

# The Role of Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy in Palliative Cancer Care

# Jonathan Dangl\*

Radiotherapy, National Oncology Center, Baku, Azerbaijan

#### Abstract

Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT) represents a significant advancement in the field of oncology, particularly within palliative cancer care. This highly precise form of radiation therapy delivers high doses of radiation to targeted tumors with minimal exposure to surrounding healthy tissues. The benefits of SBRT in palliative care are manifold, including its ability to provide effective symptom relief, reduce treatment burden, and preserve quality of life for patients with advanced cancer. By focusing on localized tumor control, SBRT helps manage symptoms such as pain, bleeding, and obstruction, making it a valuable tool in enhancing patient comfort and well-being. This article explores the role of SBRT in palliative cancer care, highlighting its applications, advantages, and challenges.

**Keywords:** Stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT); Palliative cancer care; High-dose radiation; Tumor control; Symptom relief; Metastatic disease; Bone metastases; Treatment burden

### Introduction

Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT) is an advanced and highly precise form of radiation therapy that has significantly transformed the management of cancer, particularly in palliative care settings. Unlike traditional radiation therapy, which typically delivers a lower dose over a series of treatments, SBRT administers high doses of radiation in fewer sessions with exceptional accuracy. This article explores the role of SBRT in palliative cancer care, emphasizing its benefits, applications, and impact on patient quality of life [1].

#### Description

SBRT, also known as Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy (SABR), involves delivering concentrated radiation beams to a specific tumor or tumor site. This technique utilizes advanced imaging technologies such as CT scans, MRIs, and PET scans to precisely locate the tumor and direct high doses of radiation with minimal impact on surrounding healthy tissues. Typically, SBRT requires less treatment sessions-often between one to five-compared to conventional radiation therapy, which may involve daily treatments over several weeks [2].

#### **Precision and effectiveness**

One of the key advantages of SBRT in palliative care is its precision. By targeting tumors with high doses of radiation while sparing healthy tissues, SBRT can effectively control localized tumor growth and provide relief from cancer-related symptoms. This precision is especially beneficial in treating tumors that are difficult to access surgically or are located in critical areas [3].

#### Reduced treatment burden

SBRT's ability to deliver high doses of radiation in a limited number of sessions reduces the overall treatment burden on patients. Fewer visits to the treatment center mean less disruption to daily life, reduced travel requirements, and a shorter overall treatment period. This is particularly valuable for patients with advanced cancer who may experience fatigue or have difficulty accessing frequent treatments [4].

#### Symptom relief

For patients with advanced cancer, palliative care aims to alleviate symptoms and improve quality of life. SBRT is effective in managing symptoms such as pain, bleeding, and obstruction caused by tumors. For example, in cases where cancer has metastasized to the bones, SBRT can provide rapid and significant pain relief, allowing patients to maintain better functional status and comfort [5].

#### Preservation of healthy tissue

The high precision of SBRT minimizes exposure to surrounding healthy tissues and organs, reducing the risk of side effects commonly associated with radiation therapy. This is particularly important in palliative care, where preserving the quality of life is a priority. By limiting damage to healthy tissues, SBRT helps prevent additional complications and maintain overall well-being [6].

#### Metastatic disease

SBRT is increasingly used in the treatment of metastatic cancer, where cancer cells have spread to distant parts of the body. It is effective for treating metastases in the lungs, liver, spine, and other areas. For instance, SBRT can be employed to target solitary or oligometastatic lesions, providing localized control and symptom relief [7].

#### Localized tumor control

In cases of locally advanced cancer where surgery is not feasible, SBRT offers a non-invasive alternative for controlling tumor growth. It can be used to target tumors that are causing symptoms such as compression, bleeding, or obstruction, providing symptomatic relief and improving patient comfort [8].

#### **Bone metastases**

SBRT is particularly effective in managing bone metastases, which can be a source of significant pain and disability. By delivering targeted radiation, SBRT can reduce pain and improve mobility, enhancing the quality of life for patients with metastatic bone disease [9].

\*Corresponding author: Jonathan Dangl, Radiotherapy, National Oncology Center, Baku, Azerbaijan, E-mail: jonathan.dangl@gmail.com

Received: 01-Oct-2024, Manuscript No: ccoa-24-147450, Editor Assigned: 04-Oct-2024, Pre QC No: ccoa-24-147450 (PQ), Reviewed: 18-Oct-2024, QC No: ccoa-24-147450, Revised: 22-Oct-2024, Manuscript No: ccoa-24-147450 (R), Published: 29-Oct-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2475-3173.1000236

Citation: Jonathan D (2024) The Role of Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy in Palliative Cancer Care. Cervical Cancer, 9: 236.

**Copyright:** © 2024 Jonathan D. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

While SBRT offers numerous benefits, it is not without challenges. The precise nature of SBRT requires careful treatment planning and coordination among the oncology team. Additionally, patient selection is crucial to ensure that SBRT is appropriate for the specific type and location of the tumor. Potential side effects, though generally minimal compared to conventional radiation therapy, still need to be monitored and managed [10].

