as increased muscle tone and restricted movement in the back muscles were identified as the motor deficiencies. Many of the children had a body and gait that appeared to be "stiff," and they easily ran out of breath when doing physically demanding activities like jumping or running. When a child was engaged in physically demanding play and activities, their walking and running typically assumed a heavy, thumping, and boisterous character. The child would typically "hang" over the desk in school, constantly shifting positions on the chair or searching for positions that didn't require much effort. The same children would frequently have extremely high muscle tone in the m. Longissimus, m. Latissimus dorsi, and m. Iliopsoas when examined by a physiotherapist. This would frequently severely limit the movement of the thorax, shoulder, and hips, resulting in restricted breathing. When palpated, M. Longissimus frequently "felt like bone." The calf muscles and the feet's muscles were also found to have increased muscle tone and restricted movement. According to Stray, it appeared that the exaggerated muscle tone might be a way to make up for difficulties in maintaining an upright posture for the trunk. The column's proximal stabilizing muscles normally control the trunk's stabilization on their own. Children with ADHD appeared to lack this stabilization, which typically requires little effort and attention from the individual. The heightened tone was rarely seen in children who did not have ADHD, as was the case with muscular inhibition issues. Like the results seen in children, MFNU was also tested on adults with ADHD. Additionally observed that adult patients frequently expressed physical pain and tiredness.

Reliability In a study involving 25 boys with ADHD and 27 normal controls, a Cronbach's alpha test of internal consistency was applied to the entire set of subtests from each group. The use of a total sum score (TS) for each individual subtest is meaningful because the Alpha was and there were no signs of multidimensionality in the subtests.

The rater agreement between physiotherapists who had received supervision in the use of MFNU was found to be high or very high in a study. In another study, nine physiotherapists with limited MFNU experience separately scored ten videotaped children with and without ADHD on 17 subtests of the MFNU. An Intraclass Connection (ICC) of .99 (95% C.I., .98-1.00, $p < .001$) was acquired on the MFNU Complete Score (TS). (The Cronbach two ways mixed effects model and the consistency option were used to calculate the ICC in SPSS). Provided that the test is administered and scored in a standardized manner, our conclusion was that the MFNU is a highly reliable tool that provides a consistent measure of the involved construct.

Methylphenidate's effect on motor function in children with ADHD: The third study's objective was to investigate the connection between MFNU scores and MPH responses to the core ADHD issues. We hypothesized that positive MPH responders would score higher on the MFNU for more severe problems than non-responders. MFNU profiles of 73 medication gullible kids and young people with ADHD (62 young men and 11 young ladies, age 5-17 years) analyzed north of a long-term period (1990-96) were inspected. The MFNU testing did

not affect the diagnosis of ADHD for any of the children [8]. The effect of MPH on the primary symptoms of ADHD-impulsivity, inattention, and hyperactivity-was then assessed. They were retrospectively divided into two groups based on the registered MPH response: medicine responders (MR-group) and non-medicine responders (NMR-group), and their MFNU results were compared. There were no critical age or distinctions in sexual orientation between the gatherings.

Results: According to our hypothesis, the MFNU problems scores of the high methylphenidate responders (MR-group) were significantly higher than those of the low responders (NMR-group).

Adults with ADHD who struggle with motor control and experience pain: In a fourth controlled study, we wanted to find out whether adults with ADHD have the same motor functional issues as kids and teens with ADHD. We also wanted to see if a high MFNU problem score was associated with physical pain, which many ADHD patients, both children and adults, reported experiencing. On all the MFNU subtests, we hypothesized that adults with ADHD would have higher problem scores than controls without ADHD. Additionally, we hypothesized that adults with ADHD would report higher levels of pain and more widespread pain than adults in the control group without ADHD. The participants in the study were 25 MPH-responsive adults of both sexes who had been diagnosed with ADHD and ranged in age from 20 to 51 (mean 33 years, SD 8.9). The ADHD group's MFNU results were compared to those of a control group of 23 people between the ages of 24 and 64 (mean 41 years, standard deviation 14.1) without a diagnosis of ADHD. The subject's group adherence was invisible to the MFNU tester. In addition to the MFNU (Pain Drawing procedure and Numerical Pain Rating Scale), instruments used to measure reported pain were utilized [9-15].

