Journal of Bioremediation & Biodegradation
ISSN: 2155-6199
Research Article
Open Access

Hyper-collector Plant Species for Weighty Metal Polluted Soil Treatment

Dr. Imran Hashmi* and Syeda Hira Noor
Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, National University of Science and Technology, Pakistan

Abstract

Poisonous weighty metal contamination is a general natural worry that can represent a serious danger to the entire biosphere. Numerous types of plants, called hyper gatherers, are presently found that have the ability to amass metals at higher focus around times more than different plants in their parts that are over the ground. Movement factor, bioaccumulation factor and bio-fixation component can work out the yearly pace of expulsion of the pollutant component from the dirt. Individuals from a similar family have comparable phyto-remediating capacities. Different plant species require different developing circumstances to hyper collect weighty metals. In addition, not all the plant tissues store equivalent amount of weighty metals. Some plant species are great at collecting weighty metals more in their underlying foundations when contrasted with shoots or leaves. This may likewise differ with the components being amassed. This survey gives the information base to all the hyper-collectors being utilized after 2000 around the world. This information ought to be utilized for the field utilization of hyper gatherers in Pakistan. This is a modest, secure and eco-friendly method of bioremediation which has showed phenomenal outcomes in pollution evacuation.

Keywords: Hyper collectors; Phytoremediation; Movement factor; Bio-fixation factor; Bio-aggregation factor1

Introduction

Harmful weighty metal contamination is a widespread natural concern. It can prompt defilement of soil that can represent a serious danger to the entire biosphere. A polluted site can become disturbing for general wellbeing and climate. Contamination might be of normal or anthropogenic beginning [1]. Utilizing plants to manage this issue is a universally famous strategy that has an extreme lower cost. The plant based biotechnology to more readily deal with this worldwide concern is called phytoremediation. Soil is the highest layer of earth that helps plants develop and upholds life. Soil is named as tainted when the grouping of toxins surpasses the breaking point beneath the foundation level [2]. Defilement of soils has been on ascent because of extraordinary agronomic and modern exercises. Weighty metals collected in the dirt can't be biodegraded consequently soils should be remediated (Leung, 2013). The significant expenses and shortcoming renders the conventional techniques, similar to exhuming, for soil treatment insufficient [3]. Phytoremediation has an extraordinary likely as far as cleaning impurities that are covering an enormous region and are close to the surface and furthermore is exceptionally ecological well disposed (Bini, 2010).

Metalliferous soils have unconventionally high grouping of minor components (for example Mn 200-2000 mg/kg) or follow constituents (0.01-200 mg/kg, for example Zn, Cr, As, Co, Cu, Ni, Se, and Cd) [4]. Numerous types of plants are presently found that have the ability to amass metals at higher fixation than different plants in their parts that are over the ground [5]. They are named as hyper aggregators when the metal fixations are 50-100 times higher than in non-amassing plants. The standard meaning of hyper aggregation thinks of it as the catch of metals from the dirt at high rates, delivery and similar amassing in the shoots, tail and leaves. This definition isolates hyper collectors from different plants that gather abundance pollutants in their underlying foundations, accordingly barring or limiting development to shoots [6]. (Maestri, Marmiroli, Visioli, and Marmiroli, 2010). A solitary explicit component can be collected by numerous species or a solitary animal types can hyper gather various metals. It is vital that the metal retention in the elevated tissues is in overabundance so brief volume of polluted material is left in the dirt [7].

We can gauge about the hyper gathering limit of a plant in the event that its dry matter fixations are known consequently gauges can likewise be made of the yearly areal yield [8]. In this way by performing straightforward computations like movement factor, bioaccumulation factor and bio-focus factor, we can work out the yearly pace of expulsion of the toxin component from the dirt. Metals have different hyper collection limits that are moved by plants for example a plant that hyper gathers 1000mg/kg for nickel, Cu, Co and Pb however that probably won't be the situation of Manganese and Zinc as they might be named hyper aggregate on the off chance that their ability is 3000 mg/kg or more (RD Reeves, 2006) [9].

Methodology

A few exploration papers were checked on to assemble pertinent information [10]. Online information base "Google Scholar" demonstrated extremely accommodating in acquiring research papers and distributed examinations connected with hyper collecting plant species for the treatment of debased soil [11]. Every one of the investigated examinations depended on trial and error and field investigation. This article gives a data set of hyper gathering plants and their weighty metal phytoremediation likely in soil [12].

