Open Access

Influence of Maternal Obesity on Outcomes after Fetal Spina Bifida Repair

Julia Zepf^{1,2*,} Ladina Vonzun^{1,2,3}, Ladina Rüegg^{1,2} and Nicole Ochsenbein-Kölble^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Obstetrics, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

²Department of Obstetrics, The Zurich Center for Fetal Diagnosis and Therapy, Zurich, Switzerland

³Department of Obstetrics, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Corresponding author: Julia Zepf, Department of Obstetrics, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; E-mail: julia.zepf@usz.ch

Received: 29-Mar-2024; Manuscript No. JOWT-24-131054; Editor assigned: 01-Apr-2024, PreQc No. JOWT-24-131054 (PQ); Reviewed: 15-Apr-2024, QC No. JOWT-24-131054; Revised: 22-Apr-2024, Manuscript No. JOWT-24-131054 (R); Published: 29-Apr-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2165-7904.S7-001

Citation: Zepf J, Vonzun L, Rüegg L, Kölble NO (2024) Influence of Maternal Obesity on Outcomes after Fetal Spina Bifida Repair. J Obes Weight Loss Ther S7:001.

Copyright: © 2024 Zepf J, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Short Communication

Prevalence of pre-pregnancy obesity has been rising over the last years. Obesity increases the risk for congenital anomalies, multiple different pregnancy complications, as well as operative complications. Following, these pregnancy complications will be briefly summarized. A special focus will be led on fetal Spina Bifida (fSB) that occurs more frequently in obese mothers, and will give an update regarding the outcomes of in-utero fetal Spina Bifida (fSB) repair in obese mothers.

Keywords: Fetal spina bifida; Fetal surgery; Obesity

Description

Over the last years, pre-pregnancy obesity has been rising, reaching a prevalence of 29% in the US in 2019 [1]. This fact causes challenges for obstetricians, since obesity increases the risk for multiple complications during pregnancy such as Gestational Diabetes (GDM), preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, fetal macrosomia, maternal venous thromboembolism, and preterm delivery [2-6]. Delivery complications include a higher risk for cesarean deliveries, as well as increased operative morbidity, such as surgical site infections [2,6]. Furthermore, fetuses of obese mothers are at increased risk for congenital anomalies such as congenital heart disease, omphalocele and neural tube defects including fetal Spina Bifida (fSB) [6].

The latter, namely fSB, represents one of the most common congenital anomalies and causes major morbidity and mortality, as well as medical care costs [7]. In utero-repair of fSB has become one treatment option since a randomized-controlled trial showed better neonatal motor outcomes and reduced need for shunting in case of inutero compared to postnatal repair [8].

However, due to the above mentioned increased risks in obese mothers, women being pregnant with a fSB child and Body Mass Index (BMI)>35 kg/m² have so far mostly been excluded from the in-utero treatment option, by most centers offering fSB repair. A study by Sacco, et al., [9] that among global availability also analyzed inclusion and exclusion criteria of centers offering fSB repair, reported that only one out of 44 centers offered fSB to mothers with BMI up to 45 kg/m². In 2019, Hilton, et al., [10] published a single-institution study that analyzed outcomes of 11 women with BMI>35kg/m² that had in-utero fSB repair. Their results did not show any adverse outcomes associated with maternal obesity but earlier gestational age at delivery compared to the Management of Myelomeningocele Study (MOMS) trail [10].

To confirm these findings, and evaluate if an exclusion of women with $BMI>35 \text{ kg/m}^2$ regarding in-utero fSB repair is justified, another

single-center cohort study analyzed data of 192 women with pregnancies affected by fSB that underwent in-utero surgery at the center for fetal diagnosis and therapy in Zurich [11]. The retrospective study divided women who had fSB repair into three groups, according to their BMI (Group 1=BMI<30 kg/m² (N=146); Group 2=BMI 30-35 kg/m² (N=28); Group 3=BMI>35 kg/m² (N=18)) and compared maternal and fetal outcomes. Results equally did not show any clinically relevant maternal or fetal adverse outcomes in women with BMI>35kg/m². In contrast to the study by Hilton, et al., [10], gestational age at delivery was around 36 weeks in all groups and therefore not lower compared to the MOMS trial.

Conclusion

Obesity is associated with increased obstetrical risks among others, an increased risk for congenital anomalies as for example a two-fold increased risk for fSB. This combination highlights the importance of results showing warranted safety for fSB repair in women with BMI>35 kg/m² and furthermore demands for continuously seeking for better knowledge concerning adequate prenatal care for this special collective.

Reference

- 1. Driscoll AK, Gregory EC (2020) Increases in prepregnancy obesity: United States, 2016-2019. NCHS Data Brief 392:1-8.
- 2. Catalano PM, Shankar K (2017) Obesity and pregnancy: Mechanisms of short term and long term adverse consequences for mother and child. BMJ 356:1.
- Cnattingius S, Villamor E, Johansson S, Edstedt Bonamy AK, Persson M, et al. (2013) Maternal obesity and risk of preterm delivery. JAMA 309:2362-2370.
- 4. Morgan ES, Wilson E, Watkins T, Gao F, Hunt BJ (2012) Maternal obesity and venous thromboembolism. Int J Obstet Anesth 21:253-263.

Citation: Zepf J, Vonzun L, Rüegg L, Kölble NO (2024) Influence of Maternal Obesity on Outcomes after Fetal Spina Bifida Repair. J Obes Weight Loss Ther S7: 001.

- 5. Catalano PM (2010) Obesity, insulin resistance, and pregnancy outcome. Reproduction 140:365-371.
- 6. Leddy MA, Power ML, Schulkin J (2008) The impact of maternal obesity on maternal and fetal health. Rev Obstet Gynecol 1:170-178.
- Kancherla V (2023) Neural tube defects: A review of global prevalence, causes, and primary prevention. Childs Nerv Syst 39:1703-1710.
- 8. Adzick NS, Thom EA, Spong CY, Brock JW 3rd, Burrows PK, et al. (2011) A randomized trial of prenatal versus postnatal repair of myelomeningocele. N Engl J Med 364:993-1004.
- Sacco A, Simpson L, Deprest J, David AL (2018) A study to assess global availability of fetal surgery for myelomeningocele. Prenat Diagn 38:1020-1027.
- Hilton SA, Hodges MM, Dewberry LC, Handler M, Galan HL, et al. (2019) MOMS plus: Single-institution review of outcomes for extended BMI criteria for open fetal repair of myelomeningocele. Fetal Diagn Ther 46:411-414.
- Zepf J, Vonzun L, Ruegg L, Strubing N, Krahenmann F, et al. (2024) Fetal spina bifida repair in obese mothers: Is maternal and fetal safety compromised? Fetal Diagn Ther 51:175-183.