

## Inflammatory Breast Cancer: Disparities in Diagnosis and Treatment

Keven Fraser\*

Department of Surgery, University of the Philippines-Philippine General Hospital, Philippines

### Abstract

Inflammatory breast cancer (IBC) is a rare and aggressive subtype of breast cancer characterized by rapid progression and a poor prognosis. This review examines the disparities in diagnosis and treatment faced by patients with IBC, emphasizing the influence of socio-economic, racial, and geographic factors. Despite advancements in medical knowledge, significant barriers persist, including a lack of awareness among healthcare providers, limited access to timely diagnosis, and unequal treatment options. Patients from marginalized communities often experience delayed diagnoses and are underrepresented in clinical trials, further exacerbating health inequities. This article highlights the need for targeted educational initiatives, policy changes, and strategies to enhance clinical trial participation to address these disparities. By promoting equity in care, we can improve outcomes for all patients affected by IBC.

**Keywords:** Inflammatory breast cancer; Disparities; diagnosis; Treatment; Socio-economic factors; Racial disparities; Healthcare access; Awareness; clinical trials

### Introduction

Inflammatory breast cancer (IBC) is a rare and particularly aggressive form of breast cancer, accounting for approximately 1-5% of all breast cancer cases. It is characterized by rapid onset and distinctive clinical features, such as swelling, redness, and peau d'orange skin changes, which can often lead to misdiagnosis or delayed treatment. Despite advancements in cancer research and treatment, disparities in diagnosis and care continue to persist, disproportionately affecting certain populations [1,2].

Factors such as socio-economic status, race, and geographic location significantly influence the experiences of patients with IBC. Many patients from lower socio-economic backgrounds face barriers to timely diagnosis and effective treatment, often due to inadequate access to healthcare resources and specialist care [3]. Additionally, racial and ethnic minorities, particularly Black and Hispanic women, are diagnosed at later stages of the disease and experience worse outcomes compared to their white counterparts. These disparities not only highlight systemic inequalities in healthcare but also underscore the urgent need for targeted interventions to improve awareness, access, and equity in the treatment of IBC [4,5].

This article aims to explore the various disparities in the diagnosis and treatment of IBC, examining the underlying factors that contribute to these inequities [6]. By shedding light on these issues, we can better understand the challenges faced by patients and identify strategies to promote equitable care and improve outcomes for all individuals affected by this aggressive disease [7].

### Discussion

The disparities in the diagnosis and treatment of inflammatory breast cancer (IBC) present significant challenges that underscore the complexities of healthcare access and equity. As IBC is an aggressive disease, timely diagnosis and appropriate treatment are critical for improving patient outcomes. However, systemic inequities often hinder these processes, leading to significant variations in care based on socio-economic, racial, and geographic factors.

**Awareness and education:** One of the primary barriers to timely diagnosis is a general lack of awareness about IBC among both patients

and healthcare providers. Many individuals are unaware of the unique symptoms associated with IBC, which can lead to delays in seeking medical attention. Furthermore, healthcare providers may not be sufficiently educated about IBC, particularly in primary care settings, where initial evaluations occur. This gap in knowledge can result in misdiagnosis or missed opportunities for early intervention. Increasing education and awareness through targeted campaigns can play a crucial role in improving early detection and diagnosis, particularly in underserved communities [8].

**Socioeconomic factors:** Socioeconomic status significantly impacts access to healthcare services. Patients from lower-income backgrounds often face multiple barriers, including limited financial resources, lack of insurance, and transportation challenges. These factors can delay diagnosis and treatment, leading to worse outcomes. Moreover, the availability of specialized care in rural or low-income areas is often limited, forcing patients to travel long distances for treatment. Addressing these disparities requires policy changes that enhance access to affordable healthcare, such as expanding insurance coverage and increasing support for community health centers.

**Racial and ethnic disparities:** Research has shown that racial and ethnic minorities are disproportionately affected by IBC. Black and Hispanic women are more likely to be diagnosed at later stages of the disease and experience poorer outcomes compared to white women. This disparity is rooted in systemic issues, including healthcare provider biases, mistrust in the healthcare system, and historical injustices that have led to reduced access to quality care. Efforts to build trust within these communities and to actively engage patients in their care are essential for addressing these disparities [9].

