



Intellectual Property in the Age of Social Media and Online Content

Olumide Lisa*

School of Law, Hawassa University, Ethiopia

Introduction

In the digital era, the rapid expansion of social media and online content platforms has transformed the way individuals and businesses create, share, and consume content. This transformation, while empowering creators, has also brought about new challenges in protecting intellectual property (IP) rights. The ease with which digital content can be reproduced, shared, and distributed has raised critical questions about how traditional IP laws apply in an online world where boundaries are fluid and enforcement can be complex. This article explores the intersection of intellectual property and the digital age, focusing on the impact of social media and online content on IP law, as well as the challenges and potential solutions for protecting creative works in this new environment [1,2].

Description

Intellectual Property (IP) encompasses a range of legal protections designed to safeguard the creations of the human mind, including inventions, artistic works, designs, trademarks, and trade secrets. Traditionally, IP laws have served to incentivize creativity by granting creators exclusive rights to their works, allowing them to control how those works are used and monetized. However, the advent of social media platforms like Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, YouTube, and Twitter, as well as content-sharing services such as blogs, podcasts, and streaming platforms, has radically altered the way content is created and shared. The ease of uploading, sharing, and downloading content online has led to a surge in copyright infringement, with individuals and organizations frequently using others' works without permission. At the same time, the scale and speed at which content spreads on social media make it increasingly difficult to track, enforce, and protect IP rights. As a result, creators and IP holders face a unique set of challenges in ensuring that their rights are respected while navigating the fast-paced world of online content [3-5].

Discussion

Copyright law is one of the most affected areas of intellectual property law in the age of social media. Platforms like YouTube, Instagram, and TikTok thrive on user-generated content, where users often upload videos, photos, music, and other creative works. While these platforms provide an avenue for creators to share their work with a wide audience, they also raise significant concerns about the unauthorized use of copyrighted material. For instance, many videos posted on YouTube or TikTok feature copyrighted music, video clips, or images without permission from the rights holders. Although platforms have implemented content ID systems (such as YouTube's Content ID) to automatically detect and flag copyrighted works, these systems are not foolproof. Furthermore, the sheer volume of content makes enforcement difficult, leaving many rights holders unable to fully protect their works. The Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA) provides a safe harbor for online platforms by shielding them from liability for infringing content posted by users, provided that they act quickly to remove infringing material once notified. While this has helped create a relatively safe environment for platforms to operate, it has also raised questions about the adequacy of the "notice and takedown" system in ensuring fair compensation for creators. Some

argue that the DMCA favors large platforms over individual creators, as the notification process can be burdensome for smaller IP holders [6].

User-generated content (UGC) is central to many social media platforms, and it often involves the use of others' copyrighted material. Users may remix or repurpose existing works in ways that fall into the category of "fair use," a legal doctrine that permits limited use of copyrighted material without permission for purposes such as commentary, criticism, or parody. However, the line between what constitutes fair use and infringement is often blurry, particularly in the context of platforms where content is shared with millions of people. For example, on platforms like TikTok, where users frequently combine popular songs with original videos or dance routines, the question of whether such uses constitute fair use can be murky. While some creators might use short clips of songs or videos in ways that could be seen as transformative, others may simply repost copyrighted content without adding substantial new value, which likely constitutes infringement. The application of fair use in these instances is often decided on a case-by-case basis, leading to uncertainty for both creators and rights holders. One of the biggest challenges in IP protection on social media is enforcement. Traditional IP laws were developed in an era before the internet, and they often struggle to keep pace with the evolving digital landscape. Social media platforms, with their global reach and massive user bases, create difficulties in enforcing national IP laws across borders. When content is uploaded to a platform in one country but shared in another, it can become nearly impossible for IP holders to protect their rights consistently [7-9].

