

Effects of Tree Shading on Building's Energy Consumption

Dania M Abdel-Aziz*

Department of Architecture and Planning, Al Zarqa University, Jordan

Abstract

Several studies investigated the impact of urban forests on city micro-climate. Evapotranspiration, alone or in combination with shading, can help reduce peak summer temperatures by 1-5°C. Tree-shade alters building cooling loads by preventing incident solar radiation on building facades. Tree-sun-building interactions influence the magnitude of this effect. The objective of this paper is to present a simplified method for making these interactions effective for dwellings in Amman, the capital of Jordan, representing a Mediterranean climate. We selected two types of trees, commonly used in residential plantation. The location of these trees around the studied building as observed in real practice.

The method of analysis depended mainly on how a tree can reduce direct solar absorption by the building's wall, when it casts shade upon a wall portion through day time. This is then subtracted from the overall cooling load; a total summation of the trees effect is then calculated.

Using tree-shade as an external shading strategy showed that there is notable decrease in cooling loads; therefore, as a result there is reduction in electricity consumption. It is important for future studies to set up an energy conserving planting program criteria in Amman including tree selection and site recommendations.

Keywords: Comfort; Cooling loads; Energy saving; Passive cooling; Shading; Mediterranean climate

Introduction

Jordan suffers from an ever-present lack of sufficient energy resources. There is an increasing concern about energy consumption and its impact on the national economy as well as the local environment. Getting benefit from trees-shade to reduce air cooling energy consume will help Jordan overcome these problems. Trees have a positive impact on human comfort, wind sheltering, evaporative cooling, air-pollution reduction and shading. Getting advantage of trees-shade will help reducing energy consumption in residential buildings during summer season. A further corporate benefit is the connection between trees, green areas and human behavior.

The primary goal of this study is how to use passive techniques to reduce cooling loads in residential buildings in Amman, to provide architects with information about the impact of tree-shade on energy saving in buildings. In order to achieve this goal, sub goals have been established, the first is to reduce the demand on energy; the second is to get benefit from trees-shade in achieving comfort in buildings; as well as the contribution to CO₂ reduction.

This study assumed that trees-shade will reduce the energy consumption in residential buildings in Amman.

One of the most significant input for economic growth and human development is energy. To mitigating greenhouse-gas emissions, we should use energy in efficient ways and utilize renewable energy resources and thus to reduce the risks of possible global climate change [1,2].

Ecologically sustainable land use planning approaches have been developed to encourage the preservation and creation of resilient natural systems, climate change and energy conservation [3]. Many researchers analyzed the impact of different facades on the energy consumption of buildings. In order to manage heat gains through facades in different seasons; facades should be designed carefully to provide effective protection against excessive solar gains in overheat periods. A good option in residential buildings could be vegetation [4].

Promoting energy conservation through cultivating natural systems can decrease electricity demand for air conditioning and expand the benefits of urban vegetation [3].

Applying trees as building shading devices is an efficient passive method of solar control. In the shaded area the irradiative and thermal loads are significantly lower compared to the non-shaded ones. Trees through evaporative cooling can lower air temperature around the shaded walls. More advantages of using trees as shading devices, through reducing emissions due to the energy savings and the aesthetic influence of trees on urban landscapes [4]. Trees can provide significant improvements in the quality of the environment, including their ability to reduce atmospheric CO₂ emissions and urban pollutant concentrations; as well as reducing noise levels. Trees have psychological benefits to humans related to aesthetic, emotional and physiological response to vegetated urban landscapes [5].

Trees benefits

Trees improve the spaces surrounding buildings aesthetically and contribute to control the ambient temperature. That is how tree plantation affects the urban micro climate in urban cities. And that explains why the consideration of green spaces is growing as an important aspect of city planning. According to Ca et al., a nearby park can reduce the surrounding air temperature by up to 2°C [4]. This is directly related to the heat island phenomenon and how trees can reduce the effect of heat island.

