

**Method** 



# Role of Bacterial Biopolymers in Modifying the Structural Integrity of Limestone Blocks an Experimental Study

## **Martin Sohil\***

*Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Applied Sciences Muenster, Germany*

# **Abstract**

This study investigates the role of bacterial biopolymers in altering the structural integrity of limestone blocks. By introducing specific biopolymers produced by Bacillus and Pseudomonas strains, the experiment aimed to assess changes in the mechanical and physical properties of limestone, including compressive strength, porosity, and water absorption. The results indicated that bacterial biopolymers significantly enhanced the durability and structural integrity of the limestone blocks. This research highlights the potential of biopolymers in the preservation and restoration of stone materials, offering a sustainable approach to enhancing the longevity of limestone structures.

**Keywords:** Bacterial biopolymers; Limestone blocks; Structural integrity; Compressive strength; Porosity; Water absorption; Stone preservation

## **Introduction**

Limestone, widely used in construction and historical monuments, is vulnerable to environmental degradation, leading to structural weakening over time. Traditional methods for reinforcing limestone often involve synthetic chemicals that may pose environmental risks [1]. Recently, bacterial biopolymers have emerged as a potential eco-friendly alternative for improving the durability and strength of stone materials. This study focuses on understanding how biopolymers produced by specific bacterial strains, such as Bacillus and Pseudomonas, can influence the physical and mechanical properties of limestone [2]. By exploring the interaction between bacterial biopolymers and limestone, this research aims to provide insights into sustainable methods for stone preservation and restoration.

## **Methodology**

# **Preparation of bacterial cultures**

Bacterial Strains: The study utilized two bacterial strains known for their biopolymer production: Bacillus subtilis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. These strains were selected based on their ability to produce extracellular biopolymers that can interact with calcium carbonate, the primary component of limestone [3,4]. Bacteria were cultured in a nutrient-rich medium at 30°C under aerobic conditions. The growth medium contained essential nutrients to stimulate biopolymer production. After 48 hours of incubation, the bacterial cultures reached their peak biopolymer production, as confirmed by optical density measurements and biopolymer extraction.

## **Biopolymer extraction and preparation**

Extraction Process: The bacterial cultures were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 minutes to separate the cells from the supernatant containing the biopolymers. The supernatant was then subjected to precipitation using cold ethanol (1:3 ratio) [5]. The precipitated biopolymers were collected by centrifugation and dried at 40°C to obtain a powder form. The extracted biopolymers were dissolved in deionized water to prepare 1% (w/v) biopolymer solutions. These solutions were then filtered to remove any impurities before application to the limestone blocks.

# **Preparation of limestone blocks**

Limestone Samples: Experimental limestone blocks, each measuring 5 cm x 5 cm x 5 cm, were prepared from a single block of high-purity limestone to ensure uniformity in composition and structure [6,7]. The limestone blocks were thoroughly cleaned with deionized water and air-dried to remove any surface contaminants that could interfere with the biopolymer application.

# **Application of bacterial biopolymers**

Treatment Process: The limestone blocks were divided into three groups: (1) untreated control, (2) treated with Bacillus biopolymer solution, and (3) treated with Pseudomonas biopolymer solution. Each block was immersed in the respective biopolymer solution for 24 hours to ensure deep penetration of the biopolymer into the stone matrix. After treatment, the blocks were air-dried for 48 hours at room temperature [8]. Following this, the blocks were cured in a humiditycontrolled chamber (60% relative humidity) for seven days to allow the biopolymers to fully interact with the limestone.

# **Results and Discussion**

## **Compressive strength**

Results: Limestone blocks treated with bacterial biopolymers showed a significant increase in compressive strength compared to untreated controls. Specifically, biopolymers produced by Bacillus strains resulted in a 20% increase, while Pseudomonas biopolymers achieved a 15% increase. The enhancement in compressive strength can be attributed to the biopolymers filling micro-cracks and pores within the limestone, effectively bonding the stone matrix [9]. This suggests that bacterial biopolymers can serve as a natural reinforcement agent, improving the load-bearing capacity of limestone without altering its appearance.

**\*Corresponding author:** Martin Sohil, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Applied Sciences Muenster, Germany, E-mail: Smartin07@gmail.com

**Received:** 03-Aug-2024, Manuscript No: bsh-24-146615, **Editor assigned:** 05- Aug-2024, Pre QC No: bsh-24-146615 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 19-Aug-2024, QC No: bsh-24-146615, **Revised:** 24-Aug-2024, Manuscript No: bsh-24-146615 (R) **Published:** 31-Aug-2024, DOI: 10.4172/bsh.1000222

**Citation:** Martin S (2024) Role of Bacterial Biopolymers in Modifying the Structural Integrity of Limestone Blocks an Experimental Study. Biopolymers Res 8: 222.