# Discussion

Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT) has revolutionized the approach to managing cancer, especially in the context of palliative care. This advanced radiation technique allows for the delivery of high doses of radiation to tumors with exceptional precision, offering substantial benefits for patients with advanced cancer. By focusing on symptom relief and improving quality of life, SBRT has become a cornerstone in palliative oncology.

One of the most significant advantages of SBRT is its precision. Traditional radiation therapy often requires multiple sessions over several weeks to accumulate the necessary dose, which can be taxing for patients. In contrast, SBRT delivers high doses of radiation in just a few sessions, often ranging from one to five treatments. This concentrated approach targets tumors with pinpoint accuracy, minimizing damage to surrounding healthy tissues. The ability to deliver such high doses in fewer sessions makes SBRT particularly advantageous in palliative care, where the goal is often to control symptoms rather than cure the disease.

For patients with advanced cancer, palliative care aims to alleviate symptoms and enhance quality of life. SBRT is highly effective in addressing cancer-related symptoms such as pain, bleeding, and obstruction. For instance, in cases of metastatic bone disease, where cancer has spread to the bones, SBRT can provide significant and rapid relief from pain. This reduction in pain can dramatically improve patients' functional status, allowing them to engage more fully in daily activities and maintain a better quality of life. SBRT is also beneficial in managing tumors that are causing compression or obstruction of vital organs, such as those in the lungs or liver. By reducing the size of these tumors, SBRT can alleviate symptoms like difficulty breathing or gastrointestinal issues, which are crucial for maintaining patient comfort.

The limited number of sessions required for SBRT translates into a reduced treatment burden for patients. Traditional radiation therapy often demands daily visits over several weeks, which can be physically and emotionally exhausting, particularly for those with advanced cancer. SBRT's abbreviated treatment schedule minimizes disruption to patients' lives and reduces the frequency of hospital visits, which is especially important for those with compromised health or mobility. This convenience not only eases the logistical challenges but also reduces the overall stress associated with ongoing cancer treatment.

Another advantage of SBRT is its ability to spare healthy tissues and organs. The precision with which SBRT delivers radiation helps to minimize collateral damage, which can lead to fewer side effects compared to conventional radiation therapy. This is particularly important in palliative care, where the goal is to provide relief without introducing additional complications. By preserving healthy tissue, SBRT reduces the risk of secondary effects such as radiation-induced toxicity, which can further impact the patient's well-being.

Despite its advantages, SBRT is not without challenges. The high precision required for SBRT necessitates advanced imaging and meticulous treatment planning. Accurate tumor localization and dose delivery are crucial to the success of SBRT, and any errors can lead to inadequate treatment or damage to healthy tissues. Additionally, patient selection is vital; not all patients are suitable candidates for SBRT, and careful consideration of tumor type, location, and overall health is necessary.

#### Conclusion

Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy plays a vital role in palliative cancer care by offering a precise, effective, and patient-friendly treatment option for managing symptoms and controlling localized tumor growth. Its ability to deliver high doses of radiation in fewer sessions reduces treatment burden and enhances quality of life for patients with advanced cancer. As advancements in technology continue to improve the precision and efficacy of SBRT, its role in palliative care is likely to expand, providing continued hope and relief for patients facing the challenges of advanced cancer.

# Acknowledgement

None

## **Conflict of Interest**

# None

#### References

- 1. National Cancer Institute SEER Statistics Fact Sheets: Pancreatic Cancer. https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/pancreas.html.
- Higuera O, Ghanem I, Nasimi R, Prieto I, Koren L, et al. (2016) Management of pancreatic cancer in the elderly. World J Gastroenterol 22: 764-775.
- Hsu CC, Wolfgang CL, Laheru DA, Pawlik TM, Swartz MJ, et al. (2012) Early mortality risk score: identification of poor outcomes following upfront surgery for resectable pancreatic cancer. J Gastrointest Surg 16:753-761.
- Matsumoto K, Miyake Y, Kato H, Kawamoto H, Imagawa A, et al. (2011) Effect of low-dose gemcitabine on unresectable pancreatic cancer in elderly patients. Digestion 84: 230-235.
- Chang DT, Schellenberg D, Shen J, Kim J, Goodman KA, et al. (2009) Stereotactic radiotherapy for unresectable adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. Cancer 115: 665-672.
- Herman JM, Chang DT, Goodman KA, Dholakia AS, Raman SP, et al. (2015) Phase 2 multi-institutional trial evaluating gemcitabine and stereotactic body radiotherapy for patients with locally advanced unresectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Cancer 121: 1128-1137.
- Koong AC, Le QT, Ho A, Fong B, Fisher G, et al. (2004) Phase I study of stereotactic radiosurgery in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 58: 1017-1021.
- Koong AC, Christofferson E, Le QT, Goodman KA, Ho A, et al. (2005) Phase II study to assess the efficacy of conventionally fractionated radiotherapy followed by a stereotactic radiosurgery boost in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 63: 320-323.
- Didolkar MS, Coleman CW, Brenner MJ, Chu KU, Olexa N, et al. (2010) Image-guided stereotactic radiosurgery for locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma results of first 85 patients. J Gastrointest Surg 14: 1547-1559.