Results: On muscle tone subtests, as predicted, the ADHD group had significantly more motor problems than the control group. On the subtests for "Synkinesis," "Walking," and "Dynamic balance, 2 legs," fewer issues were found (respectively 60 percent, 56 percent, and 56 percent). Both the Pain Drawing procedure and the Numerical Pain Rating Scale revealed that the ADHD group experienced more severe and widespread pain than the control group ($p < .001$). While 17.4% of the control group reported widespread pain, 80.0% of the ADHD group did so. Compared to 34.8% of the control group, only 8.0% of the ADHD group reported not having any pain.

Conclusion

Even though many children with ADHD have DCD issues, our experience indicates that the typical motor difficulties that children with ADHD experience in day-to-day activities are distinct from standardized motor skills and dyscoordination impairments. Our study on the effects of MPH on MFNU performance supports the possibility of a functional connection between the behavioral symptoms of ADHD and muscular regulation issues measured by the MFNU. It is supported by the significant improvements in core ADHD behavior and MFNU-score, as well as the subsequent reversal of symptoms when the medication is metabolized, that muscular inhibition issues and elevated muscular tone are integral features of ADHD itself and have little connection to motor skills issues.

References

1. New P, Simmonds F, Stevermuer T (2010) A population-based study comparing traumatic spinal cord injury and on-traumatic spinal cord injury using a national rehabilitation database. *Spinal Cord* 49: 397-403.
2. Ditunno P, Patrick M, Stineman M, Morganti B, Townson A, et al. (2005) Cross-

- cultural differences in preference for recovery of mobility among spinal cord injury rehabilitation. *Spinal Cord* 44: 567-575.
3. Pramodhyakul W, Wattanapan P, Siritaratiwat W, Eungpinichpong W, Amatachaya S, et al. (2013) Immediate effects of obstacle crossing training in independent ambulatory patients with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 51: 379-383.
 4. Amatachaya S, Pramodhyakul W, Srisim K (2015) Failures on obstacle crossing task in independent ambulatory patients with spinal cord injury and associated factors. *Arch Phys Med* 96: 43-48.
 5. Amatachaya S, Thaweewannakij T, Adirek-Udomrat J, Siritaratiwat W (2010) Factors related to obstacle crossing in independent ambulatory patients with spinal cord injury. *J Spinal Cord Med* 33: 144-149.
 6. Poncumhak P, Saengsuwan J, Kamruecha W, Amatachaya S (2012) Reliability and validity of three functional tests in ambulatory patients with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 51: 214-217.
 7. Whitney SL, Wrisley DM, Marchetti GF, Gee MA, Redfern MS et al. (2005) Clinical measurement of sit-to-stand performance in people with balance disorders: Validity of data for the five-times-sit-to stand test. *Phys Ther* 85: 1034-1045.
 8. Lam T, Noonan V, Eng J (2007) A systematic review of functional ambulation outcome measures in spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 46: 246-254.
 9. Dittuno PL, Dittuno JF (2001) Walking index for spinal cord injury (WISCI II): Scale revision. *Spinal Cord* 39: 654-656.
 10. Fox EJ, Tester NJ, Butera KA, Howland DR, Spiess MR, et al. (2017) Retraining walking adaptability following incomplete spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord Ser Cases* 3: 1-10.
 11. Amatachaya S, Pramodhyakul W, Wattanapan P, Eungpinichpong W (2015) Ability of obstacle crossing is not associated with falls in independent ambulatory patients with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 53: 598-603.
 12. González-Martín S, Becerro-de-Bengoa-Vallejo R, Angulo-Carrere MT, Iglesias ME, Martínez-Jiménez EM, et al. (2019) Effects of a visit prior to hospital admission on anxiety, depression and satisfaction of patients in an intensive care unit. *Intensive Criti Care Nurs* 54: 46-53.
 13. Kho ME, Molloy AJ, Clarke FJ, Ajami D, McCaughan M, et al. (2016) TryCYCLE: a prospective study of the safety and feasibility of early in-bed cycling in mechanically ventilated patients. *PLoS one* 11: 167561.
 14. Rahimi RA, Skrzat J, Reddy DR, Zanni JM, Fan E, et al. (2013) Physical rehabilitation of patients in the intensive care unit requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: a small case series. *Phys Ther* 93: 248-255.
 15. Warwick WJ, Wielinski CL, Hansen LG (2004) Comparison of expectorated sputum after manual chest physical therapy and high-frequency chest compression. *Biomed Instrum & Technol* 38: 470-475.