

Leveraging Mobile Technology to Improve the Treatment of Comorbid Bipolar and Substance Use Disorders

Brandon A Gaudiano* and Ethan Moitra

Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Department of Psychiatry & Human Behavior and Butler Hospital, Psychosocial Research Program, Rhode Island 02906, USA

Introduction

Co-occurring substance abuse in bipolar disorder is a prevalent and costly problem. Bipolar disorder a leading cause of disability worldwide [1], with up to 60% of these individuals possessing a history of a comorbid substance use disorder (SUD) [2]. Furthermore, research indicates that individuals with SUDs have a 5-8 times greater risk of bipolar disorder compared to the general population [2,3]. Comorbid bipolar and substance use disorders (BP-SUD) are associated with a higher frequency of mood episodes, greater persistence of mood symptoms, increased relapse risk, greater psychiatric comorbidity, greater disability, higher mortality, increased violence, more hospitalizations, higher suicide risk, and poorer functioning compared with non-comorbid patients, as well as higher treatment costs [4-11].

Treatment non adherence is among the highest in patients with BP-SUD compared with other clinical populations. Research indicates that up to 60% of bipolar patients are treatment non adherent [12,13]. For example, a study of 168 patients with bipolar disorder followed over 18 months found that 25% discontinued medications altogether, an additional 33% who remained on medications were non adherent to them, and 25% were non adherent to psychosocial treatments [14]. Research suggests that numerous factors are associated with nonadherence, including illness insight, medication side effects, treatment beliefs, patient-doctor alliance, symptom severity, and substance use [12,13,15,16]. In fact, comorbid alcohol and/or drug use is perhaps the most important predictor of non-adherence in bipolar disorder [13,17,18]. For example, Keck et al. [19] followed 134 bipolar patients post-hospitalization and found that patients with comorbid SUDs (33%) were less likely to be adherent to medications compared to those without SUDs (58%).

Ecologically-valid predictors of adherence are needed to guide interventions for BP-SUD. Little is known about the relative contribution of adherence predictors when combined, and even less is known about how these barriers might fluctuate in the patient's natural environment. Prior studies have been mostly cross-sectional or retrospective in nature [12,13,15,16]. This is problematic because memory bias may influence self-reporting [20]. Also, many adherence barriers fluctuate according to environmental circumstances (e.g., interpersonal stressors, drug cues), making them difficult to assess out of context [21,22]. Furthermore, psychiatric symptoms and their expression are predictive of non-adherence, including situationallyvarying emotional states, substance misuse, and mood symptoms [23, 24]. Recently, "readiness to change" based on the Transtheoretical Model of Behavior Change [25] has shown promise in predicting non adherence in non-bipolar/non-SUD samples. More specifically, Genberg et al. [26] showed that HIV positive patients in earlier stages of change (contemplation, preparation) had significantly lower adherence to antiretroviral therapy compared to those in the later stages (action, maintenance). Further research is needed using readiness to change to predict adherence in BP-SUD. In addition, few studies have addressed the issue of behavioral non adherence in BP-SUD [14,27]; its causes can differ markedly from medication adherence. Behavioral forms of adherence (e.g., appointment attendance, lifestyle changes) are critical in maintaining treatment gains, preventing relapse, and improving medication adherence in bipolar disorder and SUDs [28,29].

Conventional, therapist-delivered cognitive behavioral therapies (CBT) show promise for improving adherence in bipolar disorder [30-33], but they are typically time and resource intensive and their effects have been mixed [27,34]. Further, there is a paucity of research on behavioral interventions specifically designed to address SUD-BP [35-37], with minimal success improving adherence in this group to date. For example, a small pilot randomized controlled trial [38] in BP-SUD patients (n=46) indicated that medication adherence was only somewhat better in those receiving intensive CBT compared with those receiving low intensity medication monitoring alone for 12 weeks; although these differences were not statistically significant (50% vs 29%, respectively).

Clearly, adherence is influenced by a number of factors that relate to bipolar disorder and SUDs independently. Developing better adherence interventions hinges on an improved understanding of the environmental barriers operating in patients' daily lives. This can be achieved with real-time assessments using newer mobile technologies (e.g., smartphones) [39], which permit the study of changes in health behaviors within and between days. By better elucidating these changes, it will be possible to advance the field's understanding of the most impactful adherence mechanisms to target in future psychosocial interventions.