There are many variables that affect the cooling loads resulted

*Corresponding author: Dania M Abdel-Aziz, Al Zarqa University, Zarqa-00962, Jordan, Tel: 00962799202327; E-mail: archdania.aziz@gmail.com

Received October 25, 2014; Accepted November 20, 2014; Published November 27, 2014

Citation: Abdel-Aziz DM (2014) Effects of Tree Shading on Building's Energy Consumption. J Archit Eng Tech 3: 135. doi:10.4172/2168-9717.1000135

Copyright: © 2014 Abdel-Aziz DM. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

from tree shade in residential buildings, building construction and occupant behavior are two of many. While there are variables that influence shading potential and the quality and quantity of shading is determined by tree species, foliar condition, canopy volume, crown shape, foliage period, leaf area, and tree location and orientation with respect to a building. With the consideration of these variables, a tree can be planted strategically to maximize energy conservation [3].

Trees improve comfort conditions outdoors within the city by blocking hot and dust-laden winds. Trees can act like windbreaks that will lower the ambient wind speed; building physical characteristics will affect the building's cooling-energy use by lowering or raising it. Trees affect a building's energy balance as windbreaks in three ways [6]:

1. During summer, Lower wind speed on a building envelope slows the dissipation of heat from sunlit surfaces producing higher sunlit surface temperatures and more heat gain through the building envelope.
2. Lower wind speed results in lower air infiltration into buildings. This reduction has a major impact on reducing cooling-energy requirements for old houses.
3. Lower wind speed reduces the effectiveness of open windows during the summer, resulting in increased reliance on mechanical cooling.

Evaporative cooling: Evaporative cooling is sufficient in hot summer days, when trees act as natural "evaporative cooler" by using up to 100 Gallons of water a day resulting to lowering the ambient temperature. The absence of leaves on deciduous trees and the lower ambient temperature minimize the effect of evapotranspiration in winter. Oasis effect can be produced from the significant increase in urban trees that will maximize the evapotranspiration in this case the ambient temperatures are significantly lower and buildings will consume less cooling energy [6].

Shading: Solar radiation is the radiation from the sun, while terrestrial radiation is radiation emitted by objects on earth. Shade trees are very important in modifying both of them [7]. Tree's shade can reduce glare and block the diffused light from the sky and other surfaces this will affect the heat exchange from the building and its surrounding. Moreover, this will affect people's comfort. During the day, shade trees also indirectly reduce heat gain in buildings by altering terrestrial radiation and ultimately reducing ground surface temperatures [6-8].

In summer, trees block unwanted solar radiation entering the building and hence reduce the cooling load if placed properly around the building; while in winter, trees shades increase the heating loads. Applying deciduous trees is more appropriate, since they allow solar gains during winter, while minimizing it during summer. Trees shades reduce surface temperature, glare, and blocks the diffuse radiation reflected from the sky and the surrounding surfaces, thereby alerting the heat exchange between the buildings and its surroundings. Trees block the heat flow from the building to the cool sky and surroundings at night [6].

A study conducted by Heisler found that, on the south elevation a medium size deciduous tree can reduce irradiance by 80% (with leaves on it) and 40% (if it was leafless). Trees by providing shade are an important factor in the radiative exchange process of ground and wall surfaces resulting in significant reductions of urban surface temperatures. Lack of shade in city environments, leads to higher surface and air temperatures. Therefore, shading by trees is of prime importance in reducing the ambient and surface temperatures of any

artificial surface in urban built-up that will indirectly affect the building energy consumption [7].

The impact of tree location on energy reduction

Tree location is defined by tree-building distance and tree azimuth with respect to a building. Tree azimuth is the true compass bearing of a tree relative to a building. Changing tree location results in variation in the amount and timing of building shade [9].

The methodology to select the most suitable tree take into account; land regulations and ownership, planting space, aesthetic principles, social influences, and maintenance requirements, all contribute to achieving the highest chance of successful implementation [5,10-12].

