

## Direct Impacts of Climate Change on Different Areas

Jack Levi\*

Department of Environmental Sciences, Kenyatta University P.O Box 43844-00100 Nairobi, Kenya, UK

### Abstract

Anxiety about climate change is becoming more and more recognized as a mental health effect of climate change. It is not only observed in populations that are most affected by climate change's direct effects, but it can also be triggered by the mere thought and perception of those effects. Despite the fact that climate change is a global issue that has people all over the world worried about it, research on climate anxiety has only recently used validated measures, and the majority of it has been done in Western and developed societies. We used the Climate Change Anxiety Scale in a cross-national study of climate change anxiety with participants (N = 4,000) from four of the world's top emitters—China, India, Japan, and the United States-whose climate change vulnerabilities and resilience vary. We demonstrated that the widely used measure of anxiety about climate change was configured and metrically consistent across the four nations.

**Keywords:** Climate change; Environmental toxins

### Introduction

It appears that the Chinese and Indian populations were more concerned about climate change than the Japanese and American populations were. There were a few segment connects of environmental change nervousness, however the example was not generally predictable across the nations. Environmental change tension was emphatically connected with commitment in environment activity in every one of the four nations, yet obviously more so for reasonable eating regimen and environment activism than asset preservation and backing for environment strategy. The cognitive-emotional impairment component of climate change anxiety was more strongly correlated with the effect than the functional impairment component. These observations, taken together, suggest that the Climate Change Anxiety Scale can be used to assess climate change anxiety across nations and that the experience of climate change anxiety is similar and different in different social contexts. These complexities must be taken into consideration in future research.

The new commitment by Working Group II to the 6th Assessment Report of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2022) features a disturbing reality: Every part of the world is already feeling the effects of climate change, and these effects are only going to get worse in the near future. Both mitigation and adaptation actions are required immediately. For the world to safeguard a possibility restricting temperature climb to 1.5 degrees C, an objective assigned in the Paris Understanding, ozone depleting substance discharges ought to be split by 2030 (IPCC, 2022). To put it simply, the window of opportunity to act to avert potentially fatal outcomes is closing faster than previously anticipated.

Stories about people's anxiety and worries about the existential and symbolic threats of climate change, as well as their despair and anger at the lackluster performance of governments and world leaders in efforts to mitigate and adapt, are increasingly gaining traction in the media against this backdrop of a changing climate. Not only can these negative emotional responses be observed in those who are directly impacted by the negative effects of climate change; they can also be sparked by the mere thought and perception of climate change among people whose lives are not directly impacted by it. The last observation suggests that these negative responses can be observed even in populations that are not particularly vulnerable to climate risks, like those living in regions that are highly developed and resilient.

In recent years, scientific research has also focused on the emerging

phenomenon of fear, worry, and apprehension associated with concerns about climate change. Climate change anxiety and climate change anxiety are terms used by researchers to describe this phenomenon, or eco-anxiety when referring to the larger ecological crisis. There have been empirical efforts to determine who experiences climate change anxiety more frequently, whether it is linked to human physical and mental health, how people cope with it, and whether it can inspire climate action [1-5].

### Discussion

Although previous studies have taught us a lot, they have only used samples from a small subset of human populations, specifically Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, and Democratic (WEIRD) societies, which limits our knowledge. Coffey et al.'s recent systematic review of climate anxiety and eco-anxiety only four studies provided empirical assessments of climate anxiety or eco-anxiety. Between the years 2010 and 2021. Remarkably, both Clayton and Karazsia and Rudder et al. Utilized American participants, and both Searle and Gow and Stanley et al. utilized Australian participants. Came to the conclusion that more evidence from underrepresented populations is required for climate or eco-anxiety research. A few additional studies. These studies also used samples from WEIRD societies, with a few exceptions. In addition to being limited, such samples are likely to be less affected by climate change than those from other nations. Although they are not completely immune, the financial resources and geographical location of North America and Europe offer some protection from the severe consequences that Africa, Southeast Asia, and low-lying island nations are already experiencing.

