Ureteric Stricture following Pediatric Dual En-Bloc Renal Transplantation

Phillips BL,

Phillips BL, Royal Free Hospital, Pond Street, London, UK

Abstract

This is a case report examining a urine leak and ureteric stricture following pediatric dual en-bloc renal transplantation. Despite intraoperative ureteric stenting, and post-operative nephrostomy, urinary leak continued. Both grafts grew in size from 5 cm at implantation, to 9.5 cm within 3 months. Ureteric reconstruction would expose the patient to unacceptable risk of losing both grafts, thanks to the close proximity of the ureters. A transplant nephrectomy of the obstructed graft was therefore undertaken. Transplant nephrectomy of 1 obstructed graft could also be a viable option following an enbloc transplantation, where the remaining kidney can still provide sufficient renal replacement therapy.

Keywords

En bloc, Kidney, Pediatric, Transplantation, Ureteric stricture.

Introduction

Ureteric stenosis is that the commonest nonimmunological complication following renal transplantation, affecting 0.5-6% of patients [1]. This is most commonly associated with watershed ischemia of the distal ureter but may be due to BK viral infection in up to 3% of cases [2,3]. Urinary leak, usually from the vesicoureteric anastomosis, occurs in 3.5% of patients [4]. A meta-analysis [5] examining seven randomized control trials found that major urological complications were reduced by universal prophylactic ureteric stenting following renal transplantation (RR 0.24, 95% CI 0.07-0.77, p = 0.02). Ureteric stents are therefore routinely used by many surgeons [6]. We report, for the primary time within the literature, the presentation and management of a pediatric dual enbloc renal transplant complicated by urinary leak andureteric stricture, from one graft. This was managed by nephrectomy of the affected graft, with preservation of the other. Case Report A 26-year-old female with end-stage renal disease of unknown etiology, received an en-bloc dual renal transplant from a 1 year and 9-months-old donor after brain death (DBD). The HLA mis-match was 1-0-1. Prior to implantation, the main right renal artery, which had been injured at the retrieval, was identified and reanastomosed on the backbench to the donor aorta. There was also alittle accessory right lower polar arteria renalis present, in continuity with the aorta. Both kidneys were approximately 5 cm in length at implantation. The right and left kidneys were transplanted en-bloc with the donor aorta and inferior vena cava (IVC). The donor aorta was anastomosed end-to-end onto the proper internal arteria iliaca. The donor IVC was anastomosed end-toside onto the proper external vena iliaca. The right kidney lay superio-medially in relation to the left kidney, which lay laterally (Figure 1). Both kidneys perfused well after a chilly ischemic time (CIT) of 20 hours and an implantation time of 28 minutes.

Vol.4 Issue.2





Transplant Reports: Open Access

2018

Vol.4 Issue.2

The donor ureters were anastomosed separately to the recipient's bladder employing a modified Lich-Gregoir technique. Ureteric stents were left in-situ. Postoperatively, the patient had delayed graft function and initially required hemodialysis. Urine output improved, and therefore the patient was discharged on the 11th postoperative day with a serum creatinine of 96 μ mol/L. She was readmitted three days later with clear fluid discharging through the wound, associated with pyrexiaand a CRP of 212 mg/L. CT scanning demonstrated a $3.6 \times 3 \times 7$ cm collection between the kidneys, representing a urinoma, despite adequate position of both ureteric stents (Figure 2). A urinary catheter was re-inserted, and a cystogram confirmed the presence of a urinary leak from the vesico-ureteric anastomosis associated with the superior-medial kidney.

References

1. Karam G, Hétet JF, Maillet F, Rigaud J, Hourmant M, et al. (2006) Late ureteral stenosis following renal transplantation: Risk factors and impact on patient and graft survival. Am J Transplant 6: 352-356.

2. Pahari A, Rees L (2003) BK virus-associated renal problems-clinical implications. Pediatric Nephrology 18: 743-748.

3. Coleman DV, Mackenzie EF, Gardner SD, Poulding JM, Amer B, et al. (1978) Human polyomavirus (BK) infection and ureteric stenosis in renal allograft recipients. J Clin Pathol.

E-mail: Ehab@hotmail.co.uk