Many researchers have investigated the impact of tree-building location on heating and cooling energy use [5,9,13-16] Akbari, Bretz, Hanford, Rosenfeld, Sailor, Taha, and Bos, simulated the impact of tree locations on heating and cooling energy use and found that savings can vary from 2% to over 7%. They also claimed that cooling energy savings were higher for trees facing west surfaces. Heisler [12], McPherson et al. [15] and Meerow and Black [13] found that the best two places to plant a tree around a building to reduce cooling costs is in front of west facing windows and walls and then comes in front of the east wall by providing shade for these facades in the morning and afternoon.

A study conducted by McPherson and Simpson [11] in California, USA studied the tree-building location and found that trees located within 12.2 m of east and west sides of buildings were in "positive sites" because trees provide benefits of shade. South trees located within 6.1 m from buildings were in "neutral sites" since benefits from limited summer shade are likely to be offset by undesirable winter shade. Trees located between 6.1 m and 12.2 m of the south side of buildings were in "negative sites" because most shade occurs during the heating season. Trees located to the north or greater than 12.2 m from buildings in other directions were in "neutral sites" because their shade would not fall on buildings [5].

Although trees have the potential to save energy, but if located to shade solar collectors and south-facing windows they can reduce collector efficiency and increase winter heating costs [5].

Akbari and McPherson et al. illustrated that in order to reduce energy use in winter, the most valuable way is considered to use trees as windbreakers to the north and northwest of a building to protect buildings from the cold north winter wind. Thus the savings in heating energy of urban areas could almost be doubled [6].

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study was conducted in the city of Amman, Jordan, latitudes 32° N. It consists of an arid plateau in the east, irrigated by oasis and seasonal water streams, with highland area in the west of arable land and Mediterranean evergreen forestry. The climate of Jordan is semi-dry in summer with average temperature in the mid 30°C (86°F) and relatively cold in winter averaging around 13°C (55°F).

The proposed model

In order to assess the impact of trees shade on summer time electricity use, this study assumed a hypothetical typical residential house that is located in Amman in a land that is categorized as Class (C) residential¹. The house consists of two floors, six meters high. The house plan is 15*15 m². The setbacks as Great Amman Municipality

(GAM) regulation is; frontal setback 4(m), Side setback 3(m), Rear setback 4(m). These setbacks and the Allowable built area are applied in our model. About the trees distributions; the northern façade has no trees because it receives almost no direct solar radiation. All trees are separated 2.5 m from the walls; three trees on each elevation. The distance between each tree and another is 4 m on east and west facades and 1.5 between trees located on south façade. We are getting advantage from neighbor's trees, as shown in Figure 1. We have 4 trees, 2 of them on west façade the rest is on east façade. The distance between the trees' centers and wall is 3.5 m (Figure 1).

The estimated energy conservation as a result of trees shading were modeled in the form of saved electricity (in kWh of energy not used for air conditioning) for full-sized trees growing in eight orientations with respect to a building.

Tree simplified representation

First it should be considered that trees can adopt many geometrical shapes in order to make it easy to calculate the shadows.




Figure 1: Trees location around the building under consideration.



Figure 2: Mimosa.

'Residential category "C":' is a land use category that is characterized by minimum setback as follows; front must be 4 m long, side setbacks 3 m and rear setback 4 m. The maximum licensed area of the housing unit is 48% out of the total land area (not less than 500 square meters) (Department of Statistics, 1999).



Figure 3: Royal Poinciana.

Characteristics	Mimosa	Royal poinciana
Max Height (m)	6	9
Spread (m)	8	12
Rate of Growth	Fast	Fast
Soil requirements	Alkaline, Acid, Well Drained	Alkaline, Acid, Well Drained
Exposure resistant to	Sun, Drought	Sun, Drought
Season of Fls.	Early Summer	--
Color of Fls.	Yellow, Green	Red
Use	Shade, Specimen	Shade, Street Tree, Flowers

Table 1: Selected types of trees, and their main characteristics.

