



## Telehealth in Cardiac Rehabilitation a Comprehensive Review

Emily Brown\*

Department of Cardiology, University of Washington, USA

### Abstract

Telehealth has emerged as a transformative approach in cardiac rehabilitation, particularly highlighted during the COVID-19 pandemic. This article reviews the current state of telehealth in cardiac rehabilitation, its effectiveness, challenges, and future directions. We explore various telehealth modalities, patient outcomes, and the implications for healthcare systems.

**Keywords:** Telehealth; Cardiac Rehabilitation; Remote Monitoring; Patient Outcomes; COVID-19

### Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) remain the leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Cardiac rehabilitation (CR) is a crucial intervention designed to improve health outcomes for individuals with CVD. Traditionally, CR programs involve in-person visits that include exercise training, education, and counseling. However, the advent of telehealth has revolutionized the delivery of these services, allowing patients to engage in rehabilitation remotely [1]. This article discusses the effectiveness of telehealth in cardiac rehabilitation, particularly its rise during the COVID-19 pandemic, challenges faced, and future prospects.

### The Role of Telehealth in Cardiac Rehabilitation

#### Definition and Modalities

Telehealth encompasses a range of technologies to deliver care remotely. In cardiac rehabilitation, this includes video consultations, remote monitoring devices, mobile health applications, and online education platforms. These modalities enable healthcare providers to monitor patients' progress, provide guidance, and conduct virtual exercise sessions.

#### Implementation and Accessibility

Telehealth has improved accessibility to cardiac rehabilitation services, particularly for patients in rural or underserved areas. By eliminating transportation barriers and allowing flexibility in scheduling, telehealth can enhance patient engagement and adherence to rehabilitation programs [2]. Studies indicate that patients who participate in telehealth CR programs often report higher satisfaction and motivation levels.

### Effectiveness of Telehealth in Cardiac Rehabilitation

#### Clinical Outcomes

Numerous studies have evaluated the efficacy of telehealth interventions in cardiac rehabilitation. Research shows that telehealth CR can lead to significant improvements in clinical outcomes, such as:

**Exercise Capacity:** A meta-analysis of several studies indicated that telehealth interventions could improve exercise capacity as effectively as traditional in-person programs [3].

**Cardiovascular Risk Factors:** Telehealth CR has demonstrated improvements in risk factors such as blood pressure, lipid profiles, and weight management.

**Quality of Life:** Patients engaged in telehealth CR often report enhanced quality of life and psychological well-being, partly due to the continuous support and education they receive.

#### Patient Adherence

Adherence to prescribed rehabilitation regimens is a significant predictor of outcomes. Telehealth has been shown to improve adherence rates [4]. One study found that patients who utilized telehealth for cardiac rehabilitation adhered to exercise guidelines more consistently compared to those in traditional programs. The convenience of remote access and regular follow-up via telecommunication plays a crucial role in this enhanced adherence.

### Challenges of Telehealth in Cardiac Rehabilitation

#### Technology and Access

Despite its advantages, telehealth in cardiac rehabilitation faces several challenges

**Digital Divide:** Not all patients have access to the necessary technology or reliable internet, particularly older adults or those in low-income communities. This digital divide can limit the reach of telehealth services.

**User Competency:** Patients' comfort and skill with technology can vary significantly, which may affect their ability to participate effectively in telehealth programs.

#### Clinical Limitations

While telehealth has proven effective, certain clinical aspects remain challenging

**Physical Assessment:** Remote monitoring can limit the healthcare provider's ability to conduct comprehensive physical assessments [5]. Issues such as gait analysis and manual testing of physical capabilities can be more challenging in a virtual environment.

\*Corresponding author: Emily Brown, Department of Cardiology, University of Washington, USA, E-mail: bro\_emi43@hotmail.com

**Received:** 02-Sep-2024, Manuscript No: jcpr-24-150313, **Editor Assigned:** 05-Sep-2024, pre QC No: jcpr-24-150313 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 20-Sep-2024, QC No: jcpr-24-150313, **Revised:** 24-Sep-2024, Manuscript No: jcpr-24-150313 (R), **Published:** 30-Sep-2024, DOI: 10.4172/jcpr.1000275

**Citation:** Emily B (2024) Telehealth in Cardiac Rehabilitation a Comprehensive Review. J Card Pulm Rehabi 8: 275.

**Copyright:** © 2024 Emily B. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Emergency Situations:** In-person supervision during exercise sessions can be crucial for patients with high-risk profiles. Telehealth may not provide the immediate response needed in emergency situations.

## Future Directions

### Integration with Traditional Care

A hybrid model that combines telehealth with traditional in-person visits could optimize patient outcomes [6]. This approach allows for essential physical assessments while maintaining the flexibility and accessibility of telehealth.

### Enhanced Technology

Advancements in wearable technology and remote monitoring devices can further enhance telehealth in cardiac rehabilitation [7]. Devices that monitor heart rate, oxygen saturation, and other vital signs can provide real-time feedback to both patients and providers, enabling better management of rehabilitation programs.

### Research and Guidelines

Ongoing research is critical to establish standardized protocols and guidelines for telehealth in cardiac rehabilitation. Large-scale studies are needed to explore long-term outcomes, patient preferences, and the most effective technologies for different populations [8].

## Conclusion

Telehealth has significantly reshaped cardiac rehabilitation, offering an accessible and effective alternative to traditional methods. While challenges remain, the potential for improved patient outcomes and satisfaction is substantial. As healthcare continues to evolve, integrating telehealth into cardiac rehabilitation will likely play a crucial role in enhancing care delivery and patient engagement.

## References

1. Salvo GD, Russo MG, Paladini D, Felicetti M, Castaldi B, et al. (2008) Two-dimensional strain to assess regional left and right ventricular longitudinal function in 100 normal fetuses. *Eur J Echocardiogr* 9: 754-756.
2. Quiñones MA, Otto CM, Stoddard M, Waggoner A, Zoghbi WA, et al. (2002) Recommendations for Quantification of Doppler Echocardiography: A Report from the Doppler Quantification Task Force of the Nomenclature and Standards Committee of the American Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 15: 167-184.
3. Lancellotti P, Tribouilloy C, Hagendorff A, Popescu BA, Edvardsen T, et al. (2013) Recommendations for the echocardiographic assessment of native valvular regurgitation: an executive summary from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 14: 611-644.
4. Wei K, Jayaweera AR, Firoozan S, Linka A, Skyba DM, et al. (1998) Quantification of Myocardial Blood Flow with Ultrasound-Induced Destruction of Microbubbles Administered as a Constant Venous Infusion. *Circulation* 97: 473-483.
5. Edvardsen T, Gerber BL, Garot J, Bluemke DA, Lima JAC, et al. (2002) Quantitative assessment of intrinsic regional myocardial deformation by Doppler strain rate echocardiography in humans: validation against three-dimensional tagged magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation* 106: 50-56.
6. Rudski LG, Lai WW, Afilalo J, Hua L, Handschumacher MD, et al. (2010) Guidelines for the Echocardiographic Assessment of the Right Heart in Adults: A Report from the American Society of Echocardiography: Endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 23: 685-713.
7. Zoghbi WA, Adams D, Bonow RO, Enriquez-Sarano M, Foster E, et al. (2017) Recommendations for Noninvasive Evaluation of Native Valvular Regurgitation: A Report from the American Society of Echocardiography Developed in Collaboration with the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 30: 303-371.
8. Wann LS, Curtis AB, January CT, Ellenbogen KA, Lowe JE, et al. (2019) 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS Focused Update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 74: 104-132.