Mobile technology offers a unique tool to study substance use and non-adherence. The measurement of adherence barriers, including stressors, mood symptoms, substance use, and related factors like cravings, is best suited to an approach that is sensitive to dynamic changes in behaviors in context. This can be provided through realtime assessment using ecological momentary assessment (EMA) [39]. Mobile devices (e.g. smartphones, PDAs) have become the ideal platform for collecting *in vivo* data. EMA is emerging as a feasible strategy for assessing ecologically-valid predictors of drug and/or alcohol use in SUD populations [40-47]. For example, Epstein et al. [48] studied 114 cocaine/heroin-abusing outpatients and found that 12 triggers (e.g., mood, cravings, seeing the drug) assessed via EMA during the preceding 5 hours predicted later drug use. Additional studies have further demonstrated that EMA is acceptable and feasible in SUD populations and predictive of use [42-48]. Other researchers have begun

*Corresponding author: Brandon A. Gaudiano, Ph.D., Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Department of Psychiatry & Human Behavior and Butler Hospital, Psychosocial Research Program, 345 Blackstone Boulevard, Providence, Rhode Island 02906, USA, E-mail: Brandon_Gaudiano@brown.edu.

Received May 29, 2015; Accepted May 30, 2015; Published June 05, 2015

Citation: Gaudiano BA, Moitra E (2015) Leveraging Mobile Technology to Improve the Treatment of Comorbid Bipolar and Substance Use Disorders. J Addict Res Ther 6: e126. doi: 10.4172/2155-6105.1000e126

Copyright: © 2015 Gaudiano BA, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

to use data collected via EMA to inform and refine treatments [49,50]. For example, Obermayer et al. [51] used mobile devices to deliver an innovative intervention for smoking cessation informed by previously collected data regarding typical daily smoking times. By programming the device to intervene during a typical smoking time, patients received intervention when they were most at risk to smoke.

However, there are very few studies to date using EMA in bipolar samples in general, and none that we are aware of in those with SUD-BP specifically. A recent pilot study [52] (n = 14) examined the use of EMA in bipolar patients *without* SUDs. All patients found EMA feasible/ acceptable and adherence to EMA prompts was 92% in the study. Other preliminary research supports the feasibility and acceptability of EMA in those with bipolar disorder using mobile devices [52-55]. EMA adherence rates in these studies frequently are comparable to those in nonclinical samples. EMA may be able to provide new insights into bipolar illness. For example, Depp et al. [55] reported greater variability in mood self-ratings in a sample with bipolar disorder (n = 40) assessed via EMA compared with traditional paper-and-pencil measures. Also, EMA ratings were significantly correlated with clinician-rated symptoms of depression and mania, whereas paper-and-pencil ratings were not.

Leveraging mobile technology to study the bidirectional relationship between substance use and non-adherence is a necessary next research step. After using EMA to identify the relative importance of substance use and other adherence predictors, as well as the degree to which these variables interact and change over time, brief interventions delivered via a smartphone can be matched to these predictors to improve clinical outcomes, including adherence. For instance, if substance use is particularly impactful on daily adherence, EMA can be used to probe for risk factors for subsequent substance use, and when they occur, the mobile device can automatically respond with a brief intervention to prompt appropriate coping strategies (e.g., cognitive, behavioral, motivational). Thus, EMA offers the hope for more personalized, ecologically-valid interventions for bipolar patients, with substance abuse as a key target.

References

- 1. Murray CJ, Lopez AD (1994) Quantifying disability: data, methods and results. Bull World Health Organ 72: 481-494.
- Regier DA, Farmer ME, Rae DS, Locke BZ, Keith SJ, et al. (1990) Comorbidity of mental disorders with alcohol and other drug abuse. Results from the Epidemiologic Catchment Area (ECA) Study. JAMA 264: 2511-2518.
- Kessler RC, Crum RM, Warner LA, Nelson CB, Schulenberg J, et al. (1997) Lifetime co-occurrence of DSM-III-R alcohol abuse and dependence with other psychiatric disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry 54: 313-321.
- Salloum IM, Thase ME (2000) Impact of substance abuse on the course and treatment of bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord 2: 269-280.
- Salloum IM, Cornelius JR, Mezzich JE, Kirisci L (2002) Impact of concurrent alcohol misuse on symptom presentation of acute mania at initial evaluation. Bipolar Disord 4: 418-421.
- Cassidy F, Ahearn EP, Carroll BJ (2001) Substance abuse in bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord 3: 181-188.
- Feinman JA, Dunner DL (1996) the effect of alcohol and substance abuse on the course of bipolar affective disorder. J Affect Disord 37: 43-49.
- Brady K, Casto S, Lydiard RB, Malcolm R, Arana G (1991) Substance abuse in an inpatient psychiatric sample. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse 17: 389-397.
- Tondo L, Baldessarini RJ, Hennen J, Minnai GP, Salis P, et al. (1999) Suicide attempts in major affective disorder patients with comorbid substance use disorders. J Clin Psychiatry 60 Suppl 2: 63-69.
- 10. Potash JB, Kane HS, Chiu YF, Simpson SG, MacKinnon DF, et al. (2000)

Attempted suicide and alcoholism in bipolar disorder: clinical and familial relationships. Am J Psychiatry 157: 2048-2050.

