Navigating crisis: The essential role of emergency mental health

David Schonfeld*

Faculty of Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Canada

ABSTRACT:

The importance of public emergency mental health services has gained increasing recognition. As societies grapple with the multifaceted challenges posed by natural disasters, pandemics, and socio-economic crises, the need for robust mental health support during emergencies has never been more critical. This article explores the significance of public emergency mental health, its challenges, best practices, and the role of various stakeholders in fostering a supportive environment for those in need.

KEYWORDS: Mental health crises, Stigma reduction, Resource allocation

INTRODUCTION

Mental health is a crucial aspect of overall well-being, encompassing emotional, psychological, and social factors that shape how individuals think, feel, and act. It influences how we handle stress, interact with others, and make decisions. While mental health can vary throughout one's life, factors like genetics, life experiences, and family history of mental health issues can have significant effects (Bommersbach TJ, 2011). Prioritizing mental well-being through healthy lifestyle choices, regular physical activity, and supportive relationships is essential. Increasing awareness, reducing stigma, and ensuring access to mental health resources are critical steps to fostering resilience, helping individuals lead healthier, more fulfilling lives (Claassen CA,2005).

PUBLIC EMERGENCY MENTAL HEALTH: Public emergency mental health refers to the strategies, policies, and practices aimed at addressing mental health needs during crises. Emergencies can take many forms, including natural disasters like earthquakes and hurricanes, public health emergencies such as the COVID-19 pandemic, and social upheavals like protests or civil unrest (Goto R,2022). Each of these situations can lead to increased levels of stress, anxiety, depression, and trauma among affected populations. The psychological impact of emergencies often manifests in various ways, including acute stress reactions, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), substance abuse, and other mental health disorders. Understanding these effects is crucial for developing effective responses that can mitigate the psychological toll of crises (Hawley SR, 2007).

Timely intervention in mental health crises can significantly reduce the long-term psychological impact on individuals and communities. When mental health support is integrated into emergency response efforts, it enhances resilience and promotes recovery. One of the significant barriers to seeking mental health support during emergencies is stigma (Hedman LC, 2016). Many individuals may feel ashamed or embarrassed to seek help, fearing judgment from peers or a lack of understanding about mental health issues. Public emergency mental health initiatives can help reduce stigma by normalizing discussions around mental health and providing accessible resources. Emergency mental health services must be equipped to offer immediate support to those affected (Heyland M,2017). This can include crisis counseling, psychological first aid, and referral to longer-term mental health services. Immediate support can help individuals stabilize their emotional state and begin the healing process. Public emergency mental health services also play a vital role in building community resilience. By equipping communities with mental health resources and training, local leaders and organizations can create supportive environments where individuals feel empowered to seek help. This community-based approach fosters social cohesion and promotes collective healing. Despite the importance of public emergency mental health, several challenges hinder effective implementation (Kalucy R,2005). Many regions lack adequate funding, trained professionals, and infrastructure to provide comprehensive mental health services during emergencies. This gap can lead to overwhelmed systems and insufficient support for those in need. Effective public emergency mental health responses require coordination among various stakeholders, including government agencies, non-profit organizations, healthcare providers, and community leaders. Lack of collaboration can result in fragmented services and inadequate support for affected populations. Mental health services must be culturally sensitive to be effective. Different communities may have varying beliefs about mental health, which can

influence individuals' willingness to seek help. Tailoring interventions to meet the cultural needs of diverse populations is essential for successful outcomes (Larkin GL,2009).

To enhance public emergency mental health responses, several best practices have emerged. Mental health should be a core component of emergency preparedness and response plans. This integration ensures that mental health services are readily available and that first responders are trained to recognize and address mental health needs. Investing in training for mental health professionals, first responders, and community leaders is crucial. This training can equip them with the skills needed to provide effective support during crises, including recognizing signs of distress and implementing trauma-informed care. Engaging communities in the planning and implementation of mental health services fosters ownership and sustainability. By involving community members, organizations can identify specific needs and tailor interventions accordingly. The use of technology in public emergency mental health can enhance access to services. Telehealth platforms, mobile applications, and online resources can provide immediate support, especially in areas with limited access to traditional mental health services (Palmer J,2022).

A collaborative approach is essential for effective public emergency mental health responses. Various stakeholders play crucial roles. Government agencies at local, state, and national levels must prioritize mental health in emergency response planning. This includes allocating resources, developing policies, and ensuring that mental health professionals are part of the emergency response team. Healthcare providers play a critical role in identifying and addressing mental health needs during emergencies. Training healthcare professionals to recognize the signs of distress and provide appropriate interventions is vital. Non-profit organizations often serve as essential partners in delivering mental health services. They can mobilize resources, provide training, and connect individuals with needed support. Community leaders can help foster an environment that promotes mental well-being. They can advocate for mental health resources, raise awareness, and work to reduce stigma in their communities (Tankel AS, 2011).

CONCLUSION

Public emergency mental health is a crucial aspect of comprehensive emergency response efforts. By addressing mental health needs during crises, we can mitigate the psychological impact on individuals and communities, foster resilience, and promote recovery. As we continue to face various challenges, it is imperative that stakeholders collaborate to enhance mental health services and ensure that those in need receive timely and effective support. Investing in public emergency mental health not only benefits individuals but also strengthens the fabric of society, creating healthier and more resilient communities.

References

Bommersbach, TJ., McKean, AJ., Olfson, M., Rhee, TG (2011). National trends in mental health–related emergency department visits among youth. JAMA. 2;329(17):1469-77.

Claassen, CA., Michael Kashner, T., Gilfillan, SK., Larkin, GL (2005). Psychiatric emergency service use after implementation of managed care in a public mental health system. Psych Ser.56(6):691-8.

Goto, R., Guerrero, AP., Speranza, M., Fung, D (2022). War is a public health emergency. The Lancet. 2;399(10332):1302.

Hawley, SR., Hawley, GC., Ablah, E., Romain, TS., Molgaard, CA (2007). Mental health emergency preparedness: the need for training and coordination at the state level. Pre Dis Med. 22(3):199-204.

Hedman, LC., Petrila, J., Fisher, WH., Swanson, JW (2016). State laws on emergency holds for mental health stabilization. Psych Serv. 1;67(5):529-35.

Heyland, M., Johnson, M (2017). Evaluating an alternative to the emergency department for adults in mental health crisis. Ment. Health Nur. 3;38(7):557-61.

Kalucy, R., Thomas, L., King, D (2005). Changing demand for mental health services in the emergency department of a public hospital. J Psych Res.39(1-2):74-80.

Larkin, GL., Beautrais, AL., Spirito, A., Kirrane, BM (2009). Mental health and emergency medicine: a research agenda. Acad Emer Med. 16(11):1110-9.

Palmer, J., Ku, M., Wang, H., Crosse, K (2022). Public health emergency and psychological distress among healthcare workers: a scoping review. Pub Hea. 22(1):1396.

Tankel, AS., Di Palma, MJ., Kramer, KM., Van der Zwan, R (2011). Increasing impact of mental health presentations on New South Wales public hospital emergency departments 1999–2006. Emer Med Aust. 23(6):689-96.