Tree Type	Max Height (m)	Spread (m)
Mimosa	6	3
Royal pionciana	6	4

Table 2: Dimensions of trees basic rectangles (Author, 2013).

The selected trees were: Mimosa (*Albizia julibrissin*) and Royal Poinciana (*Delonix regia*), check Figures 2 and 3. The two types are well known in the region for many years and they were chosen due to: Quick growing rate and lush shadow, they grow and spread in width not in height, they are available and common, relative low cost, abundant foliage and rapid growth, mostly used around buildings in the climate of Jordan. The main characteristics of the selected trees are illustrated in Table 1.

To calculate areas of the tree shadows on the facades of the dwelling in an easy way, each one of the tree species considered in this work was simulated by a geometrical shape of the tree, represented by the aspect ratio between its height and foliage extent. The rectangle is drawn to include the thickness of the foliage, and sparse branches are left out of it. Clearly, other rectangles can be chosen as well, and other geometrical figures can also be used [4] (Table 1) (Figures 2 and 3).

Calculations

For the tree shadowing evaluation, a set of parameters and algorithms need to be developed. It includes the geometrical tree representation, shading projection and blocked solar radiation simulations.

To estimate the magnitude and duration of hot weather in Amman, where the shading benefits of a tree are modeled we used the concept of Cooling Degree Days (CDD). CDD is particularly useful for characterizing the potential impact of regional climate differences on cooling loads, and consequently on energy demands. A positive CDD

value indicates the likelihood that electricity will be used to cool indoor spaces. CDD value is calculated for Amman city as shown in Figure 4 this calculation is based on the following summation:

$$CDD = \sum_{i=1}^n (T_{mean} - T_{base}) \quad (1)$$

Where

T_{base} : the baseline temperature,

T_{mean} : represents the mean temperature.

Calculated CDD for Amman is 746.04 C°-day.

According to ASHARE standards comfort range in hot season is (22-24°C), this establishes the start and the end of overheat period (Figure 4).

Shading analysis

In order to assess the shading pattern according to the previously described setting, a shading analysis was performed. For this, the shadow outline of the surroundings is recorded for one point in the system: usually the center point of the building surface. The shadow




Figure 4: Cooling Degree days for Amman city as calculated from climatic data for Amman.




Figure 5: Angular coordinates of trees in relation to a central point on the building's façade.




Figure 6: Coordinates of trees around the building, related to central points of the facades.




Figure 7: Trees as obstructions viewed from the central point's shown on the facades. Where the common area between sun paths, and shaded area represent total period of shading.




Figure 8: Solar energy received by south facade on September 21st when trees are planted as instructed in the model.

outline and pattern is shown in Figures 7 and 8.

The dimensions of shadow-casting objects are calculated. Azimuth angle and altitude angle were calculated from the equation below.

$$\tan \gamma = \frac{h_2 - h_1}{d} \rightarrow \gamma = \arctan \frac{h_2 - h_1}{d} \quad (2)$$

Shadow projections

The shadow projections, both horizontal and vertical, where

the center of the facade on the floor is the origin of the coordinate system, x represents the distance from the facade, y the offset of the point respect to the central orthogonal line to the facade, and z the height of the point from the floor. Figure 7 shows the angular coordinates of trees in relation to a central point on the building's façade while Figure 8 below illustrates the coordinates of trees around the building, related to central points of the facades (Figures 5 and 6).

Shade calculations

Having effective shading design contributes to reducing cooling loads in hot seasons in Amman. The energy consumption due to cooling loads will be reduced by the adoption of effective shading strategies. The simulation was conducted for the whole period of the hot season in Amman.