Results and Discussion

The following (Table) presents the findings of all the experiments performed by researchers around the globe to estimate the remediation potential of hyper accumulators [13]. The translocation factor explains capability of hyper accumulator to translocate the metal

***Corresponding author:** Dr. Imran Hashmi, Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, National University of Science and Technology, Pakistan, E-mail: hira@gmail.com

Received: 01-Jul-2022, Manuscript No. Jbrbd-22-71263; **Editor assigned:** 04-Jul-2022, PreQC No. Jbrbd-22-71263 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 18-Jul-2022, QC No. Jbrbd-22-71263; **Revised:** 21-Jul-2022, Manuscript No. Jbrbd-22-71263 (R); **Published:** 28-Jul-2022 DOI: 10.4172/2155-6199.1000521

Citation: Hashmi I, Noor SH (2022) Hyper-collector Plant Species for Weighty Metal Polluted Soil Treatment. J Bioremediat Biodegrad, 13: 521.

Table 1:

S.No	Scientific Name	Common Name	Family	Metals	Concentration (mg/Kg)		Region	Growing Conditions	TF	BAF	BCF	References
					Roots	Shoots						
1	Justicia procumbens	Water willow	Acanthaceae	Cd, Zn	527.4+13.3 (Cd), 10.741.1+83.9 (Zn)	548.0+4.2 (Cd), 11,071±107.1 (Zn)	Mae Sot, Tak province, Thailand	Soil of rice field near to the Padaeng Zn mine, average annual temperature 27oCand rainfall 1798 mm yr−1	1.04(Cd) 1.03(Zn)	3.15(Cd), 7.40(Zn)		(Phaenark, Pokethitiyook, Kruatrachue, & Ngernsansaruay, 2009)
2	Colocasia esculenta	Taro	Aracae	Cd, Zn	16.4+0.3 (Cd), 5,029.2+31.5 (Zn)	6.7+0.1 (Cd), 316.7+8.9 (Zn)			0.41(Cd) 0.06(Zn)	1.04(Cd) 1.72(Zn)		
3	Ageratum conyzoides	Goat weed	Asteraceae	Cd	14.3+0.7 (Cd)	20.5+0.4 (Cd)			1.43(Cd)	1.41 (Cd)		
4	Chromolaena odoratum	Siam weed, Palau	Asteraceae	Cd, Zn	110.3+7.7 (Cd), 1,494.8+46.6 (Zn)	166.0+10.3 (Cd), 1,773.3+159.6 (Zn)			1.51(Cd) 1.19(Zn)	1.33(Cd) 1.60(Zn)		
5	Conyza sumatrensis	Tall fleabane	Asteraceae	Cd, Zn	63.7+7.3 (Cd), 545.7+55.7 (Zn)	89.8+1.9 (Cd), 943.1+32.5 (Zn)			1.41(Cd) 1.73(Zn)	1.14(Cd) 3.72(Zn)		
6	Crassocephalum crepidioides	Ebolo, Fire weed	Asteraceae	Cd	13.2+1.3 (Cd)	17.0+0.5 (Cd)			1.29 (Cd)	1.38 (Cd)		
7	Grangea maderaspatana	Madras carpet	Asteraceae	Cd, Zn	11.5+1.2 (Cd), 425.0+42.5 (Zn)	13.9+0.1 (Cd), 261.3+1.2 (Zn)			1.21(Cd) 0.61(Zn)	1.59 (Cd) 1.25(Zn)		
8	Gynura pseudochina	Chinese Gynura	Asteraceae	Cd, Zn	76.3+2.0 (Cd), 3,579.3+116.0 (Zn)	457.7+8.8 (Cd), 6,171.6+179.6 (Zn)			6.00(Cd) 1.72(Zn)	20.48(Cd) 3.67(Zn)		
9	Laggera pteradonta	Lumra, Winged Stem Laggera	Asteraceae	Zn	497.3+14.4 (Zn)	650.2+19.5 (Zn)			1.31(Zn)	1.57(Zn)		
10	Sonchus arvensis	Perennial sow thistle	Asteraceae	Cd, Zn	18.9+2.1 (Cd), 479.2+47.9 (Zn)	47.8+1.3 (Cd), 210.1+9.3 (Zn)			2.52(Cd) 0.44(Zn)	2.80(Cd) 2.29(Zn)		
11	Impatiens viola eflora	Jewelweed, Touch-me-not	Balsaminaceae	Cd, Zn	185.0+15.8 (Cd), 3,431.4+343.1 (Zn)	212.3+2.9 (Cd), 3,164.8+61.9 (Zn)			1.15(Cd) 0.92(Zn)	1.29"9Cd0 2.03(Zn)		
12	Buddleja asiatica	Butterfly Bush	Buddlejaceae	Zn	759.1+12.0 (Zn)	2, 999.8+92.3 (Zn)			3.95(Zn)	2.94(Zn)		
13	Kyllinga brevifolia	Kyllinga weed	Cyperaceae	Cd, Zn	17.5+3.4 (Cd), 3,186.3+415.4 (Zn)	24.1+0.2 (Cd), 442.3+2.2 (Zn)			1.37(Cd) 0.14(Zn)	5.30 (Cd) 3.21(Zn)		
14	Enphorbia hirta	Asthma plant	Euphorbiaceae	Zn	282.7+28.3 (Zn)	155.3+7.3 (Zn)			0.55(Zn)	1.22(Zn)		
15	Aeschynomene americana	American joint vetch, Shyleaf	Fabaceae	Zn	165.6+2.4 (Zn)	277.1+55.0 (Zn)			1.67(Zn)	3.84(Zn)		
16	Crotalaria montana	Rattlepod	Fabaceae	Zn	4, 211.2+137.4 (Zn)	4, 883.9+160.3 (Zn)			1.16(Zn)	2.68(Zn)		
17	Brachiaria sp.	Shield bugs	Poaceae	Zn	44, 029.1+1, 310.2(Zn)	2, 494.8+22.5(Zn)			0.06(Zn)	1.61(Zn)		
18	Eleusine indica	Indian goose grass, yard grass, wire grass	Poaceae	Cd, Zn	150.0+15.7 (Cd), 2,085.7+422.3 (Zn)	36.9+4.0 (Cd), 2,051.0+84.2 (Zn)			0.25(Cd) 0.98(Zn)	1.06(Cd) 5.26(Zn)		
19	Imperata cylindrica	Kunai grass	Poaceae	Cd, Zn	133.2+16.6 (Cd), 4,603.1+340.7 (Zn)	53.0+3.7 (Cd), 1,019.7+34.8 (Zn)			0.40(Cd) 0.22(Zn)	1.31(Cd) 2.60(Zn)		
20	Neyraudia arundinacea	Burma reed, Silk reed	Poaceae	Cd, Zn	35.8+0.4 (Cd), 1,759.7+23.7 (Zn)	29.7+1.3 (Cd), 968.8+11.2 (Zn)			0.83(Cd) 0.55(Zn)	1.89(Cd) 3.92(Zn)		
21	Thysanolaena maxima	Tiger grass	Poaceae	Zn	2, 717.5+675.4 (Zn)	600.5+14.1 (Zn)			0.22(Zn)	1.47(Zn)		
22	Noccaea caerulescens	Alpine Penny Cress	Brassicaceae	Zn	3700 (roots)	5000(shoots)	Pyrenees	Metalliferous soil, hydroponic conditions	1	14		(Martos et al., 2016)