**\*Corresponding author:** Keven Fraser, Department of Surgery, University of the Philippines-Philippine General Hospital, Philippines, E-mail: fraserkeven7629@yahoo.com

**Received:** 02-Oct-2024, Manuscript No: bccr-24-150165, **Editor Assigned:** 04-Oct-2024, Pre QC No: bccr-24-150165 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 18-Oct-2024, QC No: bccr-24-150165, **Revised:** 24-Oct-2024, Manuscript No: bccr-24-150165 (R), **Published:** 30-Oct-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2592-4118.1000264

**Citation:** Keven F (2024) Inflammatory Breast Cancer: Disparities in Diagnosis and Treatment. Breast Can Curr Res 9: 264.

**Copyright:** © 2024 Keven F. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Clinical trials and innovative treatments:** Participation in clinical trials is vital for advancing treatment options and ensuring that therapies are effective for diverse populations. However, minority groups are often underrepresented in clinical research, limiting the applicability of findings and perpetuating health inequities. Barriers to participation include logistical challenges, a lack of awareness about available trials, and cultural mistrust. To enhance diversity in clinical trials, researchers must implement inclusive recruitment strategies, provide education about the importance of participation, and address the specific concerns of underrepresented populations.

**Long-term care and survivorship:** The disparities do not end with treatment; they extend into long-term survivorship care. Patients with IBC often face significant physical and psychological challenges following treatment, yet access to comprehensive follow-up care can vary widely. Socioeconomic barriers and lack of continuity in care can exacerbate the long-term effects of treatment, including managing complications and providing mental health support. It is essential to develop holistic survivorship plans that consider the unique needs of IBC patients, particularly those from marginalized backgrounds [10].

## Conclusion

Inflammatory breast cancer (IBC) presents significant challenges not only due to its aggressive nature but also because of the disparities that exist in its diagnosis and treatment. These disparities are driven by a complex interplay of socio-economic, racial, and geographic factors, which result in inequities that can adversely affect patient outcomes. The need for heightened awareness and education about IBC is paramount, as early detection is crucial for improving survival rates.

Moreover, addressing the barriers to access—such as financial constraints, lack of transportation, and underrepresentation in clinical trials—will require a multifaceted approach that involves healthcare policy reform, community engagement, and targeted outreach efforts.

By fostering an inclusive healthcare environment that prioritizes equity, we can ensure that all patients, regardless of their background, receive timely and effective care.

As we move forward, it is essential to advocate for research initiatives that consider the diverse experiences of IBC patients and promote comprehensive survivorship plans that address long-term health needs. Ultimately, dismantling the disparities in IBC diagnosis and treatment is not just a matter of improving outcomes for individuals; it is a critical step toward achieving health equity for all.

## References

1. Carninci P, Kasukawa T, Katayama S, Gough J, Frith MC, et al. (2005) The transcriptional landscape of the mammalian genome. *Science* 309: 1559-1563.
2. Harrow J, Frankish A, Gonzalez JM, Tapanari E, Diekhans M, et al. (2012) GENCODE: The reference human genome annotation for The ENCODE Project. *Genome Res* 22: 1760-1774.
3. García-Padilla C, Aránega A, Franco D (2018) The role of long non-coding RNAs in cardiac development and disease. *AIMS Genet* 5: 124-140.
4. Expósito-Villén A, Aránega AE, Franco D (2018) Functional Role of Non-Coding RNAs during Epithelial-To-Mesenchymal Transition. *Non-Coding RNA* 4:1.
5. Shi X, Sun M, Liu H, Yao Y, Song Y (2013) Long non-coding RNAs: A new frontier in the study of human diseases. *Cancer Lett* 339: 159-166.
6. Statello L, Guo CJ, Chen LL, Huarte M (2021) Gene regulation by long non-coding RNAs and its biological functions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 22: 96-118.
7. Scott MS, Ono M (2011) From snoRNA to miRNA: Dual function regulatory non-coding RNAs. *Biochimie*. 93: 1987-1992.
8. Ma L, Bajic VB, Zhang Z (2013) On the classification of long non-coding RNAs. *RNA Biol* 10: 924-933.
9. Singh SR, Rameshwar P (2014) *MicroRNA in Development and in the Progression of Cancer*. New York, NY, USA.
10. Lu M, Zhang Q, Deng M, Miao J, Guo Y, et al. (2008) An Analysis of Human MicroRNA and Disease Associations. *PLoS ONE* 3: e3420.