Page 2 of 3

- 11. Wyatt RJ, Henter I (1995) An economic evaluation of manic-depressive illness--1991. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 30: 213-219.
- Colom F, Vieta E, Tacchi MJ, Sánchez-Moreno J, Scott J (2005) Identifying and improving non-adherence in bipolar disorders. Bipolar Disord 7 Suppl 5: 24-31.
- 13. Lingam R, Scott J (2002) Treatment non-adherence in affective disorders. Acta Psychiatr Scand 105: 164-172.
- Arvilommi P, Suominen K, Mantere O, Leppämäki S, Valtonen H, et al. (2014) Predictors of adherence to psychopharmacological and psychosocial treatment in bipolar I or II disorders - an 18-month prospective study. J Affect Disord 155: 110-117.
- Scott J, Pope M (2002) Nonadherence with mood stabilizers: prevalence and predictors. J Clin Psychiatry 63: 384-390.
- Berk M, Berk L, Castle D (2004) A collaborative approach to the treatment alliance in bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord 6: 504-518.
- 17. Brady KT, Sonne SC (1995) The relationship between substance abuse and bipolar disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 56 Suppl 3: 19-24.
- Aagaard J, Vestergaard P, Maarbjerg K (1988) Adherence to lithium prophylaxis: II. Multivariate analysis of clinical, social, and psychosocial predictors of nonadherence. Pharmacopsychiatry 21: 166-170.
- Keck PE Jr, McElroy SL, Strakowski SM, West SA, Sax KW, et al. (1998) 12-month outcome of patients with bipolar disorder following hospitalization for a manic or mixed episode. Am J Psychiatry 155: 646-652.
- Bradburn NM, Rips LJ, Shevell SK (1987) Answering autobiographical questions: the impact of memory and inference on surveys. Science 236: 157-161.
- Kelly J, Gooding P, Pratt D, Ainsworth J, Welford M, et al. (2012) Intelligent realtime therapy: harnessing the power of machine learning to optimise the delivery of momentary cognitive-behavioural interventions. J Ment Health 21: 404-414.
- Ebner-Priemer UW, Trull TJ (2009) Ecological momentary assessment of mood disorders and mood dysregulation. Psychol Assess 21: 463-475.
- Manwani SG, Szilagyi KA, Zablotsky B, Hennen J, Griffin ML, et al. (2007) Adherence to pharmacotherapy in bipolar disorder patients with and without co-occurring substance use disorders. J Clin Psychiatry 68: 1172-1176.
- Perlick DA, Rosenheck RA, Kacynski R, Kozma L (2004) Medication nonadherence in bipolar disorder: a patient-centered review of research findings. Clinical Approaches in Bipolar Disorder 3: 54-56.
- Prochaska JO, Velicer WF (1997) The transtheoretical model of health behavior change. Am J Health Promot 12: 38-48.
- Genberg BL, Lee Y, Rogers WH, Willey C, Wilson IB (2013) Stages of change for adherence to antiretroviral medications. AIDS Patient Care STDS 27: 567-572.
- Gaudiano BA, Weinstock LM, Miller IW (2008) Improving treatment adherence in bipolar disorder: a review of current psychosocial treatment efficacy and recommendations for future treatment development. Behav Modif 32: 267-301.
- Colom F, Vieta E, Tacchi MJ, Sánchez-Moreno J, Scott J (2005) Identifying and improving non-adherence in bipolar disorders. Bipolar Disord 7 Suppl 5: 24-31.
- Swartz MS, Swanson JW, Wagner HR, Burns BJ, Hiday VA (2001) Effects of involuntary outpatient commitment and depot antipsychotics on treatment adherence in persons with severe mental illness. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease 189: 583-592.
- Crowe M, Porter R, Inder M, Lacey C, Carlyle D, et al. (2012) Effectiveness of interventions to improve medication adherence in bipolar disorder. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 46: 317-326.
- Miklowitz DJ (2014) Adjunctive Psychotherapy for Bipolar Disorder: State of the Evidence FOCUS 12: 359-371.
- Gearing RE, Townsend L, Elkins J, El-Bassel N, Osterberg L (2014) Strategies to predict, measure, and improve psychosocial treatment adherence. Harv Rev Psychiatry 22: 31-45.
- Berk L, Hallam KT, Colom F, Vieta E, Hasty M, et al. (2010) Enhancing medication adherence in patients with bipolar disorder. Hum Psychopharmacol 25: 1-16.