23	Cyperus rotundas Linn	Purple Nutgrass	Cyperaceae	Cd	roots	shoots	Bangkok, Thailand	25-30oC, humidity				(Sao, Nakbanpote, & Thiravetyan, 2007)
					1,800±0.04	1,193±0.04		70-75%, 16h white light/8-h dark photoperiod				
24	Axonopus compressus	Savannah Grass	Poaceae	Cd	1,675±0.04	1,032±0.03						
25	Arundo donax	giant cane, elephant grass	Arundinoideae	Cd	262.8 µg g−1(roots)			Hydroponic conditions, pH 6.8	2	30		(Kausar et al., 2012)
					129.83 µg g−1 (leaves)							
26	Solanum nigrum L	Black night shade	Solanaceae	Cd	103.8 (stems), 124.6 mg/kg (leaves)		China			2.7		(Wei et al., 2005)
27	Arabidopsis halleri	Creeping rice paddy mustard	Brassicaceae	Zn, Cd	11 561± 2171 (Zn), 267 ± 86(Cd)		Harz	Old mine				(Bert, Bonnin, Saumitou-Laprade, De Laguérie, & Petit, 2002)
28	Noccaea Ganges	Alpine penny cress	Brassicaceae	Cd	2000		Southern France	acidic Cd salt-spiked soil				(Chaney & Baklanov, 2017)
29	Noccaea cultivar	Alpine penny cress	Brassicaceae	Cd	2000							
30	Arabidopsis thaliana	Mouse ear cress	Brassicaceae	Zn	2175+17.2(roots)		Moradabad, India	electroplating industry, pH 5.7	2		3.72	(Saraswat & Rai, 2009)
					4732 +23.4 (shoots)							
31	Brassica juncea	Chinese mustard, brown mustard, Indian mustard	Cruciferae	Zn, Ni	roots	shoot			3.12(Zn)		2.12 (Zn)	
					Zn:1240+13.6	3863+21.3			2.45 (Ni)		4.46 (Ni)	
					Ni: 1132.6 +3.7	2784.3+6.2						
32	Stackhousia tryonii	creamy stack-housia	Acanthacea	Ni	3640–7900–41260		Queensland, Austrailia	ultramafic soil with high	-	-		(Roger Reeves, 2003)
33	Rostellularia adscendensvar. hispida	Pink Tongues	Acanthacea	Ni	1790–2190			Ni concentration				
34	Porophyllum aff. angustissimum Gardner	poreleaf	Adiantaceae	Ni	7142		Barro Alto, Goiás, Central Brazil	Serpentine soil of ultramafic rocks				(RD Reeves, Baker, Becquer, Echevarria, & Miranda, 2007)
35	Ipomoea aff. echioides Choisy	Morning glory	Convolvula-ceae	Ni	1129		Barro Alto, Goiás, Central Brazil					
36	Euphorbia selloi Boiss.	Poinsettia	Convolvula-ceae	Ni	5113		Barro Alto, Goiás, Central Brazil					
37	Phyllanthus sp	Stonebreaker, Seed under leaf	Euphorbiaceae	Ni	4988		Niquelândia, Goiás, Central Brazil					
38	Ruellia sp.	buckeye	Acanthaceae	Ni	4871		Niquelândia, Goiás, Central Brazil					
39	Pfaffa sarcophylla	-	Amarantha-ceae	Ni	5176		Macedo, Goiás, Central Brazil					
40	Indet spp.	Macaranga	Amarantha-ceae	Ni	5925		Niquelândia, Goiás, Central Brazil					
41	Heliotropium salicoides	Indian heliotrope	Boraginaceae	Ni	1973		Barro Alto, Goiás, Central Brazil					
42	Piriqueta sidifolia	Bolinha	Turneraceae	Ni	2081		Barro Alto, Goiás, Central Brazil					
43	Turnera subnuda	Damiana	Turneraceae	Ni	3600		Macedo, Goiás, Central Brazil					
44	Lippia aff. geminata	Bushy matgrass	Verbenaceae	Ni	6716		Niquelândia, Goiás, Central Brazil					
45	Lippia aff. lupulina	-	Verbenaceae	Ni	2646		Barro Alto, Goiás, Central Brazil					
46	Porophyllum cf. angustissimum	Odora	Asteraceae	Ni	2,300–7,200		Barro Alto, Goiás, Central Brazil					