Expanding our geographical representation and obtaining research findings from a wider range of societies are necessary in order to improve our comprehension of climate change anxiety. The current exploration

**\*Corresponding author:** Jack Levi, Department of Environmental Sciences, Kenyatta University P.O Box 43844-00100 Nairobi, Kenya, UK, E-mail: levijack@edu.ke

**Received:** 03-Mar-2023, Manuscript No. EPCC-23-91670; **Editor assigned:** 06-Mar-2023, PreQC No. EPCC-23-91670 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 20-Mar-2023, QC No. EPCC-23-91670; **Revised:** 21-Mar-2023, Manuscript No. EPCC-23-91670 (R); **Published:** 28-Mar-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2573-458X.1000331

**Citation:** Levi J (2023) Direct Impacts of Climate Change on Different Areas. Environ Pollut Climate Change 7: 331.

**Copyright:** © 2023 Levi J. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

is fundamentally expected to introduce a cross-public investigation of environmental change uneasiness with members from four of the top producers on the planet: China, India, Japan, and the U.S. These four nations were painstakingly chosen regarding their emanations levels as well as their geological area, financial turn of events, populace size, and weakness to environmental change effects and status to adjust. Despite their similarities as major emitters of greenhouse gases on a national scale, their cultures and individual lifestyles are vastly different.

Anxiety about climate change more and more people are aware of the threat posed by climate change. Nearly two-thirds (64%) of the 1.2 million respondents from 50 countries believed that climate change is a global emergency, according to Peoples' Climate Vote, which was said to be the largest survey of public opinion on climate change ever conducted. Another poll conducted by the Yale Program on Climate Change Communication (2021) and based on over 76,000 active Facebook users from 31 nations revealed that the majority of respondents in most nations believed that climate change would personally harm them by "a great deal" or "moderate amount." The effects of climate change are seen by people in more ways than just as an existential threat. The social and cultural effects of climate change may also be well-known, according to recent research. A recent study, for instance, respondents from Singapore and the United States expressed a strong awareness of the effects of climate change on human cultures' intangible and tangible entities, such as monuments, historical sites, and values, know-hows, and traditions [6-10].

## Conclusion

It is surely known that immediate experience of intense environment occasions is impeding to human physical and psychological wellness, but recent studies have shown that climate change anxiety plays a role in the negative effects on mental health of extreme weather events and disasters that are linked to climate change. Climate change anxiety is not necessarily pathological, despite its clinical relevance. Although it may result in both adaptive and maladaptive consequences, it can be

seen as a reasonable response to anticipated and uncertain threats. Few empirical studies have examined the causes and effects of climate anxiety to this point. Coffey et al.'s recent systematic review of climate anxiety and eco-anxiety, only four studies examined climate or environmental anxiety and its correlates empirically.

## References

1. Gomez F, Sartaj M (2013) Field scale ex situ bioremediation of petroleum contaminated soil under cold climate conditions. *Int Biodeterior Biodegradation* 85: 375-382.
2. Khudur LS, Shahsavari E, Miranda AF, Morrison PD, Dayanthi Nugegoda D, et al. (2015) Evaluating the efficacy of bioremediating a diesel-contaminated soil using ecotoxicological and bacterial community indices. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 22: 14819.
3. Whelan MJ, Coulon F, Hince G, Rayner J, McWatters R, et al. (2015) Fate and transport of petroleum hydrocarbons in engineered biopiles in polar regions. *Chemosphere* 131: 232-240.
4. Dias RL, Ruberto L, Calabró A, Balbo AL, Del Panno MT, et al. (2015) Hydrocarbon removal and bacterial community structure in on-site biostimulated biopile systems designed for bioremediation of diesel-contaminated Antarctic soil. *Polar Biol* 38: 677-687.
5. Sanscartier D, Zeeb B, Koch I, Reimer (2009) Bioremediation of diesel-contaminated soil by heated and humidified biopile system in cold climates. *Cold Reg Sci Technol* 55:167-173.
6. <https://www.worldcat.org/title/biological-methods-for-assessment-and-remediation-of-contaminated-land-case-studies/oclc/50136350>
7. Coulon F, Al Awadi M, Cowie W, Mardlin D, Pollard S, et al. (2010) When is a soil remediated? Comparison of biopiled and windrowed soils contaminated with bunker-fuel in a full-scale trial. *Environ Pollut* 158: 3032-3040.
8. Hobson AM, Frederickson J, Dise NB (2005) CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O from mechanically turned windrow and vermincomposting systems following in-vessel pre-treatment. *Waste Manag* 25: 345-352.
9. Mohan SV, Sirisha K, Rao NC, Sarma PN, Reddy SJ (2004) Degradation of chlorpyrifos contaminated soil by bioslurry reactor operated in sequencing batch mode: bioprocess monitoring. *J Hazard Mater* 116: 39-48.
10. Nikolopoulou M, Pasadakis N, Norf H, Kalogerakis N (2013) Enhanced ex situ bioremediation of crude oil contaminated beach sand by supplementation with nutrients and rhamnolipids. *Mar Pollut Bull* 77: 37-44.