

## Operational Procedures and Reliability for Safe Drinking Water

## Sheelendra M Bhatt\* and Shilpa

Biotechnology department, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab, India

Polluted water is affecting not only biodiversity but also the public health severely [1]. People in the developing countries are deprived of safe drinking water due to lack of awareness about personal hygiene. Thus, immediate intervention is required at the level of water supply before public distribution, or at household level. WHO recommends water sanitation and hygiene (WASH), is critical in achieving health [2] under programme Millennium Development Goals (MDG) up to 2015 for sustainable access to safe drinking water. According to a WHO 2007 report, around 1.1 billion people are lacking availability of safe drinking water and more than 400 children are dying every year owing to waterborne diseases. According to WHO reports, death of 1.6 million children is due to diarrhea under the age of 5 years [3]. Various neurological diseases also have been reported along with the malnutrition and arthritis because of waterborne pathogens. Government is investing huge resources in providing clean water to public. There are various causes which are responsible for poor water supply in public system such as poor distribution system, poor maintenance of water pipe and ineffective sanitization technique in overall making safe drinking water [4]. Drinking water reliability is much in doubt today because of presence of various dangerous pathogens also [5] and thus needs urgent attention against various waterborne pathogens and some heavy metal contaminants present. The most severely effects had been observed in many developing countries such as Bangladesh [6], India [7] and China [8]. In India, specially in Assam rural area, water supply from well is reported to be contaminated with heavy metals [9], while in Rajasthan water is contaminated with pesticide [10], contamination of Fluoride in Haryana [11], and water is contaminated with Arsenic contamination in west Bengal [12] and recently, there is additional report of pharmaceutical contamination in drinking water [13]. According to Fawell [14], every country must follow strict guidelines issued by WHO, in order to supply safe drinking water, under safe limit. Many survey and reports shows [15] that despite of various guidelines, only few country has been successful in providing safe drinking water to >50% population. According to the Global Annual Assessment of Sanitation and Drinking-Water [16,17], around 2.5 billion people were living without access to improved sanitation facilities and 770 million people in 2011, were not receiving safe drinking water.

Even today, various pilot scale measures and programme previously launched by WHO in many regions of world, for improving potable water is inadequate in ensuring safe water supply as still many reports of poor sanitation and health problems specially in developing and poor countries are existing at alarming level. As a result water itself is becoming a threat for life of children, women and aged people. To combat this situation, water treatment at the household level has been suggested in order to safe water. Adapting some technology at household level is found to be not only one of the most effective way of getting rid of waterborne pathogens and also proved to be very costeffective. Thus, promoting household water treatment and safe storage (HWTS) is recently WHO-sponsored programme for Millennium Development Goals for achieving water and sanitation targets. These programs not only proved to be highly successful in lowering down the overall cost of investment against healthcare but also increased the productivity and income of the family and also helped in improving overall school attendance of the students [18].

Various causes are reported behind contaminated drinking water such as mixing of polluted water bodies in public water supply system [10,19] or contamination of microbes [20], or radiological effects [21] via various heavy metals such as uranium or arsenic [22]. Arsenic has been reported to pose a bad health impact in form of skin lesions and even cancer due to high concentration up to 3400 µg/L [23].

Generally, water purification follows some simple steps 1- water pretreatment along with some 2-chlorination steps for the purpose of removing large particles present in drinking water, to minimize microbial growth, then pH is adjusted to neutrality, 3-while coagulation and flocculation is done in order to minimize the turbidity of water. Finally water is 4-filtered to remove suspended particles. Various types of filters have been in use keeping in mind efficiency and cost such as slow sand filters and membrane filters.

