# The School Shooters, Reducing the Threat: It's Up To All of Us

# **Dr. Barbara Melamed\***

Department of Clinical Affiliate Professor of Psychology, University of Hawaii, Manoa, United States

#### ABSTRACT:

There's little exploration that has linked traits and characteristics that can reliably distinguish academy shooters from otherstudents. However, we've little forestallment sweats, If we do n't know who's likely to gain ordnance. This paper attempts to emphasize the multitude of reasons why and how a person would plan, carry- out and frequently kill themselves to earn fame and heritage. It's clear that social media produces, predicts and must be scrutinized to minimize the trouble of unborn blowups. National Center for Analysis of Violent Crime(NCAVC) organized by the Federal Bureau of Investigation(1999) included 160 NCAVC staff members, and law enforcement officers who were involved in probing each of the blowups. Also attending were experts in disciplines including adolescent violence, internal health, suicidology, academy dynamics, and family dynamics. There were also individualities who claimed to know the shooters tête-à-tête. Who they demanded to include were the shooters themselves, their parents, siblings and musketeers of the shooters. Reports from the surviving shooters should estimate what they say motivated them. There has been an attempt to produce media games to inhibit aggression, stop bullying and make adaptability and tone- regard.

KEYWORDS: School Shootings: Predictions, Social Media, Prevention

# INTRODUCTION

Most threats are made anonymously or under a false name. However, the Life Hacs research (Baker Institute, 2018) did come up with a threat assessment relying heavily on evaluating the threatener's background, personality, lifestyle, and resources, prior criminal charges and access to weapons. They developed a useful but so far untested model (Anderson M, 2018).

## THE FOUR-PRONGED ASSESSMENT MODEL:

- Prong One: Personality of the student; Prong Two: Family dynamics;
- Prong Three: School dyna/mics and the student's role in those dynamics; and
- Prong Four: Social dynamics

#### METHOD

An adolescent's peer group plays an especially vital part in impacting stations and behavior Information about a pupil's choice of buddies and relations with his peers can give

Received: 25-Apr-2024, Manuscript No: ijemhhr-24-138593; Editor assigned: 29-Apr-2024, Pre QC No. ijemhhr-24-138593 (PQ);

Reviewed: 10-May-2024, QC No. ijemhhr-24-138593;

Revised: 16-May-2024, Manuscript No. ijemhhr-24-138593 (R);

Published: 23-May-2024, DOI: 10.4172/1522-4821.1000634

\*Correspondence regarding this article should be directed to: drbarbaramelamed@gmail.com

precious suggestions to his stations, sense of identity, and possible opinions about acting or not acting upon a suspected trouble. In addition, access to artillery needs to be assessed. Families need to be told to lock disarmed munitions out- ofreach. The National Center for Analysis of Violent Crimes (NCAVC's) extensive experience in assessing risks for over two decades, including current cases of risks made in seminaries. These ideas presented in 1999 are too old and this needs to be addressed with surveying styles of social media to identify which may encourage aggressive responding by scholars feeling mistreated or lacking in motherly or conventional role models for making contributions to society and interacting with peers through enjoyable games. Parents of immature children need to be involved in their selection of interactive games with their peer group.4 There has been a collaborative disquisition trouble Furlong, Morrison, Skiba & Cornell (2004). They did examine responsibility, data netting procedures to identify the implicit perpetrators and bandy multitudinous aspects including, pupil trouble behavior checks and director training. The discussion of academe safety perceptions indeed by the scholars attending seminaries is also presented (Furlong MJ, 2004).

**INTERGENERATIONAL CONTACT THEORY:** Is another theoretical approach which may have a positive influence of childrens' behaviour. The maturity of intergenerational contact exploration tends to concentrate on the goods of intergenerational relations that take place during day- to- day life, and reveals that positive intergroup contact is related to more positive issues, including a drop in unequivocal and implicit negative stations towards aged grown-ups and tend to lead to more positive behavioral intentions, similar as intentions to contribute to charities that support aged people, and agreeing to spend further time with aged grown-ups. This is particularly the case when youthful people's gests were frequent and of good quality (Hutchison P, 2010).

#### RESULTS

The scholars who killed had demonstrated an unusual seductiveness with pictures, television shows, computer games, music vids or published material that concentrate intensely on themes of violence, abomination, control, power, death, and destruction. Themes of abomination, violence, munitions, and mass destruction reoccur in nearly all his conditioning, pursuits, and pastimes. On the Internet, the pupil regularly searches for websites involving violence, munitions, and other disturbing subjects. There's substantiation the pupil has downloaded and kept material from these spots. Siblings and musketeers frequently know and need to be told to hearsay- tale to save lives (Lenhart A, 2015).

ACCESS TO WEAPONS: If family members own weapons and do not lock them away and hide ammunition, they may convey to their children that a weapon can be a useful and normal means of intimidating someone else or settling a dispute. Parents or other child caregivers must supervise, and monitor the student's television, computer use or Internet access, violent games, weapons, or other disturbing subjects. Help of psychologists, psychiatrists, social workers and other caregivers need to have an assessment tool and a plan of action (Melamed BG, 2022).

ACCESS TO COMPUTERS: School's computers to play violent computer games or to explore inappropriate web sites such as those that promote violent hate groups, overt sexuality, or give instructions for bomb-making. This needs to be monitored from school administrators and an outside law enforcement member familiar with high-risk threats. Parent's need to be held responsible. The use of the Internet is often unsupervised and unmonitored. Student perpetrators may even use their (Melamed BG, 2024).

**COVID ISOLATION:** During Covid- 19 epidemic the problem was aggravated. Data showed a decline by wellbeing with substantial sleep problems and increased suicidal attempts. With the technology 90 of teenagers who used the internet and internal health heads was linked to lowered tone- regard, poor sleep.Smartphones are fueling a shift in the communication geography for teens. Nearly threediggings of teens now use smartphones and 92 of teens report going online diurnal including 24 who say they go online" nearly constantly (Pahl K, 2013).

# DISCUSSION

Five of the schools where school shootings occurred included: public schools including middle schools and thirteen were high schools. This research identified kids from families where there was absence of parental supervision, easy access to guns and severe short-comings in home supervision, school attention to media selection. Psychotherapy provision to those children at risk for impulsive or pre-planned assaults needs to be provided within the school. Except for the Columbine school-shootings which did involve the collaboration of two students, most of the others were mentally compromised children, largely boys.

# CONCLUSION

he school system must incorporate the means of identifying and treating children to improve their self-esteem and resilience to adverse behavior and threats of their classmates. They need to learn self-confidence by doing jobs which create useful products, such as feeding the homeless, working to rebuild gardening, and social interactions among themselves. Limiting the use of social media among teenagers and follow the research of webcrawling sites to identify potential killers.

## REFERENCES

Anderson, M., Jiang, J (2018). Teens, social media & technology.

Furlong, MJ (2004). Issues in school violence research. Routledge.

Hutchison, P., Fox, E., Laas, AM., Matharu, J., Urzi, S (2010). Anxiety, outcome expectancies, and young people's willingness to engage in contact with the elderly. Educ Gerontol. 7;36(10-11):1008-21.

Lenhart, A (2015). Teens, social media & technology overview.

Melamed, BG. (2022). Stop killing our kids at school: Problem, prediction and prevention.

Melamed, BG. (2024). Social media and children's resilience formation during and following COVID-19.

Pahl, K., Burnett, C (2013). Literacies in homes and communities. Inter Nat Handbook Res on child Lit Earn Cult.17:1-4.