47	Hybanthus enneaspermus	Humpback flower	Violaceae	Ni	1709	Ussangoda, Srilanka	ultramafic rocks				(Rajakaruna & Bohm, 2002)
48	Evolvulus alsinoides	Shankha-pushpi	Convolvula-ceae	Ni	1115						
49	Crotalaria biflora	Rattlepods	Fabaceae	Ni	1088						
50	Berkheya coddii	-	Compositae	Ni	17,900	Agnes Mine, South Africa	Komatitic, ultramafic soil with SiO2 < 45% wt and MgO > 30% wt		14		(Mesjasz-Przybyłowicz et al., 2004)
51	Geniosporum tenuiflorum	Basil	Lamiaceae	Cu	2266	Yodhaganna-wa, Srilanka	Serpentine habitat				(Rajakaruna & Bohm, 2002)
52	Clerodendrum infortunatum	Glory bower	Verbenaceae	Cu	2278						
53	Croton bonplandianus	Ban Tulsi	Euphorbiaceae	Cu	2163						
54	Waltheria indica	Sleepy morning	Sterculiaceae	Cu	1504						
55	Tephrosia villosa	-	Fabaceae	Cu	1858						
56	Calotropis Procera	Aak, spalamy	Apocynaceae	Cu	3408	Lahore, Pakistan					(Naeem & Taskeen, 2010)
57	Aeolanthus biformifolius	Bent grass	Lamiaceae	Cu	13700	Shaba, Zaire, Zambia	Copper belt, start till end of rainy season				(Malaisse, Gregoire, Brooks, & Morrison, 2015)

from underground to above ground parts of a plant (Nirola et al., 2015). The bioaccumulation coefficient (BAC) is used to evaluate the efficiency of a plant in phytoremediation and translocation [14]. The accumulation coefficient can also be defined as the plant to soil concentration quotient (Flora, 2014). Bio-concentration factor is the ratio of metal concentration in plant roots to the concentration of metal accumulated in soil (Nazir, Malik, Ajaib, Khan, & Siddiqui, 2011). These factors are the renowned parameters for determining hyper accumulating plant species [15]. It has also been observed that members of same family have similar phyto-remediating abilities [16]. Most commonly accumulated heavy metals are cadmium, zinc, nickel and copper [17].