Currently various low cost disinfection methods is reported to be very effective such as Solar Water Disinfection system (SODIS), bleaching additions, ultraviolet, ozonization and most popular chlorination methods but alone none of the technique is very reliable in achieving safe water. Thus, combination of these found to be very effective for instance, Islam et al. [24] developed a unique combination of chemicals containing alum potash, bleaching powder and lime to purify water at point of use and experimented successfully at pilot scale in Bangladesh rural community of 15 villages on 420 people in aim to convert water into pyrogen free water. In another study by Crump et al. [25], only flocculent chemical, sodium hypochlorite was used successfully to clean water to minimize prevailing cases of diarrhoea due to very high concentration of Escherichia coli (turbidity 100-1000 nephelometric turbidity units NTU) in rural western Kenya. UNICEF recommended HWTS in such areas where boiling, chlorination and filtering is very effective against various diseases like cholera, enteric fever, dysentery and Hepatitis [26]. Some recommendations are also there such as point of use (POU) for a viable option to mass supply of water in public distribution system. Boiling of water by use of solar, UV or heat energy called also as SODIS treatment [26] along with use of combined coagulant such as chlorine, alum and other antibacterial material, porous ceramic e.g. fired clay and use of biosand filter have also been adapted for effective disinfection of water. Effectiveness indicator for HW chlorination is only 29% [27], while for SODIS treatment is only 26-37%, while coagulation is the most effective one range upto 45%, but this has a limitation that water must be decanted properly while for SODIS treatment PET bottle is must. Clasen et al,

\*Corresponding author: Sheelendra M Bhatt, Biotechnology department, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab, India, E-mail: drsmbhatt@gmail.com

Received December 19, 2014; Accepted December 20, 2014; Published December 22, 2014

Citation: Bhatt SM, Shilpa (2015) Operational Procedures and Reliability for Safe Drinking Water. J Bioremed Biodeg 6: e160. doi:10.4172/2155-6199.1000e160

**Copyright:** © 2015 Bhatt SM, et al. This is an open-a ccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

recommended use of ceramic candle, gravity filter, iodine resin gravity filter or iodine resin faucet mounted filter [15] for household-based water treatment. Out of three, iodine resin faucet mounted filter was reported to be most effective for filtration.

HWT technology is not only effective in reducing the risk of waterborne disease but is also safer for water transport, or for storage at the home [28]. The World Health Organization (WHO) also endorses HWT for many poor countries lacking appropriate facility in providing safe water at mass levels [18,29].

At household level many families judge the water as safe via presence of suspended solids, color, odor, and taste [30]. In this regard a survey for HWT applications at 67 nations concluded that around 33.0% of the households (1.1 billion people) are practicing these techniques at home successfully. Western Pacific area was reported to have highest HWT practice in this regard (66.8%) and Southeast Asia around (45.4%) while Eastern Mediterranean has low HWT practice (13.6%) and Africa was lowest (18.2%). Boiling was the most simplest, dominant and effective method under HWT practice with 21.0% adapting this method [30] (Tables 1 and 2).

Application of some chemical bleach such as sodium hypochlorite solution, the dichloroisocyanurate (NaDCC) tablets was found to be effective in disinfection of house hold water. Chlorine was reported to be highest in use and most effective at scale level at doses of only few mg/l and for 30 minutes for water treatment, where free chlorine reported to inactivate more than 99.99% of enteric pathogens reducing chances of diarrhoeal diseases except those of resistant microbes such as Cryptosporidium and Mycobacterium species [31]. Recently, a low cost and very promising technique have been developed in the form of locally-fabricated silver coated ceramics for effective house hold tool

Metal	Agents	References
As III Bioremediation	Bacteria, <i>Sporosarcina, Ginsengisolicrs.</i> Conc upto 50 mM As	[35]
	E. crassipes 600 mg As/ Ha +lemna minor	
Heavy metals	Banana and orange peel 5-25 mg/l Pb>Ni <sup>2+</sup>	[36]
Complete water purification including microbial decontamination	Ultrapurification	[27]
As (III)	Stenotrophomonas sp. MM-7(8.8 mg/kg) via arsenite oxidase	[37]
As(III)	Arsenic resistant microbe belongs to proteobacterium Conc. 100 mM Others metals also purified like Ni, Zn,Cu, Pb, Co	[38]
Fe+3	Electrocogulation 25 mg/L	[39]
As(III)+ microbial decontamination	Carbon nanotube 10 <sup>7</sup> CFU/ml	[40]

Table 1: Some Reliable water decontamination methods.