The simulation was conducted on; July 21st, and September 21st. These targeted days represent the hot season in Amman, Table 3 represent the temperatures in Amman city. The minimum mean temperature is high in the period between July 21st, and September 21st; and the Insolation is high too. The solar radiation was estimated as a percentage of the most available incident solar radiation on building facades (east, west, and south). In order to find these variables, we followed the process below:

The rectangle has been chosen as our geometric shape to calculate the tree shades. The dimensions of the basic shapes of the trees are shown in Table 2.

Geometric shape → Rectangle → Include the thick of foliage and sparse branches are left out of it (Tables 2 and 3).

From the table above we can figure out that the most heat gain is in July; since the high temperature values were during summer season.

To calculate the solar energy on building facades (east, west and south) we used the equations (3) and (4). We calculated the solar

energy in both cases: the existence and the absence of trees, for the selected locations as shown in Figures 1,5 and 6.

$$I_{direct} = \frac{1}{3} * (\text{Global irradiation}) \tag{3}$$

$$\text{Energy on vertical surface} = (\text{direct solar radiation}) * (\cos(\varphi)) \tag{4}$$

Where (φ) : is the angle between the solar rays and the vertical line (Figure 7).

The total incident solar energy on facades was simulated based on the calculated percentages of shaded area on building facades. The results showed the total incident solar energy on unobstructed facades, percentage of shaded area, actual incident solar power on facades, obstructed solar power on facades and the loss of solar energy attributed to the sun blockage by trees for July 21st as noted; in Table 4 for east facade, Table 5 for west facade, Table 6 for south façade.

For September 21st, the results show that there is significant shaded area of south building facades as noted. (Tables 4-7) (Figure 8).

To calculate the insolation efficiency on facades (east, west and south) in July 21st, we studied the insolation patterns drawn for our model as shown in Figure 6 and by using equation (5). The results were presented in Table 8.

$$\text{Insolation efficiency} = \frac{\text{Total insolation hours} - \text{Total shading hours}}{\text{Total insolation Hours}} \tag{5}$$

Results

During the hottest summer month (July), the mean shade coverage in July 21st for our sample (on all facades) was 23.96%. As compared to a house with no shade, this indicates that the electricity use will be lower.

During September 21st the mean total solar power on south elevation for our solar window (9 hours, from 8 am to 5 pm) was 298.17 KW but when planting trees as instructed in our model, actual incident solar

Temperature °C												
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Mean Max	10.1	11.5	15.0	20.2	25.2	28.1	29.6	29.5	28.3	25.1	18.2	12.4
Mean	6.4	7.4	10.2	14.6	18.9	21.9	23.6	23.6	22.2	19.0	13.1	8.4
Mean Min	2.7	3.2	5.4	8.9	12.5	15.7	17.7	17.6	16.0	12.8	8.0	4.3

Table 3: Temperatures in Amman city (Source: Royal Scientific Society, 2013).

Time	Solar power (KW/m ²)	Façade area (m ²)	Total solar power (KW)	Total solar power on unblocked facades (KW)	Percentage of shaded area %	Actual incident solar power on facades (KW)	Obstructed solar power (KW)
8:00	3.33	90	370.68	299.88	86.7%	39.89	259.99
9:00	3.67	90	513.53	330.09	80%	66.02	264.07
10:00	3.29	90	630.08	295.8	68.3%	93.77	202.03
11:00	2.02	90	702.26	181.76	45%	99.97	81.79
Sum	12.31			1107.53		299.65	807.88

Table 4: Solar energy quantities and percentages on eastern elevation, during daytime hours on July 21st (Author, 2013).

Time	Solar power (KW/m ²)	Façade area (m ²)	Total solar power (KW)	Total solar power on unblocked facades (KW)	Percentage of shaded area %	Actual incident solar power on facades (KW)	Obstructed solar power (KW)
13:00	2.12	90	736.84	190.71	45%	104.89	85.82
14:00	3.54	90	677.44	318.04	68.3%	100.82	217.22
15:00	4.01	90	561.65	361.02	80%	72.2	288.82
16:00	3.80	90	422.56	341.85	86.7%	45.47	296.38
Sum	13.47			1211.62		323.38	888.24

Table 5: Solar energy values and percentages on western elevation during daytime hours on July 21st (Author, 2013).