Conclusion

Different plant species require different growing conditions to hyper accumulate heavy metals [18]. Moreover, not all the plant tissues store equal quantity of heavy metals. Some plant species are good at accumulating heavy metals more in their roots as compared to shoots or leaves [19]. This may also vary with the elements being accumulated. Mathematical calculations and soil profile analysis before and after treatment provides evidence of the hyper accumulation of heavy metals.

Recommendation

Pakistan is facing the problem of severe soil and water pollution. This data should be used for the field application of hyper accumulators in Pakistan [20]. This is a cheap, secure and eco-friendly way of bioremediation which has showed excellent results in contamination removal

References

1. Bert V, Bonnin I, Saumitou Laprade P, Laguérie De P, Petit D, et al. (2002) Do Arabidopsis halleri from nonmetallicolous populations accumulate zinc and cadmium more effectively than those from metallicolous populations. New Phytol 47-57.
2. Bini C (2010) From soil contamination to land restoration Nova Science Publishers New York (NY).
3. Chaney R L, Baklanov IA (2017) Phytoremediation and phytomining: status and promise. Adv Bot Res 189-221.
4. Flora SJS (2014) Handbook of arsenic toxicology: Academic Press.
5. Potential of Arundo donax to treat chromium contamination. 42: 256-259.
6. Leung DW (2013) Recent advances towards improved phytoremediation of heavy metal pollution. New Zealand: Bentham Science Publishers.
7. Maestri E, Marmiroli M, Visioli G, Marmiroli N (2010) Metal tolerance and hyperaccumulation: costs and trade-offs between traits and environment. Environ Exp Bot 68: 1-13.
8. Malaisse F, Gregoire J, Brooks R, Morrison (2015) Aeolanthus biformifolius De Wild.: a hyperaccumulator of copper from Zaire. Science 199: 887-888.
9. Martos S, Gallego B , Sáez L , López Alvarado J, Cabot C, et al . (2016) Characterization of zinc and cadmium hyperaccumulation in three Noccaea (Brassicaceae) populations from non-metalliferous sites in the eastern Pyrenees. Front Plant Sci 7: 128.
10. Mesjasz Przybyłowicz J, Nakonieczny M, Migula P, Augustyniak M, Tarnawska M, et al. (2004)Uptake of cadmium, lead nickel and zinc from soil and water solutions by the nickel hyperaccumulator Berkheya coddii. Acta Biol Crac Ser Bot 46: 75-85.
11. Naeem HMI, Taskeen A (2010) Phytoremediation of Cu (II) by Calotropis Procera Roots. N Y Sci j 3.
12. Nazir A, Malik RN, Ajaib M, Khan N, Siddiqui MFJPJB, et al. (2011) Hyperaccumulators of heavy metals of industrial areas of Islamabad and Rawalpindi. 43: 1925-1933.
13. Nirola R, Megharaj M, Palanisami T, Aryal R, Venkateswarlu K, et al. (2015) Evaluation of metal uptake factors of native trees colonizing an abandoned copper mine–a quest for phytostabilization.115-123.
14. Phaenark C, Pokethitiyook P, Kruatrachue M, Ngernsansaruay (2009) Cd and Zn accumulation in plants from the Padaeng zinc mine area. Int J Phytoremediation 11: 479-495.
15. Rajakaruna N, Bohm BA (2002) Serpentine and its vegetation: a preliminary study from Sri Lanka. J Appl Bot 76: 20-28.
16. Reeves R (2003. Tropical hyperaccumulators of metals and their potential for phytoextraction. Plant Soil 249: 57-65.
17. Reeves R (2006) Hyperaccumulation of trace elements by plants. Int J Phytoremediation 25-52.
18. Reeves R, Baker A, Becquer T, Echevarria G, Miranda, et al. (2007) The flora

and biogeochemistry of the ultramafic soils of Goiás state, Brazil. Plant Soil 293: 107-119.

19. Sao V, Nakbanpote W, Thiravetyan P (2007) Cadmium accumulation by Axonopus compressus (Sw.) P. Beauv and Cyperus rotundas Linn growing in cadmium solution and cadmium-zinc contaminated soil. Sci Technol 29: 881-892.

20. Saraswat S, Rai (2009) Phytoextraction potential of six plant species grown in multimetal contaminated soil. Chem Ecol 25: 1-11.

21. Wei S, Zhou Q, Wang X, Zhang K, Guo G, et al. A newly-discovered Cd-hyperaccumulator Solatium nigrum L. Chin Sci Bull 50: 33-38.