Water treatment Method	Cost/person/year
1. Solar Disinfection	US\$0,63
2. Chlorination	US\$0.66
3. Ceramic Filters	US\$3.03
4. Combined Flocculation/Disinfection	US\$4.95
5. Installing & Maintaining Wells, borehole and Communal Tap Stands in Africa	US\$1.88

 Table 2: Various promising water treatment methods and the cost recurring per person per year [15].

for water treatment [32]. Some other filters such as slow-sand filters are reported to be very effective in removing microbes and suspended solids by means of a forming a slime layer that entraps and removes 99% or more of enteric pathogens [33]. A more simpler filter developed said to be "bio-sand" filter, is more proved and found to be suitable for household applications [26] and has been developed by the Center for Alternative Water and Sanitation Technologies (www.cawst.org).

Sodis system, developed by the Swiss Federal Institute for Environmental Science and Technology (http://www.sodis.ch) is found to be more economical and effective in reducing, diarrhoeal morbidity, against enteric pathogens and cholera [28,34].

## References

- Gadgil A (1998) Drinking water in developing countries. Annu. Rev. Energy Environ. 23: 253-286.
- Bartram J, Cairncross S (2010) Hygiene, sanitation, and water: forgotten foundations of health. PLoS Med 7: e1000367.
- Sobsey MD, Stauber CE, Casanova LM, Brown JM, Elliott MA (2008) Point of use household drinking water filtration: A practical, effective solution for providing sustained access to safe drinking water in the developing world. Environ Sci Technol 42: 4261-4267.
- Lee EJ, Schwab KJ (2005) Deficiencies in drinking water distribution systems in developing countries. J Water Health 3: 109-127.
- Hunter PR, Zmirou-Navier D, Hartemann P (2009) Estimating the impact on health of poor reliability of drinking water interventions in developing countries. Sci Total Environ 407: 2621-2624.
- 6. Loucks DP, Speight V (2008) Technologies for clean WaTer. Bridg. 38: 38-45.
- Jessoe K (2013) Improved source, improved quality? Demand for drinking water quality in rural India. J. Environ. Econ. Manage. 66: 460-475.
- Liu ZH (2011) Discussion on Legislation of Rural Safe Drinking Water in China. Appl. Mech. Mater. Pp: 556-559.
- Chetia M, Goswamee RL, Banerjee S, Chatterjee S, Singh L, et al. (2011) Arsenic removal from water using calcined Mg–Al layered double hydroxide. Clean Technol. Environ. 14: 21-27.
- Suthar S (2011) Contaminated drinking water and rural health perspectives in Rajasthan, India: an overview of recent case studies. Environ Monit Assess 173: 837-849.
- Singh B, Gaur S, Garg VK (2007) Fluoride in drinking water and human urine in Southern Haryana, India. J Hazard Mater 144: 147-151.
- Chakraborti D, Das B, Rahman MM, Chowdhury UK, Biswas B, et al. (2009) Status of groundwater arsenic contamination in the state of West Bengal, India: a 20-year study report. Mol Nutr Food Res 53: 542-551.
- Health P, Water E (2011) Pharmaceuticals in Drinking-water. World Health. 50: 600-603.
- Fawell J, Mark JN (2003) Contaminants in drinking water. Br. Med. Bull. 68: 199-208.
- Clasen T, Menon S (2007) Microbiological performance of common water treatment devices for household use in India. Int J Environ Health Res 17: 83-93.
- WHO (2010) UN-water global annual assessment of sanitation and drinkingwater? GLAAS 2010: Targeting resources for better results. World Heal. Organ. WHO. Pp: 1-102.
- WHO, UNICEF (2014) Progress on sanitation and drinking-water 2014 update. Monit. Program. Water supply Sanit. Pp: 1-78.
- Hutton G, Haller L, Bartram J (2007) Global cost-benefit analysis of water supply and sanitation interventions. J. Water Health. 5: 481-502.
- 19. He J, Charlet L (2013) A review of arsenic presence in China drinking water. J. Hydrol. 492: 79-88.
- Natarajan KA (2008) Microbial aspects of acid mine drainage and its bioremediation. Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China English Ed. 18: 1352-1360.
- 21. Edition F (2011) Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality. World Health. 1: 104-108.

