



# The Constitution and Civil Rights: Historical and Contemporary Perspectives

Bruno Musy\*

Department of Clinical Professor of Medicine, University of the Bahamas Nassau, Bahamas

## Abstract

This article explores the evolving relationship between the U.S. Constitution and civil rights from historical and contemporary perspectives. Initially, the Constitution's framework did not explicitly protect civil rights, but significant amendments and legal reforms have progressively addressed these shortcomings. The Bill of Rights, Civil War Amendments, and landmark legislation such as the Civil Rights Act of 1964 reflect critical milestones in expanding civil rights protections. The article examines the impact of the Civil Rights Movement and subsequent judicial rulings on civil rights, highlighting ongoing challenges and debates. It also considers the role of the judiciary in interpreting the Constitution in the context of evolving social values and civil rights issues. Through this analysis, the article underscores the dynamic nature of constitutional protection of civil liberties and the ongoing pursuit of justice in America.

**Keywords:** Constitutional amendments; Civil rights movement; Judicial interpretation; Bill of rights; Supreme court decisions; Equal protection

## Introduction

The interplay between the U.S. Constitution and civil rights reveals a profound journey from initial limitations to ongoing advancements. Originally, the Constitution did not fully address civil rights, permitting practices like slavery and denying suffrage to women. Over time, significant amendments and landmark legislation, such as the Bill of Rights and Civil Rights Act, have sought to rectify these deficiencies. The evolution of civil rights, driven by social movements and judicial interpretations, reflects America's commitment to expanding justice and equality. This discussion explores how historical and contemporary perspectives shape our understanding of constitutional protections and civil liberties [1].

## Historical perspectives

### The constitution's original framework

When the Constitution was drafted in 1787, it established a foundational structure for governance but fell short in explicitly protecting civil rights. The original document allowed slavery and did not grant suffrage to women or other marginalized groups. The early Constitutional provisions reflected the prevailing social norms and limitations of that era [2].

### The bill of rights

The first major step toward addressing these shortcomings came with the Bill of Rights in 1791. The first ten amendments were designed to safeguard individual liberties against government overreach. The Bill of Rights includes essential freedoms such as speech, religion, and assembly, setting a precedent for the protection of civil liberties.

### The civil war amendments

The 13<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, and 15<sup>th</sup> Amendments, passed in the aftermath of the Civil War, represented a significant leap in advancing civil rights. The 13<sup>th</sup> Amendment abolished slavery, the 14<sup>th</sup> guaranteed equal protection under the law, and the 15<sup>th</sup> aimed to secure voting rights regardless of race. These amendments marked a transformative period in American constitutional history, though their promises were not fully realized for many decades [3].

### Early 20<sup>th</sup> century reforms

In the early 20<sup>th</sup> century, the Progressive Era and the New Deal Era brought additional reforms. The 19<sup>th</sup> Amendment in 1920 granted women the right to vote, while the New Deal programs under Franklin D. Roosevelt sought to address economic disparities. However, racial segregation and discrimination persisted, illustrating the gap between constitutional ideals and social reality [4].

## Contemporary perspectives

### The civil rights movement

The mid-20<sup>th</sup> century witnessed a profound shift with the Civil Rights Movement. Landmark legislation such as the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965 sought to dismantle racial segregation and discrimination. Judicial rulings during this period, including the Supreme Court's decision in *Brown v. Board of Education* (1954), which declared racial segregation in public schools unconstitutional, were crucial in advancing civil rights [5].

### Expanding civil rights protections

In recent decades, the scope of civil rights protections has broadened to include various marginalized groups. The Supreme Court has addressed issues related to gender discrimination, LGBTQ+ rights, and disability rights. Decisions such as *Obergefell v. Hodges* (2015), which legalized same-sex marriage nationwide, illustrate how constitutional interpretation continues to evolve in response to changing social values.

### Ongoing challenges

Despite significant progress, challenges remain. Issues such as racial inequality, voter suppression, and the debate over police practices continue to test the boundaries of civil rights. Contemporary

**\*Corresponding author:** Bruno Musy, Department of Clinical Professor of Medicine, University of the Bahamas Nassau, Bahamas, E-mail: Bruno.musy@gmail.com

**Received:** 01-Sep-2024, Manuscript No: jcls-24-146677, **Editor Assigned:** 04-Sep-2024, pre QC No: jcls-24-146677 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 18-Sep-2024, QC No: jcls-24-146677, **Revised:** 22-Sep-2024, Manuscript No: jcls-24-146677 (R), **Published:** 29-Sep-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2169-0170.1000463

**Citation:** Bruno M (2024) The Constitution and Civil Rights: Historical and Contemporary Perspectives. J Civil Legal Sci 13: 463.

**Copyright:** © 2024 Bruno M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

movements like Black Lives Matter highlight ongoing disparities and call for reforms to address systemic injustices. These issues underscore the need for a robust constitutional framework that can adapt to new social realities [6].

### The role of the judiciary

The judiciary plays a critical role in interpreting the Constitution in the context of contemporary civil rights issues. Supreme Court decisions have far-reaching impacts on civil rights laws and policies. The balance between judicial activism and judicial restraint remains a key point of contention, influencing how civil rights issues are addressed and resolved [7].