Time	Solar power (KW/m ²)	Façade area (m ²)	Total solar power (KW)	Total solar power on unblocked façades (KW)	Percentage of shaded area %	Actual incident solar power on façades (KW)	Obstructed solar power (KW)
11:00	2.02	90	702.26	181.76	45%	99.97	81.79
12:00	1.57	90	738.35	140.88	15%	119.75	21.13
13:00	2.12	90	736.84	190.71	45%	104.89	85.82
Sum	5.71			513.35		324.61	188.74

Table 6: Solar energy values and percentages on southern elevation during daytime hours on July 21st (Author,2013).

Time	Solar power (KW/m ²)	Façade area (m ²)	Total solar power (KW)	Total solar power on unblocked façades (KW)	Percentage of shaded area %	Actual incident solar power on façades (KW)	Obstructed solar power (KW)
8:00	2.86	90	288.72	257.25	91.67%	21.43	235.82
9:00	3.97	90	445.86	356.08	86.7%	47.36	308.72
10:00	4.16	90	559.40	374.32	81.67%	68.61	305.71
11:00	4.10	90	627.07	368.59	75%	92.15	276.44
12:00	3.76	90	656.40	338.078	71.67%	95.778	242.30
13:00	4.20	90	642.86	377.87	75%	94.47	283.40
14:00	4.18	90	561.65	375.88	81.67%	68.9	306.98
15:00	3.98	90	448.12	357.89	86.7%	47.6	310.29
16:00	2.80	90	282.71	251.90	91.67%	20.98	230.92
Sum	29.85			2683.538		488.668	2194.87

Table 7: Solar energy values and percentages on southern elevation during daytime hours on September 21st. (Author, 2013).

Daily Insolation periods on the three façades for our model				
	June	July	August	September
East Façade	50%	50%	50%	50%
West Façade	50%	50%	50%	50%
South Façade	0	62.5%	12.5%	0

Table 8: Total daily Insolation time given in minutes for three elevations (east, west, south), during hot season from June to September.

power on façades is reduced to be 54.30 KW, which means 5.5% lower. As noted in Table 8. Shade coverage reduces electricity consumption for cooling. However, not all shade is created equal; dense shade provides significantly more cooling in the summer than does moderate or light shade [13,14].

Our findings showed the impact of tree shade on summer time energy use, increasing the overall amount of tree shade reduces energy used for cooling, late afternoon shade, typically cast from trees on the west and south sides of a building in the summertime, reduces energy consumption more than morning or early afternoon shade.

As results show, there is a significant reduction in summertime residential energy consumption, as compared to no shade. This finding has implications for the tree species that plant while realizing the energy savings in the future, such savings will be homeowners maximized by tree species with dense leaf canopies during the hot summer months, trees location (offset from the façade).

The tree species in our study are Mimosa (*Albizia julibrissin*), Royal Poinciana (*Delonixregia*), and Common Fig (*Ficuscarica*). All of these species lose their leaves during the winter months, thus homeowners enjoy the benefits of reduced cooling costs due to relatively dense shade during the summer while there is no negative impact on heating costs due to winter.

Homeowners should be aware of relevant economic benefits from tree shade; they have little direct incentive to plant trees and/or leave trees near their homes. Unless and until these directly-affected parties

can be 'shown the money' they will continue to make completely rational and predictable decisions that, for the most part, ignore the energy conservation benefits from shade trees [10,11,15,16].

