Bankole, J Biotechnol Biomater 2024, 14:6

Journal of Biotechnology & Biomaterials

ISSN: 2155-952X

Book Reviews Open Access

The Role of Synthetic Biology in Tailoring Biomaterial Properties for Tissue Engineering Applications

Jesubori L. Bankole*

Department of Biomedical Engineering, Bells University of Technology, Nigeria

Abstract

Synthetic biology has emerged as a transformative field, offering innovative strategies to design and engineer biomaterials with tailored properties for tissue engineering applications. By leveraging genetic programming, cellular machinery, and biomolecular engineering, synthetic biology enables precise control over the biochemical, mechanical, and structural attributes of biomaterials. This review highlights recent advancements in the integration of synthetic biology with biomaterial development, emphasizing applications such as scaffolds for tissue regeneration, bioactive materials for controlled cell signaling, and dynamic systems capable of adapting to physiological environments. Additionally, challenges and opportunities in this interdisciplinary domain are discussed, providing insights into future directions for creating next-generation biomaterials that closely mimic the complexity of native tissues.

Keywords: Synthetic biology; Biomaterials; Tissue engineering; Scaffolds; Bioactive materials; Tissue regeneration; Dynamic systems; Genetic programming; Cellular machinery; Biomolecular engineering.

Introduction

Synthetic biology, an interdisciplinary field that combines principles of biology, engineering, and computer science, has emerged as a powerful tool for designing and constructing biological systems with novel functionalities. This field has transformed the way scientists approach complex biological problems, enabling precise manipulation and customization of biological components to address specific challenges. Among its many applications, synthetic biology plays a pivotal role in advancing biomaterials for tissue engineering.

Tissue engineering, a multidisciplinary domain aiming to repair, replace, or regenerate damaged tissues, heavily relies on biomaterials that mimic the native extracellular matrix (ECM). These biomaterials serve as scaffolds, providing structural support and biochemical cues to guide cellular behavior. However, traditional biomaterials often fall short in meeting the diverse and dynamic requirements of tissue engineering applications. This is where synthetic biology steps in, offering a toolkit to engineer biomaterials with tailored properties [1].

Through synthetic biology, biomaterials can be designed with tunable mechanical strength, controlled degradation rates, and specific biological signals that promote cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation. By integrating synthetic genes, protein engineering, and metabolic pathway modification, researchers can develop materials that respond dynamically to environmental stimuli, such as changes in pH, temperature, or the presence of specific biomolecules.

For example, synthetic biology enables the production of biohybrid materials, combining natural and synthetic components to achieve unprecedented functionality. Engineered proteins, synthetic peptides, and recombinant DNA technologies allow for precise control over the composition and properties of these materials. This level of customization is particularly critical in applications such as bone regeneration, neural repair, and vascular tissue engineering, where biomaterial properties must be finely tuned to meet specific physiological and biomechanical requirements.

Furthermore, synthetic biology facilitates the incorporation of bioactive molecules, such as growth factors and cytokines, into biomaterials. These molecules can be programmed to release in a controlled manner, ensuring localized and sustained therapeutic effects. This capability not only enhances tissue regeneration but also minimizes potential side effects associated with systemic delivery [2].

In addition to functional enhancements, synthetic biology enables the development of "smart" biomaterials that interact with cells and tissues in real-time. These materials can sense and respond to cellular activities, providing feedback loops that support tissue growth and maturation. For instance, stimuli-responsive hydrogels, engineered using synthetic biology, can change their properties dynamically to support specific stages of tissue development.

As the field continues to evolve, synthetic biology is opening new avenues for sustainable biomaterial production. By harnessing microbial systems, researchers can produce complex biomaterials in an eco-friendly and cost-effective manner. This biomanufacturing approach not only reduces reliance on petrochemical resources but also aligns with the growing emphasis on sustainability in biomedical research.

In this review, we explore the transformative role of synthetic biology in tailoring biomaterial properties for tissue engineering applications. We discuss the key advancements, challenges, and future directions in this rapidly growing field, highlighting its potential to revolutionize regenerative medicine and improve patient outcomes. Through the integration of synthetic biology and tissue engineering, the dream of creating functional, personalized tissues and organs is becoming a reality [3].