- Majumdar KK, Guha Mazumder DN (2012) Effect of drinking arseniccontaminated water in children. Indian J Public Health 56: 223-226.
- Smith AH, Hopenhayn-Rich C, Bates MN, Goeden HM, Hertz-Picciotto I, et al. (1992) Cancer risks from arsenic in drinking water. Environ Health Perspect 97: 259-267.
- 24. Islam MS, Mahmud ZH, Uddin MH, Islam K, Yunus M, et al. (2011) Purification of household water using a novel mixture reduces diarrhoeal disease in Matlab, Bangladesh. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 105: 341-345.
- 25. Crump JA, Otieno PO, Slutsker L, Keswick BH, Rosen DH, et al. (2005) Household based treatment of drinking water with flocculant-disinfectant for preventing diarrhoea in areas with turbid source water in rural western Kenya: cluster randomised controlled trial. BMJ 331: 478.
- Sobsey MD, Stauber CE, Casanova LM, Brown JM, Elliott MA, et al. (2008) Point of Use Household Drinking Water Filtration: A Practical, Effective Solution for Providing Sustained Access to Safe Drinking Water in the Developing World. 42: 4261-4267.
- Arnal JM, Garcia-Fayos B, Verdu G, Lora J (2009) Ultrafiltration as an alternative membrane technology to obtain safe drinking water from surface water: 10 years of experience on the scope of the AQUAPOT project. Desalination. 248: 34-41.
- Wright J, Gundry S, Conroy R (2004) Household drinking water in developing countries: a systematic review of microbiological contamination between source and point-of-use. Trop Med Int Health 9: 106-117.
- 29. WHO, UNICEF (2012) Progress on drinking water and sanitation, 2012. Pp. 66.
- 30. Rosa G, Clasen T (2010) Estimating the scope of household water treatment in low- and medium-income countries. Am J Trop Med Hyg 82: 289-300.
- 31. Arnold BF, Colford JM Jr (2007) Treating water with chlorine at point-of-use to improve water quality and reduce child diarrhea in developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Trop Med Hyg 76: 354-364.

- Brown J, Sobsey MD (2010) Microbiological effectiveness of locally produced ceramic filters for drinking water treatment in Cambodia. J Water Health 8: 1-10.
- Hijnen WA, Schijven JF, Bonné P, Visser A, Medema GJ (2004) Elimination of viruses, bacteria and protozoan oocysts by slow sand filtration. Water Sci Technol 50: 147-154.
- Hobbins M. Home-based drinking water purification through sunlight: from effectiveness to health effectiveness. PhD dissertation, University of Basel.
- Achal V, Pan X, Fu Q, Zhang D (2012) Biomineralization based remediation of As(III) contaminated soil by Sporosarcina ginsengisoli. J Hazard Mater 201-202: 178-84.
- Zwolinski MD, Harris RF, Hickey WJ, Zuroff TR, Xiques SB, et al. (2013) Rodriguez-Valera F, editor. Bioresour. Technol. London: Elsevier Ltd; 2013. 5: 1-11.
- Bahar MM, Megharaj M, Naidu R (2012) Arsenic bioremediation potential of a new arsenite-oxidizing bacterium Stenotrophomonas sp. MM-7 isolated from soil. Biodegradation 23: 803-812.
- Banerjee S, Datta S, Chattyopadhyay D, Sarkar P (2011) Arsenic accumulating and transforming bacteria isolated from contaminated soil for potential use in bioremediation. J. Environ. Sci. Health. A. Tox. Hazard. Subst. Environ. Eng. 46: 1736-1747.
- Ghosh D, Solanki H, Purkait MK (2008) Removal of Fe(II) from tap water by electrocoagulation technique. J Hazard Mater 155: 135-143.
- Upadhyayula VK, Deng S, Mitchell MC, Smith GB (2009) Application of carbon nanotube technology for removal of contaminants in drinking water: a review. Sci Total Environ 408: 1-13.

Page 3 of 3