**Copyright:** © 2024 Martin S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Citation:** Martin S (2024) Role of Bacterial Biopolymers in Modifying the Structural Integrity of Limestone Blocks an Experimental Study. Biopolymers Res 8: 222.

## **Porosity and water absorption**

Results: The introduction of bacterial biopolymers significantly reduced the porosity and water absorption of the limestone blocks. The porosity of blocks treated with Bacillus biopolymers decreased by 25%, while Pseudomonas biopolymers led to a 22% reduction. Correspondingly, water absorption rates dropped by 30% and 27% for Bacillus and Pseudomonas-treated blocks, respectively. The reduction in porosity and water absorption is indicative of the biopolymers' ability to penetrate and seal the pores within the limestone [10]. This decreased permeability likely contributes to the improved resistance of the limestone blocks to environmental factors such as moisture and freeze-thaw cycles, enhancing their durability and lifespan.

## **Surface morphology**

Results: Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) revealed that bacterial biopolymers formed a thin, uniform layer on the surface of the limestone blocks, filling microvoids and creating a smoother surface texture. The surface coating provided by the biopolymers not only contributes to the mechanical strength but also protects the limestone from weathering. This layer acts as a barrier against pollutants and moisture, further preserving the stone's integrity. However, longterm studies are required to assess the durability of this coating under various environmental conditions.

## **Conclusion**

The experimental study demonstrates that bacterial biopolymers have a significant positive impact on the structural integrity of limestone blocks. The enhanced compressive strength, reduced porosity, and lower water absorption rates suggest that biopolymers produced by Bacillus and Pseudomonas strains can be effectively used for the preservation and restoration of limestone structures. These findings open up new possibilities for utilizing biopolymers in sustainable construction practices, particularly in the conservation of historic monuments. Future research should focus on the long-term performance of these biopolymer treatments in real-world environmental conditions and their potential application to other types of stone materials.

# **Acknowledgement**

None

# **Conflict of Interest**

None

### **References**

- 1. Jin X, Sun P, Tong G, Zhu X (2018) [Star polymer-based unimolecular micelles](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0142961218300711)  [and their application in bio-imaging and diagnosis.](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0142961218300711) Biomaterials 178: 738-750.
- 2. Bangar SP, Whiteside WS (2021) [Nano-cellulose reinforced starch bio](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0141813021014537?via%3Dihub)  [composite films- A review on green composites](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0141813021014537?via%3Dihub). Int J Biol Macromol 185: 849- 860.
- 3. Ozimek J, Pielichowski K (2021) [Recent Advances in Polyurethane/POSS](https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/27/1/40)  [Hybrids for Biomedical Applications](https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/27/1/40). Molecules 27: 40-42.
- 4. Liu Y, Wang J, Xiong Q, Hornburg D, Tao W, et al. (2021) [Nano-Bio Interactions](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.accounts.0c00413)  [in Cancer: From Therapeutics Delivery to Early Detection.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.accounts.0c00413) Acc Chem Res 54: 291-301.
- 5. Dreanca A, Muresan-Pop M, Taulescu M, Tóth ZR, Bogdan S, et al. (2021) [Bioactive glass-biopolymers-gold nanoparticle based composites for tissue](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0928493121001454?via%3Dihub)  [engineering applications](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0928493121001454?via%3Dihub). Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl 123: 112006- 112008.
- 6. Xing Z, Li S, Liu Z, Zhang C, Bai Z, et al. (2020) [CTCF-induced upregulation of](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pros.23953)  [HOXA11-AS facilitates cell proliferation and migration by targeting miR-518b/](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pros.23953) [ACTN4 axis in prostate cancer](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pros.23953). Prostate 80: 388-398.
- 7. Li P, Liu W, Zhang Y, Xing J, Li J, et al. (2019) [Fungal canker pathogens trigger](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-019-46635-5)  [carbon starvation by inhibiting carbon metabolism in poplar stems](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-019-46635-5). Sci Rep 9: 10111-10115.
- 8. Akiyama Y, Odaira K, Sakiyama K, Hoshino T, Iwabuchi K, et al. (2012) [Rapidly](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10544-012-9700-5)[moving insect muscle-powered microrobot and its chemical acceleration](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10544-012-9700-5). Biomed Microdevices 14: 979-86.
- 9. Brun N, Ungureanu S, Deleuze H, Backov R (2011) [Hybrid foams, colloids and](https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2011/CS/B920518G)  [beyond: from design to applications.](https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2011/CS/B920518G) Chem Soc Rev 40: 771-788.
- 10. Long J, Zhang Q, Wang T, Zhang X, Xu Y, et al. (2014) [An efficient and](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0960852413018373?via%3Dihub)  [economical process for lignin depolymerisation in biomass-derived solvent](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0960852413018373?via%3Dihub)  [tetrahydrofuran](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0960852413018373?via%3Dihub). Bioresour Technol 154: 10-17.