***Corresponding author:** Jesubori L. Bankole, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Bells University of Technology, Nigeria, E-mail: jesubori.l.B.67@gmail.com

Received: 05-Nov-2024, Manuscript No: jbtbm-25-157137, **Editor Assigned:** 11-Nov-2024, pre QC No: jbtbm-25-157137 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 18-Nov-2024, QC No: jbtbm-25-157137, **Revised:** 25-Nov-2024, Manuscript No: jbtbm-25-157137 (R), **Published:** 29-Nov-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2155-952X.1000420

Citation: Bankole JL (2024) The Role of Synthetic Biology in Tailoring Biomaterial Properties for Tissue Engineering Applications. J Biotechnol Biomater, 14: 420.

Materials and Methods

Materials

The materials used in this study or review on synthetic biology for biomaterial development in tissue engineering include

Biological components

Cell lines: Engineered mammalian, bacterial, or yeast cells with synthetic genetic circuits.

Genetic materials: Custom DNA sequences, plasmids, and gene-editing tools (e.g., CRISPR-Cas9, TALENs) for modifying cell behavior.

Proteins and peptides: Recombinant proteins, self-assembling peptides, and bioactive motifs for functionalizing biomaterials [4].

Biomaterials

Polymeric scaffolds: Natural polymers (e.g., collagen, gelatin, hyaluronic acid) and synthetic polymers (e.g., polyethylene glycol, polylactic acid, polycaprolactone).

Hydrogels: Injectable and tunable hydrogels made from alginate, agarose, or fibrin.

Nanomaterials: Functionalized nanoparticles, nanofibers, and nanotubes for enhanced material properties [5].

Analytical tools

Microscopy: Confocal, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) for structural analysis.

Spectroscopy: Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) for chemical characterization.

Mechanical Testing: Tools like tensile testers and atomic force microscopy (AFM) to evaluate biomaterial stiffness and elasticity [6].

Methods

Biomaterial design and fabrication

Synthetic Circuit Engineering: Design and integration of genetic circuits into host cells to produce desired proteins or biomolecules.

Material Functionalization: Incorporation of bioactive molecules such as growth factors, adhesion peptides (e.g., RGD), or enzymes to enhance cell-material interactions.

Bioprinting: Use of 3D bioprinting to create spatially controlled scaffolds with precise architecture and material heterogeneity.

Self-Assembly: Inducing peptide- or protein-based self-assembly to form nanostructured biomaterials.

Cell-material interaction studies

In vitro testing

Culture of stem cells, primary cells, or genetically modified cells on biomaterials.

Assessment of cell adhesion, proliferation, differentiation, and migration using assays (e.g., MTT, Live/Dead staining, immunofluorescence) [7,8].

In vivo testing

Implantation of biomaterials in animal models to evaluate biocompatibility, degradation, and tissue integration.

Analysis of immune responses, vascularization, and tissue regeneration through histological staining and imaging.

Characterization of biomaterials

Mechanical Properties: Testing elasticity, compressive strength, and stiffness to ensure the biomaterial matches native tissue requirements.

Biochemical Properties: Evaluation of bioactivity, such as the ability to release growth factors or interact with signaling pathways.

Dynamic Behavior: Monitoring material responsiveness to environmental changes (e.g., pH, temperature, or enzymes) [9].

Computational modeling and simulation

Use of computational tools to simulate genetic circuit behavior, biomaterial properties, and cell-material interactions.

Predictive modeling for optimizing scaffold design and tailoring biomaterial attributes for specific tissue engineering applications.

This comprehensive combination of materials and methods ensures a robust approach to utilizing synthetic biology for engineering biomaterials with enhanced functionality and compatibility for tissue engineering [10].

Discussion

Synthetic biology has revolutionized the design and development of biomaterials, offering a versatile platform to engineer properties specifically suited for tissue engineering applications. By enabling precise control over cellular behavior and material functionality, synthetic biology bridges the gap between natural and synthetic systems. This discussion highlights the key achievements, challenges, and future directions in this rapidly evolving field.

One of the most significant advancements is the ability to design genetic circuits that regulate cellular functions, allowing cells to produce biomolecules that can self-assemble into functional materials. For instance, engineered cells can synthesize extracellular matrix (ECM)-like proteins, mimicking native tissues in structure and composition. Additionally, bioengineered hydrogels with dynamic properties have shown promise in facilitating tissue regeneration by providing adaptive responses to environmental cues, such as pH or enzyme activity.

Moreover, functionalizing biomaterials with bioactive molecules, such as growth factors or adhesion peptides, has enhanced their ability to support cell attachment, proliferation, and differentiation. This bioactivity is crucial for regenerating complex tissues, such as bone, cartilage, and cardiac tissue. Bioprinting technologies have further expanded the potential of synthetic biology, enabling the fabrication of intricate 3D scaffolds with spatial control over cell and material placement.