### Discussion

The relationship between the U.S. Constitution and civil rights is a reflection of America's evolving understanding of equality and justice. Initially, the Constitution, while establishing a framework for governance, did not explicitly address many civil rights concerns. It allowed practices like slavery and denied fundamental rights to women and other marginalized groups. The historical trajectory of civil rights in the United States illustrates a dynamic interplay between constitutional provisions, social movements, and judicial interpretations.

At its inception, the Constitution was a product of its time, crafted by framers who largely ignored the issue of civil rights. The original document did not prohibit slavery or grant universal suffrage. It wasn't until the ratification of the Bill of Rights in 1791 that individual liberties were explicitly protected. The first ten amendments, particularly the First Amendment's guarantees of free speech, religion, and assembly, laid the groundwork for future civil rights advancements [8].

The aftermath of the Civil War brought significant constitutional changes with the 13th, 14th, and 15th Amendments. The 13th Amendment abolished slavery, while the 14th provided a broad guarantee of equal protection under the law, and the 15th aimed to protect voting rights regardless of race. These amendments represented a transformative step in the constitutional protection of civil rights, though their practical impact was often limited by subsequent discriminatory practices and legal challenges.

The Civil Rights Movement of the 1950s and 1960s marked a critical period in expanding civil rights protections. Landmark legislation such as the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965 addressed systemic racial discrimination and segregation. Judicial decisions during this era, including *Brown v. Board of Education* (1954), which overturned racial segregation in public schools, played a crucial role in enforcing these laws and shaping public policy [8].

This period also saw the extension of civil rights to other marginalized groups. The 19th Amendment (1920) granted women the right to vote, and subsequent legal and social movements further advanced gender equality. The Stonewall riots in 1969 catalyzed the LGBTQ+ rights movement, culminating in significant legal victories such as *Obergefell v. Hodges* (2015), which legalized same-sex marriage

nationwide. These advancements demonstrate how constitutional interpretation evolves to address emerging social issues [9].

Despite these advances, contemporary challenges persist. Issues such as racial inequality, voter suppression, and debates over police practices continue to test the boundaries of civil rights protections. Movements like Black Lives Matter highlight ongoing disparities and demand reforms to address systemic injustices. The judiciary's role remains pivotal in interpreting constitutional provisions in the context of these modern challenges. The balance between judicial activism and restraint influences how civil rights issues are addressed and resolved [10].

### Conclusion

The Constitution and civil rights are intertwined in a continuous dialogue between historical aspirations and contemporary realities. While the Constitution laid the groundwork for protecting individual freedoms, its interpretation and application have evolved through legislative action, judicial decisions, and social movements. As America continues to grapple with civil rights issues, the Constitution remains both a beacon of hope and a battleground for justice. The ongoing pursuit of equality and freedom reflects the nation's commitment to ensuring that the promises of the Constitution are realized for all its citizens.

### References

1. Adler G, Lawrence BM, Ounpraseuth ST, Asghar-Ali AA (2015) A Survey on Dementia Training Needs Among Staff at Community-Based Outpatient Clinics. *Educational Gerontology* 41: 903-915.
2. Bokshan SL, Han AL, DePasse JM, Eltorai AEM, Marcaccio SE, et al. (2016) Effect of Sarcopenia on Postoperative Morbidity and Mortality After Thoracolumbar Spine Surgery. *Orthopedics* 39:e1159-64.
3. Abdelaziz M, Samer Kamel S, Karam O, Abdelrahman (2011) Evaluation of E-learning program versus traditional lecture instruction for undergraduate nursing students in a faculty of nursing. *Teaching and Learning in Nursing* 6: 50-58.
4. Warrick N, Prorok JC, Seitz D (2018) Care of community-dwelling older adults with dementia and their caregivers. *CMAJ* 190: E794-E799.
5. Skovrlj B, Gilligan J, Cutler HS, Qureshi SA (2015) Minimally invasive procedures on the lumbar spine. *World J Clin Cases* 3:1-9.
6. Allen M, Ferrier S, Sargeant J, Loney E, Bethune G, et al. (2005) Alzheimer's disease and other dementias: An organizational approach to identifying and addressing practices and learning needs of family physicians. *Educational Gerontology* 31: 521-539.
7. Surr CA, Gates C, Irving D, Oyebode J, Smith SJ, et al. (2017) Effective Dementia Education and Training for the Health and Social Care Workforce: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Rev Educ Res* 87: 966-1002.
8. Ruiz JG, Mintzer MJ, Leipzig RM (2006) The Impact of E-Learning in Medical Education. *Acad Med* 81(3):207-212.
9. Reeves S, Fletcher S, Loughlin C, Yim A, Patel KD, et al. (2017) Interprofessional online learning for primary healthcare: findings from a scoping review. *BMJ Open* 7: 168-172.
10. Kafil TS, Nguyen TM, MacDonald JK, Chande N (2018) Cannabis for the treatment of ulcerative colitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 11:129-154.