- Bauer MS (2001) An evidence-based review of psychosocial treatments for bipolar disorder. Psychopharmacol Bull 35: 109-134.
- Weiss RD, Griffin ML, Greenfield SF, Najavits LM, Wyner D, et al. (2000) Group therapy for patients with bipolar disorder and substance dependence: results of a pilot study. J Clin Psychiatry 61: 361-367.
- 36. Weiss RD, Griffin ML, Jaffee WB, Bender RE, Graff FS, et al. (2009) A "community-friendly" version of integrated group therapy for patients with bipolar disorder and substance dependence: a randomized controlled trial. Drug Alcohol Depend 104: 212-219.
- Weiss RD, Griffin ML, Kolodziej ME, Greenfield SF, Najavits LM, et al. (2007) A randomized trial of integrated group therapy versus group drug counseling for patients with bipolar disorder and substance dependence. Am J Psychiatry 164: 100-107.
- Schmitz JM, Averill P, Sayre S, McCleary P, Moeller FG, et al. (2002) Cognitivebehavioral treatment of bipolar disorder and substance abuse: a preliminary randomized study. Addict Disord Their Treatment 1: 17-24.
- Bolger N, Davis A, Rafaeli E (2003) Diary methods: capturing life as it is lived. Annu Rev Psychol 54: 579-616.
- Hopper JW, Su Z, Looby AR, Ryan ET, Penetar DM, et al. (2006) Incidence and patterns of polydrug use and craving for ecstasy in regular ecstasy users: an ecological momentary assessment study. Drug Alcohol Depend 85: 221-235.
- 41. Freedman MJ, Lester KM, McNamara C, Milby JB, Schumacher JE (2006) Cell phones for ecological momentary assessment with cocaine-addicted homeless patients in treatment. J Subst Abuse Treat 30: 105-111.
- 42. Epstein DH, Marrone GF, Heishman SJ, Schmittner J, Preston KL (2010) Tobacco, cocaine, and heroin: Craving and use during daily life. Addict Behav 35: 318-324.
- Epstein DH, Preston KL (2010) Daily life hour by hour, with and without cocaine: an ecological momentary assessment study. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 211: 223-232.
- 44. Epstein DH, Tyburski M, Craig IM, Phillips KA, Jobes ML, et al. (2014) Realtime tracking of neighborhood surroundings and mood in urban drug misusers:

application of a new method to study behavior in its geographical context. Drug Alcohol Depend 134: 22-29.

- Kennedy AP, Epstein DH, Phillips KA, Preston KL (2013) Sex differences in cocaine/heroin users: drug-use triggers and craving in daily life. Drug Alcohol Depend 132: 29-37.
- 46. Phillips KA, Epstein DH, Preston KL (2013) Daily temporal patterns of heroin and cocaine use and craving: relationship with business hours regardless of actual employment status. Addict Behav 38: 2485-2491.
- 47. Phillips KA, Epstein DH, Vahabzadeh M, Mezghanni M, Lin JL, et al. (2014) Substance use and hepatitis C: an ecological momentary assessment study. Health Psychol 33: 710-719.
- 48. Epstein DH, Willner-Reid J, Vahabzadeh M, Mezghanni M, Lin JL, et al. (2009) Real-time electronic diary reports of cue exposure and mood in the hours before cocaine and heroin craving and use. Arch Gen Psychiatry 66: 88-94.
- Newman MG, Consoli AJ, Taylor CB (1999) A palmtop computer program for the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Behav Modif 23: 597-619.
- Przeworski A, Newman MG (2004) Palmtop computer-assisted group therapy for social phobia. J Clin Psychol 60: 179-188.
- Obermayer JL, Riley WT, Asif O, Jean-Mary J (2004) College smokingcessation using cell phone text messaging. J Am Coll Health 53: 71-78.
- Wenze SJ, Armey MF, Miller IW (2014) Feasibility and Acceptability of a Mobile Intervention to Improve Treatment Adherence in Bipolar Disorder: A Pilot Study. Behav Modif 38: 497-515.
- 53. Bopp JM1, Miklowitz DJ, Goodwin GM, Stevens W, Rendell JM, et al. (2010) The longitudinal course of bipolar disorder as revealed through weekly text messaging: a feasibility study. Bipolar Disord 12: 327-334.
- Depp CA, Mausbach B, Granholm E, Cardenas V, Ben-Zeev D, et al. (2010) Mobile interventions for severe mental illness: design and preliminary data from three approaches. J Nerv Ment Dis 198: 715-721.
- 55. Depp CA, Kim DH, de Dios LV, Wang V, Ceglowski J (2012) A Pilot Study of Mood Ratings Captured by Mobile Phone Versus Paper-and-Pencil Mood Charts in Bipolar Disorder. J Dual Diagn 8: 326-332.

Page 3 of 3