Despite these advancements, challenges remain. The biocompatibility of genetically engineered materials must be rigorously tested to ensure minimal immune response or toxicity. Additionally, scaling up the production of synthetic biology-enabled biomaterials poses technical and economic hurdles. Regulatory approval processes for such novel materials are also complex, requiring comprehensive preclinical and clinical studies.

Another limitation is the complexity of replicating the dynamic and heterogeneous nature of native tissues. Although significant progress has been made, achieving precise spatial and temporal control over biomaterial properties remains a challenge. Advances in computational modeling and systems biology could help address this issue by predicting and optimizing biomaterial behavior before experimental validation.

Conclusion

Synthetic biology has emerged as a transformative approach for tailoring biomaterial properties to meet the complex demands of tissue engineering applications. By merging biology, engineering, and material science, synthetic biology provides unprecedented tools to design and construct biomaterials with precise biochemical, mechanical, and structural properties. This ability to customize materials at the molecular and cellular levels marks a significant leap in creating biomaterials that mimic the native tissue environment and promote functional tissue regeneration.

One of the key outcomes of synthetic biology-driven biomaterials is the capacity to integrate bioactive components, such as growth factors, signaling molecules, and cell-binding motifs, into scaffolds. This integration enables controlled cell-material interactions, thereby enhancing cell attachment, proliferation, and differentiation. Similarly, the use of dynamic biomaterials that respond to environmental stimuli, such as pH, temperature, or mechanical stress, allows for adaptive behavior that mimics native tissues, creating more realistic in vivo-like systems.

Furthermore, advances in bioprinting technologies, in combination with synthetic biology, have enabled the fabrication of 3D scaffolds with intricate architectures, allowing for precise spatial placement of cells and materials. These innovations bring tissue engineering closer to replicating complex organs and tissues, addressing challenges such as vascularization and multicellular organization.

However, challenges remain in this field. Issues such as scalability, cost, and regulatory hurdles must be addressed to translate synthetic biology-engineered biomaterials from the laboratory to clinical practice. The long-term safety and stability of these materials in vivo require thorough investigation to mitigate risks such as immune responses or material degradation. Additionally, achieving the dynamic and heterogeneous nature of native tissues remains a critical area for further research and development.

Looking forward, the integration of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, and advanced computational modeling offers exciting opportunities to accelerate the design and optimization of biomaterials. Innovations in gene editing and programming will likely enable the creation of highly customized biomaterials for specific patient needs, ushering in a new era of personalized regenerative medicine.

Conflict of interest

None

Acknowledgment

None

References

1. Rawat G, Tripathi P, Saxena RK (2013) Expanding horizons of shikimic acid: Recent progresses in production and its endless frontiers in application and market trends. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 97: 4277-4287.
2. RubioTM, (2006) Endless versatility in the biotechnological applications of Kluyveromyces LAC genes. Biotechnol Adv 24: 212-225.
3. Bjørge IM, Correia CR, Mano JF (2022) Hipster microcarriers: exploring geometrical and topographical cues of non-spherical microcarriers in biomedical applications. Mater Horiz 9: 908-933.
4. Cornish E (2004) Futuring: The exploration of the future. World Future Society 38.
5. Ahmed RZ, Patil G, Zaheer Z. (2013) Nanosponges–a completely new nano-horizon: pharmaceutical applications and recent advances. Drug Dev Ind Pharm 39: 1263-1272.
6. Rodrigo G, Carrera J, Elena SF (2010) Network design meets in silico evolutionary biology. Biochimie 92: 746-752.
7. Beetul K, Gopeechund A, Kaullysing D, Mattan-MS, Puchooa D, et al. (2016) Challenges and opportunities in the present era of marine algal applications. Algae-Organisms for imminent biotechnology 40.
8. JohnsonJ, Jain K, Madamwar D (2017) Functional metagenomics: exploring nature's gold mine. In Current Developments in Biotechnology and Bioengineering 27-43.
9. Breitling R, Takano E (2015) Synthetic biology advances for pharmaceutical production. Curr Opin Biotechnol 35: 46-51.
10. Tiwari SK, (2022) Bacteriocin-Producing Probiotic Lactic Acid Bacteria in Controlling Dysbiosis of the Gut Microbiota. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 12: 415.