Additionally, the anonymity and ease of creating multiple accounts on social media make it difficult to identify infringers and hold them accountable. Even when infringing content is taken down, new copies can quickly appear elsewhere, undermining the effectiveness of enforcement measures. For creators and businesses, social media and online platforms offer new opportunities for monetization, but they also raise concerns about fair compensation. While some creators have successfully leveraged platforms like YouTube, Twitch, and Instagram to build large followings and earn revenue through advertising or sponsorships, many others struggle to secure adequate compensation for the use of their works. Licensing agreements are key to ensuring that creators are paid for the use of their intellectual property, but these agreements can be complex and challenging to negotiate, particularly for independent creators. Additionally, platforms themselves often retain a significant share of the revenue generated from user-generated content,

*Corresponding author: Olumide Lisa, School of Law, Hawassa University, Ethiopia, E-mail: lisaolumide4284@yahoo.com

Received: 01-Nov-2024, Manuscript No: jcls-25-160345, Editor Assigned: 04-Nov-2024, pre QC No: jcls-25-160345 (PQ), Reviewed: 18-Nov-2024, QC No: jcls-25-160345, Revised: 22-Nov-2024, Manuscript No: jcls-25-160345 (R), Published: 29-Nov-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2169-0170.1000468

Citation: Olumide L (2024) Intellectual Property in the Age of Social Media and Online Content. J Civil Legal Sci 13: 468.

Copyright: © 2024 Olumide L. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

leading to ongoing debates about how revenue should be distributed between the platform, advertisers, and the content creators. This has led some creators to advocate for better revenue-sharing models and more transparent IP policies [10].

Conclusion

The digital age has brought unprecedented opportunities for creativity and global sharing of content. However, it has also created significant challenges in the protection and enforcement of intellectual property rights. The rapid spread of user-generated content, the complexity of copyright enforcement on social media, and the evolving nature of fair use all require careful navigation of existing IP laws. As the landscape of online content continues to evolve, there will be an increasing need for adaptive legal frameworks that strike a balance between protecting creators' rights and fostering the free flow of information.

To address these challenges, lawmakers, content creators, and platform operators must collaborate to develop better tools for IP protection, clearer guidelines for fair use, and more effective enforcement mechanisms. Ultimately, the success of intellectual property law in the age of social media will depend on finding solutions that protect the rights of creators while promoting innovation, creativity, and fair access to online content.

References

1. Tarkowski E, Tullberg M, Fredman P (2003) Normal pressure hydrocephalus triggers intrathecal production of TNF-alpha. *Neurobiol Aging* 24: 707-714.
2. Urzi F, Pokorny B, Buzan E (2020) Pilot Study on Genetic Associations With Age-Related Sarcopenia. *Front Genet* 11:615-238.
3. Starkweather AR, Witek-Janusek L, Nockels RP, Peterson J, Mathews HL (2008) The Multiple Benefits of Minimally Invasive Spinal Surgery: Results Comparing Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion and Posterior Lumbar Fusion. *J Neurosci Nurs* 40:32-39.
4. Bauer JM, Verlaan S, Bautmans I, Brandt K, Donini LM, et al. (2015) Effects of a vitamin D and leucine-enriched whey protein nutritional supplement on measures of sarcopenia in older adults, the PROVIDE study: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 16:740-747.
5. Inose H, Yamada T, Hirai T, Yoshii T, Abe Y, et al. (2018) The impact of sarcopenia on the results of lumbar spinal surgery. *Osteoporosis and Sarcopenia* 4:33-36.
6. DigheDeo D, Shah A (1998) Electroconvulsive Therapy in Patients with Long Bone Fractures. *J ECT* 14: 115-119.
7. Takahashi S, Mizukami K, Yasuno F, Asada T (2009) Depression associated with dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and the effect of somatotherapy. *Psychogeriatrics* 9: 56-61.
8. Bellgrove MA, Chambers CD, Vance A, Hall N, Karamitsios M, et al. (2006) Lateralized deficit of response inhibition in early-onset schizophrenia. *Psychol Med* 36: 495-505.
9. Carter CS, Barch DM (2007) Cognitive neuroscience-based approaches to measuring and improving treatment effects on cognition in schizophrenia: the CNTRICS initiative. *Schizophr Bull* 33: 1131-1137.
10. Gupta S, Fennes AZ, Hootkins R (2016) The Role of RRT in Hyperammonemic Patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 11:1872-1878.