Conclusions

Our findings added to the literature showed that there are potential energy savings using trees as external shading devices. A modeling method to assess the effect of tree shade was developed. Simulations have helped to better understand the impact of tree shade on cooling energy consumption. For climates such as Amman, these benefits area greatest when using deciduous trees which allow passive winter heating, exactly as suggested in our model.

Besides energy consumption reduction trees can improve air quality lowering ambient temperature and hence reducing the formation of urban smog.

Future studies are recommended to assess the major cost of tree planting programs. It is quite possible to design a low-cost tree-planting program.

References

- Hiremath RB, Kumar B, Balachandra P, Ravindranath NH (2011) Implications of Decentralised Energy Planning for Rural India. Journal of Sustainable Energy & Environment 2: 31-40.
- Sawka M, Millward AA, Mckay J, Sarkovich M (2013) Growing summer energy conservation through residential tree planting. Landscape and Urban Planning 113: 1-9.
- Gómez-Muñoz VM, Porta-Gándara MA, Fernández JL (2010) Effect of tree shades in urban planning in hot-arid climatic regions. Landscape and Urban Planning 94: 149-157.
- Tsiros IX (2010) Assessment and energy implications of street air temperature cooling by shade trees in Athens (Greece) under extremely hot weather conditions. Renewable Energy 35: 1866-1869.
- Akbari H (2002) Shade trees reduce building energy use and CO2 emissions from power plants. Environmental Pollution 116: S119-S126.
- Shahidan MF, Shariff MK, Jones P, Salleh E, Abdullah AM (2010) A comparison of *Mesua ferrea* L. and *Hura crepitans* L. for shade creation and radiation

- modification in improving thermal comfort. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 97: 168-181.
7. Federer CA (1976) Trees modify the urban microclimate. *Journal of Arboriculture* 2: 121-127.
 8. Simpson JR (2002) Improved estimates of tree-shade effects on residential energy use. *Energy and Buildings* 34: 1067-1076.
 9. Wu C, Xiao Q, McPherson EG (2008) A method for locating potential tree-planting sites in urban areas: A case study of Los Angeles, USA. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* 7: 65-76.
 10. USDA (2013) A manual for the State Forestry Agencies in the Southern Region-urban forestry, Unit: Site and Tree Selection, Publisher: USDA Forest Service and Southern Center for Urban Forest Research and Information, US.
 11. McPherson EG, Simpson JR (2003) Potential energy savings in buildings by an urban tree planting programme in California. *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening* 2: 73-86.
 12. Hes D, Dawkins A, Jensen C, Aye L () A modeling method to Assess the Effect of Tree Shading for Building Performance Simulation. 12th Conference of International Building Performance Simulation Association, Sydney.
 13. Meerow AW, Black RJ (1993) *Enviroscaping to Conserve Energy: Guide to Microclimate Modification*. University of Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agriculture Sciences, EDIS.
 14. Akbari H, Kurn DM, Bretz SE, Hanford JW (1997) Peak power and cooling energy savings of shade trees. *Energy and Buildings* 25: 139-148.
 15. McPherson EG (1994) Energy-Saving Potential of Trees in Chicago. In: McPherson EG, Nowak DJ, and Rowntree RA (eds.) *Chicago's urban forest ecosystem: results of the Chicago Urban Forest Climate Project*. Radnor, PA: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station.
 16. Pandit R, Laband DN (2010) Energy savings from tree shade. *Ecological Economics* 69: 1324-1329.

Citation: Abdel-Aziz DM (2014) Effects of Tree Shading on Building's Energy Consumption. J Archit Eng Tech 3: 135. doi:10.4172/2168-9717.1000135

Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of OMICS Group submissions

Unique features:

- User friendly/feasible website-translation of your paper to 50 world's leading languages
- Audio Version of published paper
- Digital articles to share and explore

Special features:

- 400 Open Access Journals
- 30,000 editorial team
- 21 days rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at PubMed (partial), Scopus, EBSCO, Index Copernicus and Google Scholar etc
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.editorialmanager.com/acrgroup/>

